

Pandora
Books



CAPRICORN
SUBROSA

Albrecht Giddings

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

by

Albrecht Giddings

No copyright has been assigned to this manuscript, none is pending and none is sought. Reasonable free use applies.

Published in the United States of America By
Kerson Publishing Company
San Diego, California

Ask yourself this one question: "Who benefits from this chaos?"

War is one of the most effective ways of culling an 'undesirable' population as Thomas Ferguson, a member of the Office of Population Affairs, explains:

'To reduce the population quickly you have to pull all the males into the fighting and kill significant numbers of young boys, girls and fertile, child-bearing age, females.'



CAPRICORN SUBROSA

NOTE: Many of the websites shown in the text may no longer be valid.

Part 11

MORE INFORMATION: FOR SPECIFIC REASONS

Do you read Scripture? It is rather interesting. You should try it. Do you know where it says that the meek shall inherit the earth? This does not mean those who will not defend themselves; it refers to those who have no interest in ruling others. In Genesis, God gave Man dominion over the earth, and over all the beasts on the ground, the birds in the air, and the fish in the sea. He did not give Man dominion over Man, however.

Why does it say these things, and leave out Man? Go back to Part X and re-read what I said about the Godless killing themselves, then revisit this thought: Soon, in America, there will be men killing other men. Some will be fighting for the Constitution, and others will be fighting to save the Constitution. Does this make any sense to you?

And so the godless will kill the godless, and there will be mountains of corpses to bury. You really should read Revelations now. After this series, and think about it.

The thought that I am going to develop through this Part is that you are invisible to them until you contract with them. They are so busy collecting every dime they can from their subjects that they really have no time for us. We just quietly slip into the background and stay there. Principally because we ask for no benefit from them!

The big part of this is to be as harmless as a Dove. Those who have a lot of anger in them (watch closely, a lot of the posts on Free Republic) can not do this. They must confront. We do not confront. We serve. We must be there when they need us, just in the background, waiting. Waiting for them, and for Him. Actively waving a large banner, yes, by all means. Trying to attract the attention of those whose eyes may only be open for a moment. Looking for that one opening that will start someone down the path we are following. In peace, in health, quietly living for Him, and striving to learn everything we can, so that someday we may join Him.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

This means that while we wait, we must be changing. Are we like Him? No. Then, to become more like Him means that we must be in a constant state of learning/changing, to become more like Him. And do not kid yourself about the facts; there are hundreds of people who live just like this in America, and all around the world. But they are as invisible to you as they are to the government.

They do not send their children to the public schools.

They do not vote nor participate in the local so-called governing process.

They do not register their land with the government, and they do not buy their food in your local supermarket.

They do not own televisions, and few have radios.

They have no debt, and the community of like minded people band together to help a new couple get started. They are Christians, and are called a cult. And, unfortunately, much of what you are reading here has been lost to most of them, so many are having problems with their youth now, but for every one who leaves, another group is beginning somewhere.

You see, here is your advantage; you live in America. To give up God's Law in America, you must actively seek a benefit from some man. Our forefathers won the Revolutionary War, and the tax collector does not come calling, as he does in the rest of the world, until you sign on the dotted line. Until you sign the voter registration card, the land registration form, the Social Security registration or until you sign something that begins the contractual obligation of the King to you. And, I may add, from you to the King. And the obligations of you to the King are always greater than the other way around, because the King and all his minions must be fed and clothed by you for the illusion of the benefits offered.

To continue this contractual obligation to the King is to suffer persecution as the needs of the King become ever greater. It is not possible, under this system, to know peace or contentment. Compare this to God's Laws; He exacts no tribute, and the benefits He offers; peace, health, wealth and contentment plus Paradise have no strings attached. He has even given us a series of guide posts, called Laws, for our own assistance in finding Him. How silly is it then, to ignore those Laws, and suffer the slings and arrows of Satan instead.

Does this mean that, if we are in compliance with God's Laws, some of us will not have problems? Of course not. Some will, principally because we are so few in numbers, but even the problems that we have will be minor compared to what they put their own property through. And, I may add, because we are so far from perfect in His Law.

I find this next part rather interesting. Talking about Rights, and wondering why we lost them? We do not go to Him for protection.

Teaparty List Members;

I spoke with the Enforcement Supervisor at the local EEOC office about no

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

SSN. I already knew that I don't need one to work, pursuant to my spiritual convictions, but wondered what the EEOC position would be concerning the money that would have been withheld for FICA. I had thought that I would still be required to pay, even though I don't need one to work. He had to check it out, and when I called back a few days later the Enforcement Supervisor said, over the phone, that I would not have to pay FICA (!). I was surprised, but pleasantly so. I have been in private contact with some of you over this matter (and I greatly appreciate your time and help), but am posting this good news to the list so that all may benefit.

It appears that the W-4 & Social Security number issues will ultimately bring things to a head with respect to your favorite member of the Federal Alphabet Brotherhood, the Internal Revenue Service. Ironically, admission in the letter from Pegerie W. McPhaden, a CPA at IRS' Philadelphia office (letter circulated in teaparty discussion), comes simultaneous with the above information related in a telephone conversation with the EEOC official.

EEOC has been a thorn in IRS' butt for some time. In 1992, in Equal Employment Opportunity Commission vs. Information Systems Consulting, filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, case CA3-92-0169-T, EEOC secured a consent decree over the matter of a Bruce Hanson not having to provide a Social Security number and his right predicated on religious belief. Information Systems Consulting subsequently had to post an EEOC notice for all employees. (The Consent Decree is 7 pages; the notice is 1 page; the entire case file is about 130 pages).

As memory serves (I'm not going to re-read the decree right now), Hanson agreed to withholding, but wasn't required to provide a Social Security number. The new EEOC position, and the recent concession from the IRS "International" office in Philadelphia, constitute significant breakthroughs.

MORE ARE ON THE WAY.

Again, I suggest that researchers in particular carefully study 26 CFR, Part 31. Begin by reading Part 31.0, the introduction, as it details application of each subpart. By referring to the OMB-IRC numbering index in Part 602.101, you will find that all OMB numbers relating to the W-4 (1545-0010) arise from 26 CFR, Part 31. You will find in this part that the Social Security number & IRS-assigned individual TIN are interchangeable by application, which has the implication of geographical application. Consult definitions of "United States", "State", and "Citizen" at Part 31.3121 for geographical limitations.

To reinforce the conclusion that the S.S.N. & IRS-issued TIN are not applicable in the several States party to the Constitution, follow these authorities: Authority to establish internal revenue districts is vested in the President via 26 U.S.C. 7621; authority delegated to the Secretary of the Treasury via E.O. No. 10289 (pub-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

lished following 3 U.S.C. 301); application of this authority is only under 19 CFR, Part 101, which is authority for the United States Customs Service to establish ports of entry, there is no authority to establish “internal revenue districts” in the several States. Verify this via the Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules in the Index volume to the Code of Federal Regulations; find that 26 U.S.C. 7621 is not listed, but E.O. 10289 is, with the above-cited authority.

26 CFR, Part 31 verifies a number of things: Withholding agents of government employers in territories and insular possessions of the United States designated in the definition at Part 31.3121 are obligated under Subtitle A & C requirements, and if an “employee” has a refund coming, he or she would file for the refund with the employer, not IRS. An “employee” isn’t required to keep any kind of record save for personal use; the “director” must notify anyone who is required to keep records for determination of liability, etc. The “employee”, under certain conditions, would file for abatement or refund on Form 843, with appropriate documentation, but only after applying for refund from the employer.

Probably it will be the first of the year before I get all this information put together in comprehensible & usable form, but those focused on this line of inquiry at present might be able to construct appropriate remedies that will suffice.

I have the entire EEOC vs. Information Systems Consulting case, so those who would like the entire thing or simply the consent decree or whatever else can secure photocopies — send something to cover the cost of photocopy & postage to my wife, (deleted)...

I would invite other researchers who go through the information set out above to work in a cooperative effort to finally put a cap on things. /s/ Dan Meador

Now just as Jannes and Jambres were hostile to and resisted Moses, so these men also are hostile to and oppose the Truth. They have depraved and distorted minds, and are reprobate and counterfeit and to be rejected as far as the faith is concerned. But they will not get very far, for their rash folly will become obvious to everybody, as was that of those [magicians mentioned]. 2 Timothy 3: 8 & 9, Amplified translation.

The employers rely on the last sentence of 26 cfr 31.3402(f)(2)-1, which states “The employer is required to request a withholding exemption certificate from each employee, but if the employee fails to furnish such certificate, such employee shall be considered as a single person claiming no withholding exemptions.” Dick

Try to understand that we weren’t asking the question, but actually giving the answer. Please show us just who the employer is according to the Internal Revenue Law of the United States. Try this: <http://TeamInfinity.com/~ralph/code/t26-F-79-7701.html>

Clyde [http://TeamInfinity.com/~ralph/code/t26-C-21-C-3121.html#\(a\)](http://TeamInfinity.com/~ralph/code/t26-C-21-C-3121.html#(a))

(h) american employer: > <http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/42/410.shtml>

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

I checked out the above web sites; they are excellent resources. Note in the Title 42 definition of “employer” that the definition is dependent on 26 USC 3231 definitions, which locks the whole Social Security business in as “territorial”, limited to insular possessions of the United States. /s/ Dan Meador

IRS Enforcement Authority

Dialogue pertaining to IRS and application of the Internal Revenue Code has sure dragged me away from projects I’m trying to complete, but discussion growing out of issues relating to the W-4 and the Social Security number have brought in enough contributing participants that it might serve to pursue the subject further. At this point, discussion crosses over to authority of Internal Revenue Service officers and agents, with Dave Fuller and Dan Leveto of Pennsylvania directly relaying information that should be of interest to most everyone. The first stop on this journey is 26 U.S.C. _ 7608, “Authority of internal revenue enforcement officers”.

Attention to __ 7608(a) & (b). Subsection (a) is “Enforcement of subtitle E and other laws pertaining to liquor, tobacco, and firearms.” At (a)(1), it is found that Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms agents are authorized to “carry firearms”. This authorization is not found in subsection (b), which is, “Enforcement of laws relating to internal revenue other than subtitle E.”

From there, we go to 26 CFR _ 1.274-5T(k)(6)(ii), where we find that Internal Revenue Service agents are specifically excluded from definition of “law enforcement officer.”: “(ii) Law enforcement officer. The term law enforcement officer means an individual who is employed on a full-time basis by a governmental unit that is responsible for the prevention or investigation of crime involving injury to persons or property (including apprehension or detention of persons for such crimes), who is authorized by law to carry firearms, execute search warrants, and to make arrests (other than merely a citizen’s arrest), and who regularly carries firearms (except when it is not possible to do so because of the requirements of undercover work). The term law enforcement officer may include an arson investigator if the investigator otherwise meets the requirements of this paragraph (k)(6)(ii), but does not include Internal Revenue Service special agents.”

These two authorities taken together are conclusive: 26 U.S.C. _ 7608(b) does not authorize Internal Revenue Service personnel to carry firearms; 26 CFR _ 1.274-5T(k)(6)(ii) specifically excludes IRS agents from the definition of “law enforcement officer”, and by construction, prohibits IRS agents from carrying firearms. Experience says IRS personnel regularly carry firearms, and a whole crowd of these folks frequently show up armed when they execute seizures, effect arrests, etc. The question then arises, “Under what authority do they carry out armed seizures, arrests, and the like?” A link that leads us to this obscure authority is found in 26 U.S.C. _ 7608(c). Dave & Dan were close, and they’ve proved the point

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

so far as IRS authority under subtitles A & C are concerned, but they haven't followed the entire route of bogus authority employed by IRS people, and I haven't put everything together outside my longer discourses so I'll start at the beginning, or close to the beginning, so everyone can follow along.

In order to see the broader picture, we must begin with originating authority, which means we must go to authority antecedent to IRS even coming on the scene. Since I don't want to labor this any more than is necessary, we won't bother with the Constitution, but will start with 4 U.S.C. _ 71 & 72: _ 71 establishes the seat of United States Government within borders of the District of Columbia; _ 72 specifies that no department of government attached to the seat of government can operate beyond the borders of the District of Columbia except as specifically authorized by statute.

If we go to the table of contents for the Department of the Treasury in Title 31 of the United States Code, we find that IRS and BATF aren't listed. They aren't departments or agencies of departments of Government of the United States attached to the seat of government.

Next, we will go to 3 U.S.C. _ 301, which specifies that the President may redelegate authority vested in him in subordinate officers via Executive Order published in the Federal Register. This is important as 26 U.S.C. _ 7621 specifies that the President has authority to establish internal revenue districts. When we consult the Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules, located in the Index volume of the Code of Federal Regulations, we find that 26 U.S.C. _ 7621 isn't listed. Therefore, we must conclude that there are no implementing regulations with general application under this section ñ no authority to establish internal revenue districts in the several States party to the Constitution.

However, when we consult 26 CFR _ 301.7621-1, we find a note telling us Executive Order No. 10289 is the determining Executive Order for establishing internal revenue districts. E.O. No. 10289 is published following 3 U.S.C. _ 301, so that is easy enough to find, and by reading it, we conclude that authority conveyed by the order relates almost exclusively to customs duties and the Anti-Smuggling Act. In other words, it all relates to admiralty and maritime authority, and has little or nothing to do with the normal tax, a/k/a income tax, and Social Security tax.

By again consulting the Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules, we find that E.O. 10289 is listed, so there is agreement between 26 CFR _ 301.7621-1 and the Parallel Table of Authorities and Rules. However, the only regulation listed for E.O. 10289 is 19 CFR _ 101. This regulation prescribes general authority under administration of the United States Customs Service; the Customs Service has established ports of entry in the several States. That's it, there are no internal revenue districts in the several States. Or more appropriately, Oklahoma, Texas,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

California, New York, etc., are not in and of themselves and are not parts of internal revenue districts of the United States.

We have long known that the Secretary delegated authority over insular possessions of the United States to the Commissioner of Internal Revenue via T.D.O. 150-42 (1956), as amended by T.D.O. 150-01 (1986). By examining T.D.O. 150-42, we find that the Commissioner was vested with authority in the Canal Zone, the Virgin Islands, etc., and that the order also removed jurisdiction over insular possessions from district and regional customs offices in Florida, Georgia, and New York. T.D.O. 150-01, which came several years after the United States gave up the lease on the Panama Canal, deleted the Canal Zone from mention, and extended authority over other areas where the United States has jurisdiction. American Samoa and the Northern Mariana Islands had generally come under sovereignty of the United States by then.

Consider the above in the context of 26 U.S.C. _ 7601, which is authority for canvassing districts, limiting revenue officer activity to districts established in compliance with provisions of _ 7621:

“Sec. 7601. Canvass of districts for taxable persons and objects

(a) General rule

The Secretary shall, to the extent he deems it practicable, cause officers or employees of the Treasury Department to proceed, from time to time, through each internal revenue district and inquire after and concerning all persons therein who may be liable to pay any internal revenue tax, and all persons owning or having the care and management of any objects with respect to which any tax is imposed.”

Obviously, the revenue officer, of whatever agency, may not exceed boundaries of internal revenue districts as _ 7621 evidences the only statutory authority in the Internal Revenue Code which authorizes operation outside the District of Columbia (4 U.S.C. _ 72; 26 U.S.C. _ 7621), and complies with authority delegated to the Secretary by the President (3 U.S.C. _ 301 & E.O. 10289). Wherever these revenue districts are, they aren't in the Union of several States party to the Constitution.

Now we can consider the first part of 26 U.S.C. _ 7608(c):

“(c) Rules relating to undercover operations

(1) Certification required for exemption of undercover operations from certain laws

With respect to any undercover investigative operation of the Internal Revenue Service “which is necessary for the detection and prosecution of offenses under the internal revenue laws, any other criminal provisions of law relating to internal revenue, or any other law for which the Secretary has delegated investigatory authority to the Internal Revenue Service.”

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

By reading through subsection (c), it becomes obvious that under some authority, IRS can undertake pretty elaborate steps for undercover operations ñ purchase of real property, operate businesses, etc. But subsection (c) is distinct and separate from (b), and there is no authority to carry firearms, effect arrests, etc., under subsection (b); for purposes of 26 CFR _ 1, IRS agents aren't even defined as law enforcement officers. Consequently, there would appear to be conflict between subsections (b) & (c) unless the two subsections address two separate jurisdictions and classes of internal revenue laws. As fortune would have it, they do. Subsection (b) relates to Subtitle A, B & C taxes in insular possessions of the United States, where subsection (c) relates to customs laws, particularly drug laws and related commercial crimes.

From here, we're going back to Chapter 75, Crimes, Other Offenses, and Forfeitures, in Subchapter C, Forfeitures, to the section which prescribes judicial action to enforce forfeiture:

"Sec. 7323. Judicial action to enforce forfeiture

(a) Nature and venue

The proceedings to enforce such forfeitures shall be in the nature of a proceeding in rem in the United States District Court for the district where such seizure is made.

Nature and venue. In legalese, venue amounts to territorial jurisdiction ñ the place. We know the place by way of the court identified, the "United States District Court", rather than the "district court of the United States". The United States District Court is a territorial court of the United States ñ three remain, the United States District Courts of Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Virgin Islands. These are defined as courts of the United States at the current 18 U.S.C. _ 23.

A few people have claimed they were unable to find 18 U.S.C. _ 23. The section was added by December 1994 legislation, so look in supplements of the 1994 edition of the United States Code printed by the Government Printing Office, or newer editions of the title printed by West Publishing or on various CD editions of the Code produced in 1996 and after. Otherwise, consult Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The Rule identifies the three courts above plus the United States District Court for the District of the Canal Zone.

By this section, we can conclude that Internal Revenue Code forfeiture authority is limited to insular possessions of the United States and possibly the District of Columbia, not the several States party to the Constitution.

Next, the "in rem" action is an admiralty/maritime action. The Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Articles of Amendment secure due process in the course of the common law in the Union of several States. Equity, admiralty and maritime causes proceed in the course of the civil law. Therefore, there is no forfeiture provision that

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

extends inland in the several States save as such an action in a “district court of the United States” might apply to a maritime contract or prosecution for smuggling. This is the nature of forfeiture actions authorized by the Internal Revenue Code ñ admiralty and maritime, or in the case of insular possessions of the United States, civil law.

With that matter disposed of, we will go to the approach ramp IRS uses for the springboard to exit the Internal Revenue Code. It’s 26 U.S.C. _ 7302:

“Sec. 7302. Property used in violation of internal revenue laws.

It shall be unlawful to have or possess any property intended for use in violating the provisions of the internal revenue laws, or regulations prescribed under such laws, or which has been so used, and no property rights shall exist in any such property. A search warrant may issue as provided in chapter 205 of title 18 of the United States Code and the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure for the seizure of such property.

We again know this section is limited to insular possessions as the Federal Rules of Civil & Criminal Procedure, Federal Rules of Evidence, etc., proceed in the course of the civil law. They’re applicable only in the named territorial courts ñ see Rule 54(a), F.R.Crim.P., Rule 81, F.R.Civ.P., & Rule 1101, F.R.E. Also, see 28 U.S.C. _ 2072(b) for limitation. If _ 7302 serves as the approach ramp, _ 7327 is the springboard IRS uses to exit the Internal Revenue Code:

“Sec. 7327. Customs laws applicable

The provisions of law applicable to the remission or mitigation by the Secretary of forfeitures under the customs laws shall apply to forfeitures incurred or alleged to have been incurred under the internal revenue laws.”

Bingo! The Secretary has delegated authority to IRS under customs laws, particularly relating to drugs. The regulation is 26 CFR _ 403, “Disposition of Seized Personal Property”. This regulation, with all subparts, is slightly over 6 pages in length so I won’t reproduce it here. However, researchers should read it closely. Pay particular attention to _ 403.25 as it specifically excludes certain classes of taxable articles, and _ 403.26 as it specifies that administrative forfeiture without a court judgment may be executed only on property valued at \$2,500 or less. Then _ 403.35 connects remission or mitigation of forfeitures under 19 U.S.C. __ 1613 & 1618, which are customs forfeiture provisions. Forfeitures under this regulation presume that whatever property is seized has been used or is intended to be used in commission of a crime against internal revenue laws of the United States. The crimes are listed at _ 403.38(d)(1).

Researchers will chuckle at this reasonably simple explanation as they were constructively hot on IRS’ trail as early as fall 1995. But they didn’t know how to get from Point A to Point B in the Internal Revenue Code and applicable regulations as nobody at that time had proven the difference between the United States District

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Court and the district court of the United States, and few people understood the difference between due process in the course of the common law vs. due process in the course of the civil law. This reasonably brief treatment, along with material submitted in the discussion of the W-4 & Social Security numbers, should fill in a few blanks for those focused on securing relief and remedies relating to IRS and internal revenue laws of the United States.

For those of you who think that reading the above is boring, welcome to research! Also, I should like to point out that the information above describes why, in large part, your wages are being seized, your friend lost his house to the IRS, the man at the airport had his cash stolen by agents and why IRS employees act like they have carte blanche to act in any way they wish! They Do!

There is, of course, much more to this story. Part of the reason for the Social Security act was to declare and designate anyone who signed up for it as a taxpayer. They then went on to pass as many laws concerning taxpayers, using round-about terms, as they thought they wanted or needed, and for whatever purpose they decided was necessary. When you think about it, there is actually an element of truth and justice to this. If you are a taxpayer, it is because you voluntarily declared that you were responsible for the national debt. Now, if you wish to take a benefit from the federal government, it can be argued that, indeed, you are responsible for the national debt. If you are responsible, pay it and do not quibble. If you are not, why are you volunteering?

To reinforce this type of thinking, and to protect the vested interest of those with their hands in the cookie jar, a few steps were necessary.

Cause of Action

There is no dispute that the judiciary act of March 3, 1911 abolished the original circuit courts established by judiciary acts of 1789 & 1792. The Circuit Court of Appeals of the United States replaced original circuit courts. Article III district courts of the United States were vested with many of the powers which previously belonged to circuit courts. Records of the circuit courts were moved to the Supreme Court.

As I've demonstrated in my memorandums, what we know as the United States Code is the Code of Laws of the United States of America; it has no application in the several States party to the Constitution, as it is municipal law in territories and insular possessions of the United States. However, by reviewing sections of the U.S.C. I cited, inclusive of but not limited to 28 U.S.C., Sec. 451, 610, & 1869(f), it is clear that the "District Court of the United States" is still the Article III first-level court, and the "United States District Court" (18 U.S.C., Sec. 23, Rule 54(a), Fed.R.Crim.P., 28 U.S.C., Sections 610, 753 & 1869(f)), is a territorial court. If you review notes following 28 U.S.C., Sec. 132, you will find that the reference is to the territorial court in Hawaii in 1948 through 1959. The section is an amalgamation of

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

sections from titles 28 & 48 of the 1940 edition of the Code.

Article I, Sec. 8, cl. 9, and Article III, Sec. 1 of the Constitution authorize Congress to establish all courts inferior to the Supreme Court, and Article I, Sec. 8, cl. 18 reinforces the mandate that Congress enact legislation to carry all authority delegated to the United States into action.

Now, the challenge is this: Show me a statute or evidence of a statute where Congress created a United States District Court for any district in the Union of several States. Show me a statute or evidence of a statute which authorizes judicial officers of a United States District Court situated in the several States to appoint clerks, recorders, etc., convene grand or petit juries, or anything else — show me a statute or evidence of a statute which authorizes clerks of “United States District Courts” situated in the several States to collect fees.

My conclusion is reinforced by the General Accounting Office, as GAO regulations in Title 4 of the Code of Federal Regulations adopts the definition of courts of the United States used by the Administrative Office of Courts of the United States at 28 U.S.C., Sec. 610.

If you don't care for the term “private courts,” let's substitute the term “private courts.” Or maybe “Vandal courts”. If we can agree on this terminology, we won't have any dispute. But let's not dignify them with the notion that they are “military” courts. Plunder and treason is military only if we accept the proposition that barbarian hoards have some lawful or legitimate cause. /s/ Dan Meador

A note before we continue. Everything you are reading from Dan Meador is designed to foster in you a belief that the system can be fixed. This is what he, and all of his fellow researchers are diligently striving for. They believe in man's law, and in man's government. They believe that with a little fine tuning, it can be made to work, all evidence to the contrary, just fine. They have no understanding of being separate, of being clean, of health, or of the meaning or importance of His Law. They actually, at least some of them do, believe that the Constitution is under and written through His Law. And it is impossible to show them otherwise. I know, having tried.

From other information I have seen and read, I have to deduce that the above information was necessary for the final act of privatization of the so-called court system, and to complete the control of it by the Inns of Court, from London.

You have to know such details as this before you begin to realize just how far things have gone. You see the evidence all around you, but much of it does not connect because you do not have enough knowledge. For instance, take the Fully Informed Jury Association. Great idea, if we had any juries left in America. But when you try and talk to one of the men in that Association, all you hear is we gotta try! Try what?

Go into a court room. Look at where the jury sits. It is on a raised dais, above

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the level of the floor of the court. This, in law, removes it from where the decisions are being made and turns it into an advisory panel. In addition, the jury is boxed out of the court room, behind a railing, so they are not actually a party to the proceedings. The judge, meanwhile, is always higher than the jury, on a bench, and this puts him in charge of the proceedings. He can, and the judges do, whatever they please. They can, and do, override the jury. The judges try not to, because too much of this is not good for the blind. It might shock the public too much! But if you understand, you can read in the judge's directions to the jury, most of the time, a directed verdict.

And this would be different if this were a unique situation, but the same citing of the jury and the judge is identical throughout the states and the possessions of Washington, DC. So you know that there is some plan and intent behind it.

One hundred years ago, all proceedings in a court room took place on a level floor, with the judge acting as a referee, as is proper. But to the elite, this situation is extremely dangerous, and at some time they could actually find themselves being held accountable for their actions.

And they would have a lot more trouble taking care of bothersome men like the following. The following is also very important in what will be an ongoing discussion on health. But, before we tackle this, let me tell you a little story about the History of the earth.

Dis-ease. History is the same: His-Story. It is two words. This is why the Bible was used to teach History in school. (Almighty is the same, but in this case, one of the Ls was not dropped. It literally is Al-Mighty, and al is a word. The root of this word means not, so when the so-called churches lead you in prayer to the almighty [not-mighty] god, perhaps you should pay attention to the rest of what is going on in that church.)

In the His-Story of the world, there are a number of events that we are not given a clear picture of. I am sure, as I begin to see the differences in all of the so-called Bibles, this is not a mistake, but a deliberate error. Understanding the depravity of King John, and any king's complete absorption with the king's privilege, also explains much of this. This mis-information includes, but is certainly not limited to, the Great Flood.

To begin with, we must have a clear picture of the earth prior to the Great Flood, and I am not talking about the lawlessness of the people. I refer to the physical aspects of living here now as compared to then, because the earth prior to the flood was a very different place.

First off, if I understand correctly, heaven was a sphere encircling the earth composed of a hydrogen metal. This metal has been duplicated in the lab albeit for only a very short time, so we know it is possible for it to exist. Since the earth was enclosed, several important differences existed.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

The most important difference was that the atmospheric pressure was much higher, possibly as high as 2.8. The oxygen content was approximately 38% and coupled with the higher atmospheric pressure of the air, injuries probably healed so fast that you could watch a cut close. The high atmospheric pressure coupled with the increased percentage of oxygen in the air meant that all living things were highly permeated with oxygen. Naturally, since most pathogens can not live in the presence of oxygen (see following report), this meant that there would be no illness. I would also expect that a man could virtually run forever if he so desired, as there would be plenty of oxygen reaching his body for extended exertion. We are told that man lived to 1000 years in this time, and the listed conditions are the reason for this. God works within the science He created; if Scripture says that men lived for 1000 years, there is a scientific reason for that fact.

On the earth of that time, there was no rain. The entire earth was watered by dew every morning, and if you do not understand what a blessing that was, you need to do some crop irrigating for a couple of years! There were few if any large bodies of water because all of the elements that would make up the water of today was locked into the atmospheric shield and the higher content of oxygen in the air. You see, God understood what happens to man when he is allowed to live in an imperfect state for an extended period of time; he self-destructs.

When God, or man, pierced the shield above the earth, the hydrogen metal became a gas and slowly descended through the oxygen rich atmosphere, combining with the oxygen to form the water which became the oceans of the earth. There are a number of very interesting questions which are unanswered in this piece of His-Story. Did man himself pierce the shield, in an attempt to get closer to God, or to reach God, perhaps to become more like God? This possibility has grave implications for man today as you look at DNA decoding and genetic twisting by man. One thing I have learned is that God's Law is so exact, so perfect, that He generally has no need to interfere in Man's activities. His Law works all possible conflicts out, and the only problems encountered comes from dis-obedience. From this observation, I would conclude that the most likely scenario is that man himself pierced the shield. And Noah, being very wise, understood what was to come, with or without a visit from God, because unlike the world today, Noah understood science.

Another interesting part of this is if the shield was pierced, did it come down in only one location? I have been told that this is so, and that the area of the Middle East, near where the Ark has been found, is the site of the Great Flood. If you want to spend some interesting time on the net, try searching for Ark, Great Flood, Noah and related subjects. There are some incredible sites with a lot of good information, most of which I have lost the URLs to!

For the reason stated above, that man self-destructs if allowed to live for an

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

extended period of time, God had the elements in place that would cleanse the earth and allow man, that's you and I, another chance. And when God allowed that judgment to happen, in all the population of man upon the earth, there lived one man who was worthy of being saved. Looking around the world today, I see very little difference, except that judgment today is brought through many different means since the concentration of oxygen in our air is no longer available to cure our ills without active help on our part.

Those who would enslave all of mankind for their ease of living are very aware of this, and the war they wage against knowledge is ongoing.

THE AMERICAN RESISTANCE MOVEMENT:

One of the top researchers/promoters of ozone therapy called me a few months ago. He had been charged with Tax Evasion. If you don't know, Tax Evasion is usually nothing more than a simple case of not filing, coupled with the government's attempt to really stick it to you.

All you need to add to not filing to make it EVASION is a SINGLE SIMPLE ACT. For example, in the Matthies case, the Prosecution made a BIG DEAL out of the fact that the Matthies had opened a savings account so they could cash his pay checks. They COULD HAVE considered this an ACT intended to cover up his tax liability. Therefore, Hank Matthies could also have been charged with Tax Evasion if they had a particular ax to grind with him. (The preceding paragraph refers to another case about which there are ongoing discussions.)

I have talked to many in alternative health who have the highest regard for Ed McCabe and his valuable work.

I am writing not only to let you know about what they have now done to Ed, but more importantly to let you know what happens when ALL OF US don't take a stand against this ILLEGAL APPLICATION of the tax laws.

The fact is that they are using Ed's belief that he was not required to file to ruin his finances, his health and his sanity. THEY CAN DO THE SAME THING TO ANYONE, ANYWHERE IF THEY FEEL THE NEED. (Of course, there are over 1,000,000 pages [way over!] of laws. At any time, for any possible reason, anyone in the UNITED STATES can be locked up. The reasons include, of course, simply to put a few bucks into the pockets of one of the anointed; i.e., lawyers.)

If you are still participating in the Babylonian Tribute System, you are sending money to the very demons who persecute good patriotic Americans like Ed McCabe. Ed's only crime was trying to cure people of cancer and other diseases. The bad guys, disguised as the Babylonian Witch doctors and the Satanic Medical Establishment, won't allow this because they lose control and lose all the funds they derive by letting people die while using poisons, radiation and other sadistic methods to kill for profit. (True story. I wish to point out again that they can not see these papers!)

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

If a person does nothing to stand up, they might as well take the Mark and resign themselves to being a good little slave. Otherwise, they can (and probably will) be treated like Ed (do a web search on Ed McCabe) or Congressman George Hansen (ditto) or tens of thousands of other political prisoners which have taken a stand against tyranny ONE AT A TIME.

Tyranny can stand when only a few oppose it. If the masses stand up, tyranny retreats in fear. The bad guys grow more powerful every day. So if someone looked at what you DO, rather than what you SAY, which side would they think you were on???

Now for the information on Ed McCabe. Remember as you read this, he was charged with a WHITE COLLAR CRIME involving not filing Federal income tax returns — not doing ANYTHING violent. Also remember that he has been CONVICTED OF NOTHING and is supposed to be PRESUMED INNOCENT.

ED MCCABE NEEDS OUR HELP

You know him as Ed McCabe or “Ask Mr. Oxygen.” He is the person that introduced Oxygen Therapies to North America and to many countries around the world through his book “Oxygen Therapies” - A New Way of Approaching Disease. He is the person that got a lot of alternative healers started in Oxygen Therapies through his endless encouragement. And his books are really excellent.

In the spring of 1997, the United States Justice Department and the IRS launched an inquiry on Ed, putting his life under a magnifying glass looking for dirt. It appears that in the first two weeks of April 1998, the IRS indicted and arrested Ed. Since that time, Ed has been in jail without a hearing or without a trial!

Ed McCabe is not a criminal. We know him as a researcher and a journalist, having authored the book “Oxygen Therapies” in 1988. Some know Ed as a radio talk show guest or much requested conference speaker who has traveled internationally, often on his own dime, to further the cause of personal choice in health and healing. How many people were touched and how many hours were spent reflecting the oxygen therapy lifestyle?

Now it is Ed who needs the help! Some 5 plus years ago, Ed’s studies led him to a personal choice, standing on the letter of US law, he insisted the IRS did not have jurisdiction over him. Negotiations progressed from cordiality to violent words and confiscations.

To catch us up to present time, Ed has been held on federal detainer since April 7, 1998, having been taken into jail once he appeared for his arraignment. He was held in New York State at the Syracuse Onondaga County Justice Center for about a month. From there he was sent on a sojourn around the US prison (detailed in Ed’s May 18th handwritten letter reprinted as follows):

FRIENDS:

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

One day last week, May 12th, while in Syracuse, N.Y. Onondaga County Jail, I was told at 7:00 AM to be ready to move out at 7:30 AM. I rushed to do the best I could to pack my books and legal materials & address list & phone list and envelopes & stamps. They put me into leg, waist & hand chains & took me to Oneida Airport. Here they took away all my possessions including all legal materials, and still in chains, put me on a plane full of convicts (CON-AIR) and brought us to Stewart AFB, NY.

Then bus to Otisville, NY Prison where I was strip searched and put in the hole. "The hole" means Solitary - a room with no or almost no natural light and about 7x10 in size. You do not leave this room or have contact with anyone except occasional mean voices of guards all day long. (In three days I was in chains all day as they transported me, and in the hole at night. Very uncomfortable. Amounts to torture, and I have not even been tried.) The next day they put me back in chains and flew (737) me to Alexandria, Louisiana Airport, and then to Oklahoma City, Oklahoma Federal Corrective Institution - still in chains & long stops at Indiana, Detroit, Chicago to pick up and drop off inmates - a long process stuck, chained into a seat in a 737 full of unhappy people, mostly gang types in on violence and drug violations. The young prisoners are the noisiest and worst, acting out all the time especially in the holding cells and in general population.

Finally we arrive here at the Federal Medical Center. So I spent three days in leg and waist chains with handcuffs all day long & slept in the hole at night. Strip searched 5 times with no shower or contact with anyone. No envelopes, papers, stamps, phone contact - NOTHING except my chained body. Federal Medical Center, Rochester, Minnesota is where I ended up, although no one would tell me where I was going or why. Apparently they want to be sure that I cannot come back later and claim "Insanity," so they need me certified sane enough for trial. Funny they do not require "sane certification" on any of the other inmates in the system. Everything I write is read (this is a mental hospital) and everything that comes in is read. It will be weeks before I can get any money sent in and approved and in the phone account so I can call out. All phone calls are monitored. They only allow you to call approved people, all must be approved. It took me days to get envelopes and stamps (they issue 5 stamps a month). Life in here (the hospital, or anywhere) is easier for the long term inmates, who have all the systems and accounts in place. But for an indigent person like myself who they keep moving around, I am always out of touch and out of resources. Each place strips you to nothing, so you always arrive with nothing, as it is now gone and I have no way to contact anyone individually. I will have one phone call only, so I will call Howard.

Everyone else, please try to help me any way you can think of. IT IS ALL UP TO YOU as I am so limited. I have to write this one letter to everyone. For example, two friends sent me three books. They were stolen the next day by US

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Marshals. So, THANK YOU, but incidents like that will only tend to discourage what little kindnesses people do for me over time. But I hope people will not give up on me, as unlike most others, I have no family or money to back me up. Constantly being reduced to nothing and waiting and waiting and waiting really makes me appreciate even a bar of soap, a toothbrush, a pen, a stamp, a piece of paper. All the things everyone else takes for granted. I do not advise anyone to go the route I have been down. In fact, stay away as far as you can.

I believe in justice and law, but I do not know if there is any left in our beloved country. I hope there is, but I have experienced federal employees lying in court and being very mean and vindictive when there is no need to. The judge was definitely not impartial, as he is supposed to be by law. Technically, they say they could hold me here forever, while trying to determine if I am sane enough to go to trial. They can do anything they want to anyone at any time. They are all powerful. But I still believe in love and not fear, when the shocks subside. "Shocks" meaning loss of liberty, being chained, being in the hole, or whatever they do to hurt me.

I have all sorts of bills piling up on the outside, and I don't EVEN GET the bills, so I am certain all sorts of lawsuits against me are coming in debtors court as well, in my future on top of all this. Thank you everyone from the bottom of my heart for your kindnesses.

Love, Ed McCabe.

PS.: According to the latest information we have, dated 10/19/1998, Ed McCabe is expected to be moved to another jail on the 20th of October. His trial is supposed to start in "two"?? days in Binghamton, N.Y. His current treatment by the authorities forms the basis of Complaints. If you have computer capabilities, history and open discussion can be reviewed at <http://www.oxytherapy.com/edmccabe> Your hair will stand on end as you survey the data. It's worth your time. Ed McCabe is in prison on tax issues - so the charges read. However, consider that oxygen therapy masters past have suffered character assassination, deportation, imprisonment, even death. Ed has been there for us in the past. Now he needs our help! Please circulate this information to others to multiply the impact. Call your congressmen, Representatives, even Senators, write, fax, call, email, let the lines run hot. Call several times under different names, heck, our health freedom is - again - at stake. The number of the switchboard is (202) 224-3121.

UPDATE! To all those that are writing to Ed McCabe:

Ed was transferred today - he is now at: I.N.S. Facility Batavia, NY (near Buffalo)

DO NOT SEND ANY MAIL TO SYRACUSE! It will only be returned. Also, do not send any mail to Batavia, NY, he does not know how long he will be there. I will advise the list when we get a GOOD mailing address. Bill G.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Bill,

Thank you for this post. This is exactly the type of first hand anecdote which is so powerful in sharing some important truth about what's going on in "health" these days. Also, this is the first good contact information to come along for those who might like to assist or keep up to date on Ed McCabe. Incidentally, contact location (shown below) through Brad Hunter is in "Poulsbo", not "Poulsboro", Washington. ;-) ICE

At 11:59 AM 12/1/98 -0600, you wrote: Friends and Patriots:

I spent most of the month of November sick as a dog with some kind of bronchitis/virus/flu affliction. Conventional, herbal, and homeopathic medication lessened the symptoms but nothing seemed to work to get rid of it.

My wife Ann and I own and operate the Unlimited Thought Bookstore in San Antonio, Texas

<<http://www.connecti.com/~utbook>>.

Primarily concerned with spiritual/how to improve your life information, we also have a very large section on holistic health and alternative therapies. We have information on oxygen/ozone therapies but I had never looked into that particular area.

I was going in to the bookstore every other day or so to take care of only the most essential work. While I was in the store at the front counter, the subject of my illness came up. One of my friends/customers told me that his wife had bronchitis for six weeks and couldn't get rid of it. She then went for ozone therapy and was back to normal after two days. So, naturally, I went for ozone therapy Sunday, November 29, and today - two days later - I am myself back to normal. In short, oxygen and ozone therapies work on a large variety of complaints including, in some cases, cancer and aids, because all the complaints are generally symptoms (and this may be an over-simplification in some cases) of lack of oxygenation of the cells in the human body. I say "over-simplification" because it is my understanding that all illnesses have as their root cause or at least a component, a spiritual/mental/psychological/metaphysical imbalance.

Considering the wide distribution of this message, let me state that I intentionally traveled quite some distance to obtain ozone therapy. If people call me on the phone and ask for a recommendation for an ozone therapy practitioner, I will not give them information that may endanger the Liberty of individuals. Information on oxygen/ozone therapy practitioners can be found on the net, from your local holistic health/alternative therapies community, and even from (gasp!) your local "new age" community.

Currently used in Europe and from World War I until the early 1930s commonly used in the U. S., oxygen therapies have been suppressed because they work and they cut into the profits of the pharmaceutical industry. For much, much,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

more information see: <<http://www.oxytherapy.com/>>.

Ed McCabe reintroduced oxygen therapy in the U.S. largely through his first book "Oxygen Therapies - A New Way of Approaching Disease." I am thankful to him for exposing the information that has resulted in curing what was beginning to seem to me like an incurable physical problem. Yesterday I spent a lot of time on the net in research of oxygen therapies; I learned that Ed McCabe has been "hit" by the authorities and has been both jailed and subjected to "diesel therapy" since April 1998.

Ed needs help. I am not in a position to help financially, so I am doing what I can to inform the public of his need. Contributions to The Ed McCabe Legal Defense Fund can be made through:

Mr. Brad Hunter c/o ECHO Newsletter 19689 7th Ave. NE, Suite 120
Poulsboro, WA 98370 Phone 360-394-4394

Thank you for your time and attention. Do what you feel is right and do what you can.

for Liberty, Bill Utterback.

Ed McCabe wrote this in April 1998:

Many have earnestly and caringly told me like the following to RUN, RIGHT NOW, BEFORE they get possession of me!

"The America our founding fathers won for us is under siege, and under the current circumstances I truly believe you will not receive a fair and impartial trial.

If you do not disappear, your freedom will come to an end. Your life could depend on your decision to stick around.

If you feel that you are somehow running away, you should understand this, they (the government machine, the medical companies and the military industrial corporations) do not want your research to reach the public. If you stay they WILL succeed in shutting you down. If you leave to somewhere where they could not get to you so easily, you have a fighting chance."

They want to stop teaching people oxygen therapies are better than drugs. Black helicopters hovered over my house four days before I testified for doctor Boyce at his federal trial in Mississippi where he was put in jail for getting rid of AIDS in 120+ people, and now he rots for years in federal prison in Lexington, KY. That's when it all started, when I couldn't, wouldn't, take the hint.

These ex prisoners just told me of the hell holes they were in as political prisoners, and how all judges and attorneys are scared and do only the evil their masters direct, because no one gets involved after you are in jail.

The feds know exactly where you are, but keep you on a bus or plane for months at a time to keep you sick and helpless and out of the reach of any legal help. 20 foot by 20 foot ratholes with overflowing toilets and 46 men to a room

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

filled with lice. In this country, now, places no one knows about, and the media won't touch.

They told me people might help at first but soon disappear, and that is what the slavers count on. Will anyone stick with me no matter what happens? That is my fear, since for the 10 years I lived out of a suitcase and helped people find Oxygen therapies, but once they got well, they disappeared, leaving me broke and persecuted. Is this all humans are worth? What about you? I have been trying to help all of you for years. Will you now help me? I absolutely do not want martyrdom or sainthood, I am simply a guy in a jam, one of you. Our hearts beat together, even theirs.

For more information, see <<http://www.oxytherapy.com/edmcabe/index.html>>

The following is taken from the above URL: Ed McCabe Needs Your Help!

You know him as Ed McCabe - Ask Mr. Oxygen. He is the person that introduced Oxygen Therapies to North America and to many countries around the world through his book Oxygen Therapies - A New Way of Approaching Disease. He is the person that got me started in Oxygen Therapies, and through his encouragement, prompted me to create this site.

In the spring of 1997, the United States Justice Department and the IRS launched an inquiry on Ed, putting his life under a magnifying glass looking for dirt. At that time Ed informed of us of this through his initial plea for assistance.

It appears that in the first two weeks of April 1998, the IRS indicted and arrested Ed. Since that time, Ed has sat in jail without a hearing - without a trial!

Just before being arrested, Ed was able to send out his last pleas for help which can be read in the following pages:

A Legal Defense Fund has been setup to assist Ed in gaining legal representation. A Discussion Forum has also been setup where you will be able to keep updated on Ed's status. Ivan Olsen has taken on the task of being moderator of this discussion forum, and will attempt keep us updated on what is happening.

Discussions about Ed's situation have once again commenced on the Oxytherapy Mailing List. If you are not a subscriber to the mailing list, you may read through the messages in the Oxylist Ed McCabe Archive Messages Area.

Most people have no clue what is really going on in America. I have been fortunate enough to know a number of men who have been sent to prison for crimes such as researching and distributing information about the foundations of America and what happened. They are researching real history, and the establishment hates that.

There is an interesting movie, a true story, titled The Thin Blue Line about a case in Texas. I won't bore you with the details, but the incident involved an innocent man being accused of a crime and sentenced to prison, and the judge and

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

prosecutors knew he was innocent. Finally, the guilty party was found and confessed, but this did not get the innocent man out of prison.

The most telling line of the movie was the line spoken by his attorney that finally got him released: "Every year in this country 6000 men are released from prison because the real guilty party is found or confesses." After speaking the magic line to the judge, the lawyer got the innocent man released. And the line is more or less correct; 6000 innocent men released each year. Think about that: If this many are released EACH YEAR, how many other innocent men are locked up, still?

Perhaps this has something to do with there being more people in prison today in America as a percentage of population than in any other nation on earth, by a very wide margin. Prisons are a growth industry, and most are now privatized, and this includes many so-called state prisons. In some of our research, we found that the governor of Colorado had a stake in the state prison system, so every prisoner sent to prison in Colorado meant money in his pocket. I am sure, although I have seen no proof for it, that judges and prosecutors are paid for every prisoner remanded to the prison system in America today. Kind of like a finder's fee type of thing.

How is this happening? With everything going on, how are so many so blind? Even the innocent have families that believe, for some reason, that they must have done something to deserve what happened. Perhaps reading the following will help explain. (I should also note here that everyone who cooperates with the system including voting and paying the taxes to support it takes at least a part of the credit, or blame as the case may be, for what is going on.)

This material might be dismissed as "conspiracy hysteria" if it leads to the assumption that every opponent in every major group setting must be a "professional facilitator" (much like the hysterical notion that anyone you view as "doing damage" in the patriot movement must be a CIA operative). While we have no way of determining how much "professional facilitating" is actually going on out there, the development of the process itself is a matter of public record and the principles make perfect sense. It seems foolish not to learn to recognize the tactics, because they will work whether or not the "facilitator" is a professional. Much to be learned from this article. ICE

From: "W.G.E.N." <idzrus@earthlink.net>

Found this, this morning, on The Mustard Seed page. It is additional input on the Delphi Technique. I hope you will forward this out to others as this technique must be recognized and dealt with. It is the tool used against us so learn how to handle it and turn it to our use. Nice to see that our Lynn Stuter is being posted out there. Her web page: Lynn M Stuter LEARN <http://www.icehouse.net/lmstuter>

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Jackie Juntti

Washington Grassroots Email Network idzrus@earthlink.net

Check the messageboard every day for new posts:

<http://www.InsideTheWeb.com/mbs.cgi/mb70701>

<http://themustardseed.home.mindspring.com/bb-5.htm>

Thanks to Ken (whoever he is) for the following.

Dear IP Readers, The information below describes how “consensus” is falsely reached within a group when a person manipulates the group using the DELPHI TECHNIQUE, a RAND Corp. development. The latter part describes how to neutralize this manipulation and gives a case study. (I thank a friend, Jay, for this information.)

Although many are critical of the technique and claim it is not valid, I can testify that the method is effective in moving a group’s opinion to a desired end. It was used during a local debate where I debated against a Proposed Home Rule Charter as a new form of county government. The Home Rule Advocates were coached by the DCA (Department of Community Affairs) located in our State capitol, Harrisburg.

Many may be critical of the supposed hard science of the technique and the statistics generated by the questions asked in Delphi surveys. There is no hard science on this method. That part is true. On the side of “soft science”, and the way meetings are facilitated by this method, Delphi is a highly effective means of consensus building. This method could also be applied to Internet discussion groups etc.

The Delphi Technique: how to achieve a workable consensus within time limits:

The Delphi Technique was originally conceived as a way to obtain the opinion of experts without necessarily bringing them together face to face. In *Educating for the New World Order* by Bev Eakman, the reader finds reference upon reference for the need to preserve the illusion that there is lay, or community, participation in the decision making process, while in fact lay citizens are being squeezed out. (This is important, unless you think the people who develop this stuff are innocent dupes. David)

A specialized use of this technique was developed for teachers, the Alinsky Method (*ibid.*, p. 123). The setting or group is, however, immaterial. The point is that people in groups tend to share a certain knowledge base and display certain identifiable characteristic (known as group dynamics). This allows for a special application of a basic technique. The change agent or facilitator goes through the motions of acting as an organizer, getting each person in the target group to elicit expression of their concerns about a program, project, or policy in question. The facilitator listens attentively, forms task forces, urges everyone to make lists and

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

so on. While she is doing this, the facilitator learns something about each member of the target group. He/she identifies the leaders, the loud mouths, as well as those who frequently turn sides during the argument - the weak or non-committal.

Suddenly, the amiable facilitator becomes devils advocate. He/she dons his professional agitator hat. Using the divide and conquer technique, he/she manipulates one group opinion against the other. This is accomplished by manipulating those who are out of step to appear ridiculous, unknowledgeable, inarticulate, or dogmatic. He/she wants certain members of the group to become angry, thereby forcing tensions to accelerate. The facilitator is well trained in psychological manipulation. She/he is able to predict the reactions of each group member. Individuals in opposition to the policy or program will be shut out of the group.

The method works. It is very effective with parents, teachers, school children, and any community group. The targets rarely, if ever, know that they are being manipulated. If they do suspect this is happening, they do not know how to end the process.

The desired result is for group polarization, and for the facilitator to become accepted as a member of the group and group process. He/she will then throw the desired idea on the table and ask for opinions during discussion. Very soon his/her associates from the divided group begin to adopt the idea as if it were their own, and pressure the entire group to accept the proposition.

The technique is a very unethical method of achieving consensus on a controversial topic in group settings. It requires well trained professionals who deliberately escalate tension among group members, pitting one faction against the other, so as to make one viewpoint appear ridiculous so the other becomes sensible whether such is warranted or not.

DISRUPTING THE DELPHI

Note: The Delphi is being used at all levels of government to move meetings to preset conclusions. For the purposes of this dissertation, facilitator references anyone who has been trained in use of the Delphi and who is running a meeting.

There are three steps to diffusing the Delphi Technique when facilitators want to steer a group in a specific direction.

1. Always be charming. Smile. Be pleasant. Be Courteous. Moderate your voice so as not to come across as belligerent or aggressive.

2. Stay focused. If at all possible, write your question down to help you stay focused.

Facilitators, when asked questions they don't want to answer, often digress from the issue raised and try to work the conversation around to where they can

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

make the individual asking the question look foolish, feel foolish, appear belligerent or aggressive.

The goal is to put the one asking the question on the defensive. Do not fall for this tactic.

Always be charming, thus deflecting any insinuation, innuendo, etc. that may be thrown at you in their attempt to put you on the defensive, but bring them back to the question asked. If they rephrase your question into an accusatory statement (a favorite tactic) simply state, "That is not what I stated. What I asked was...[repeat your question.]"

3. Be persistent. If putting you on the defensive doesn't work, facilitators often resort to long, drawn out dissertations on some off the wall and usually unrelated or vaguely related subject that drags on for several minutes. During that time, the crowd or group usually loses focus on the question asked (which is the intent). Let them finish with their dissertation or expose. Then nicely, with focus and persistence, state, "But you didn't answer my question. My question is —" and repeat your question.

ALWAYS BE CHARMING, STAY FOCUSED AND BE PERSISTENT.

Never, under any circumstance, become angry. Anger directed at the facilitator will immediately make the facilitator the victim. This defeats the purpose which is to make you the victim. The goal of the facilitator is to make those they are facilitating like them, alienating anyone who might pose a threat to the realization of their agenda. [People with fixed belief systems, who know what they believe, and stand on what they believe, are obvious threats.] If the participant becomes the victim, the facilitator loses face and favor with the crowd. This is why crowds are broken up into groups of seven or eight, why objections are written on cards, not voiced aloud where they are open to public discussion and public debate. It's called crowd control.

It is always good to have someone else, or two or three others who know the Delphi Technique dispersed through the crowd; who, when the facilitator digresses from the question, will stand up and say nicely, "But you didn't answer that lady's/gentleman's question." The facilitator, even if suspecting you are together, certainly will not want to alienate the crowd by making that accusation. Sometimes it only takes one occurrence of this type for the crowd to figure out what is going on. Sometimes it takes more than one.

If you have an organized group, meet before the meeting to strategize. Everyone should know their part. Meet after the meeting to analyze what went right, what went wrong and why, and what needs to happen the next time around. Never meet during the meeting. One of the favorite tactics of the facilitator if the meeting is not going the way they want, if they are meeting measurable resistance, is to call a recess. During the recess, the facilitator and his/her spotters (people who

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

wander the room during the course of the meeting, watching the crowd) watch the crowd to see who congregates where, especially those who have offered measurable resistance.

If the resistors congregate in one place, a spotter will usually gravitate to that group to join in the conversation and will report back to the facilitator. When the meeting resumes, the facilitator will steer clear of those who are resistors. Do not congregate. Hang loose and work the crowd. Move to where the facilitators or spotters are. Listen to what they have to say, but do not gravitate to where another member of your team is.

This strategy also works in face to face, one on one, meeting with anyone who has been trained in how to use the Delphi Technique.

From a representative republic to a participatory democracy

With the advent of education reform the ensuing turmoil among the citizenry, and the grassroots research that has been sparked therefrom, a consistent pattern with respect to public participation and input has emerged, giving cause for alarm among people who cherish the form of government established by our founding fathers. Recent events, both inside and outside education have brought the emerging picture into focus.

In the not too distant past the hiring of a consultant by the City of Spokane to the tune of \$47,000 to facilitate the direction of city government brought a hue and cry from the populace at large. Eerily, this scenario held great similarity to what has been happening in education reform. The final link came in the form of an editorial comment made by Chris Peck regarding the "Pizza papers". The editorial talks about how groups of disenfranchised citizens were brought together to enter into a discussion of what they felt (as opposed to know) needed to be changed at the local level. The outcome of the compilation of those discussions influenced the writing of the city/county charter.

Sounds innocuous enough. But lets examine this a little closer. Let's walk through the scenario that occurs in these facilitated meetings. First, about the facilitator. The facilitator is hired to facilitate the meeting. While his/her job is supposedly non-directive, neutral, non-judgmental, the opposite is actually true. The facilitator is there to move the meeting to a preset conclusion. This is done through a process known as the Delphi Technique, developed by the RAND Corporation for the US. Department of Defense as a psychological warfare weapon in the 50s and 60s. Comforting, no doubt. With this established, lets move on to the semantics of the meeting.

It is imperative to the success of the agenda that the participants like the facilitator. Therefore, the facilitator first works the crowd to cause disequilibrium; establishing a bad guy good guy scenario. Anyone who might not agree with the facilitator must be seen by the participants as the bad guy, the facilitator the good

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

guy. This is done by seeking out those who might not agree with the facilitator and making them look foolish, inept, or aggressive, sending a clear message to the audience that if they don't want the same treatment to keep quiet. The facilitator is well trained in how to recognize and exploit many different psychological truisms to do this. At the point the opposition has been identified and alienated, the facilitator becomes the good guy - a friend - and the agenda and direction of the meeting is established without the audience ever being aware of it.

Next, the attendees are broken up into smaller groups - usually of seven or eight people - each group with a facilitator. Discussion ensues wherein the participants are encouraged to discuss preset issues, the group facilitator employing the same tactics as the lead facilitator. Usually participants are encouraged to put on paper their ideas and disagreements, these to be later compiled by others. Herein lies a very large problem. Who compiles what is written on the sheets of paper, note cards, etc.? When you ask the participants, you usually get, "Well, they compiled the results." Who is "they?" "Well, those running the meeting." Oh-h! The next question - How do you know that what you wrote on your sheet of paper was incorporated into the final outcome? The answer you usually get is, "Well, you know, I've wondered about that, because what I wrote doesn't seem to be reflected here. I guess my viewpoint was in the minority."

And there you have the crux of the situation. If you have fifty people in a room, each writes his/her ideas and dislikes on a sheet of paper, to be compiled later into a final outcome, each individual having no idea of what any other individual wrote. How do you know that the final outcome reflects anyone's input? The answer is - you don't.

The same scenario holds when there is a facilitator recording your comments on paper. But the participants usually don't question this, figuring instead that their viewpoint was in the minority and thus not reflected.

So why have the meetings at all if the outcome is already established? Because it is imperative to the continued well being of the agenda that the people be facilitated into ownership of the preset outcome. If people believe the idea is theirs, they support it: If the people believe the idea is being foisted on them, they will resist. Likewise, it is imperative to the continued well being of the agenda that the people perceive that their input counts

This scenario is being used very effectively to move meetings to preset conclusion, effectively changing our form of government from a representative form of government in which individuals are elected to represent the people to a "participatory democracy" in which citizens, selected at large, are facilitated into ownership of preset outcomes, perceiving that their input resulted therein. The reality is the outcome was already established by others, but this is not apparent to the citizen participants.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

I hope you realize that the same manipulations being discussed here, which you can identify once you understand, are also taught to teachers in the public school system. Control of public education for your children is as damaging as a bomb dropped on your home. People, and children, who have been facilitated have little if any resistance to the rules and regulations, or changes thereto, which affect their lives. If you doubt this, post to the Free Republic for awhile and watch carefully what is going on. Watch in particular the talk about our laws.

Another important point to look at carefully here is to grasp how finely the control mechanisms have become, and how deeply entrenched they are. When local meetings in every community are subject to this type of control, do you expect that the same mechanisms are not being used at the highest level of government in order to effect consensus for the proper solutions? Those who are in, (they think), control, are more susceptible to control by those farther up the ladder by the same methods taught to them than they could possibly believe. They are blinded to that which they use. Most do not understand what is being done to and around them, and have no grasp of the population control measures which are used against them and their children, even as the same mechanisms are turned against us. Forgive them, God, for they know not what they do.

Again, this also shows why it is so dangerous to identify with and attempt to work alongside the people who occupy government. You do not change what they do; you simply empower their activities by participation. And, by participation, you accept responsibility for the actions of those working in your name.

When you look at this information, and take other things into account, such as the following, you begin to understand that what is going on is being very carefully orchestrated to result in what is desired by those in the position to benefit therefrom. They can not benefit, except by your voluntary participation. This is why there is a continual cry to VOTE! Make a DIFFERENCE! VOTE! (See Part III.) And to understand, the rule is simple; follow the money! Who will materially benefit from what is to occur?

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE DATED NOV. 22, 1998

THE LIBERTARIAN, By Vin Suprynowicz I don't know nothin' about no Y2K!

OK, I admit it: I've been ducking the "Y2K" question.

It's the most frequent inquiry I get, these days. And last weekend, while participating in an electronic chat room organized by the Liberty Roundtable, I had the questions come up several more times: "What do you see happening in the Y2K crisis? To what part of the country should we move to be safest?"

For those who have been in a cave, "Y2K" is shorthand for the problem that develops because — computer memory having been at a premium — the programmers who set up many of our mainframe computers back in the 1970s and '80s created only a two-digit field for "year."

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Now — starting April 1, 1999, if not sooner — operators are going to try to program the computers that monitor our utility grids and phone systems, the factory machinery that generates “just-on-time delivery” of goods, even our railroad and airport switching equipment, giving those machines their instructions for the first quarter of the year “00.”

But (pardon my anthropomorphing) many of those computers will “assume” the year 00 happened 99 years ago.

Anecdotes are already circulating about mortgage holders being billed for 99 years of delinquent interest, or supermarket debit machines rejecting as “expired” brand new debit cards which carry expiration dates ending in “00” or “01.”

So far, opinion among thoughtful people has been split on the likely repercussions. Some perfectly wise folks argue that our economy and technology are the most innovative and resourceful ever devised. Even if your bank’s ATMs go on the fritz for a couple of days, even if the railroad switching equipment bogs down and produce deliveries to your supermarket grow spotty for a few weeks, armies of well-paid technicians will hurl themselves into developing “work-arounds.”

To this way of thinking, survivalists who foresee the collapse of large segments of our urban culture, putting a premium on ownership of a cow and a well and a garden for the first time in 50 years, are merely engaging in wishful thinking. Generally a bunch of Bible-thumpers (as this line of thought goes), they would find it mighty handy for some kind of cosmic rain of brimstone to wipe away the urban Sodom and Gomorrah they view as the cause of all their problems, proliferating as that urban culture does the legions of the socialists, the welfare queens, the abortionists, the enviro bug-worshippers, the gun-grabbers. How fitting and handy to envision them all killing each other off, fighting over the last moldy crust of bread.

And, if the Y2K “crisis” were about to occur in perfect isolation, that argument would hold some water (even if this revelation of a nation divided into urban-versus-rural, East-versus-West, gun-lover versus gun-hater, would be worth some further study, all by itself.)

But remember, Y2K is “shorthand.” And as it turns out, it stands for a lot more than just “Year 2000.”

The problem is that — not exactly simultaneously, but all within the next couple of years — a few other problems are likely to crop up. Without predicting a specific order:

- 1) The fractional reserve banking system that dates back to the creation of the Federal Reserve in 1912-13 is in deep crisis. Buoyed by the supposed guarantee that the International Monetary Fund (our long-suffering friends, the U.S. tax-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

payers) would bail out any failures, our “private” bankers (and those of Japan) have been recording trumped-up double-digit returns by loaning billions to such bankrupt chicken farms as Malaysia, Indonesia, Russia, Brazil, and Mexico.

These loans are no good. And they are pyramided and leveraged atop one another like something out of Dr. Seuss. In this country, too, the pursuit of ever-higher returns and the presumption that everyone can live the good life on credit have encouraged foolish loans and investments based on the notion that the federal government “insures all deposits,” and that we can always pay off our debts with that raise we hope to get next year.

Once these assumptions start to unravel, the only debate will be whether to describe the fallout with references to “dominoes,” or that old favorite, the “house of cards.”

Remember, as Jimmy Stewart explains to his depositors every year in “It’s a Wonderful Life,” the current system is based on the assumption (are you noticing that word crop up a lot?) that only a small percentage of depositors will ever want to take all their money out at the same time. Otherwise, the banks would be, well, bankrupt. This kind of fraud is only legal because the government specifically licenses people to do it, on the theory that it “creates more credit, to promote economic growth.” (This is partly true, partly not; see Part VI.)

2) Then comes that old stalwart, the New York Stock Exchange. Prices there have been many times what can be justified by traditional price-to-earning ratios for years. That sounds arcane, but what it means is that few investors are buying stocks these days because they’ve always wanted to own a piece of Hammermill or Coca-Cola, and look forward to reading the annual reports and living off the dividends in their golden years.

Dividends? Mere pennies! Folks buy these stocks today because the guy who’s selling them made an 18 to 21 percent return in 1997, and the buyer hopes to realize 18 to 21 percent when he sells them in the year 2000.

When the holder of a mutual fund can no longer even tell you what products or services are offered by the underlying firms that issued the stocks in his or her “portfolio,” what you have is a “bubble.” Think tulip bulbs, Everglades building lots, Cabbage Patch dolls, baseball cards, beanie babies.

3) Today’s dollar is intrinsically worthless. First it was made of gold; then it said “pay to the bearer in gold;” then silver, now it’s a certificate redeemable for exactly nothing. Dollar-denominated Treasury bonds are also intrinsically worthless. They are merely a promise to tax our children or grandchildren to pay us back in still more paper — Libertarians call them “extortion futures.”

Bill Clinton is one of the luckiest men in history ... so far. Most rational (non-Keynesian) economic models would predict that — at the rate at which the United States has been printing and passing worthless green paper for the past 30 years

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

— we should be in the midst of a hyperinflation that would make the Weimar Republic look boring (The writer does not understand the purpose of taxation, David). But the funniest thing happened: All over the world, people love and respect America as the font of freedom, and figure the dollar must really be worth something — certainly more than their worthless domestic ruble or zlotny. So they hide dollars in their mattresses (Wrong; they hide dollars in their mattress because international loans are denominated in dollars, so there is always a stabilizing demand for them). Those dollars don't come back to these shores to bid up the price of American goods. So we're fine ... so far.

But there's a reason why the guys who get arrested by your local bunko squad are called "con artists." Their stock in trade is "confidence."

Remember Y2K? Imagine now that the ATM machines stop working. Since the nation's major railroad switching yards are now entirely computerized — the old manual switches were torn out years ago — the grocery store runs out of fresh produce. Some computer messes up at the sewage treatment plant, and before they can figure out a manual override some sewage backs up into the reservoir. Suddenly you're warned to boil your cooking water, like some barefoot Third World peasant (Who just happens to be better equipped to handle the same problem because he does it every day of his life, and he watched his father cope with the same problem. David). Without explanation, the phones go dead.

Long lines form as folks start panic-buying remaining supplies of gasoline, kerosene lanterns, and canned goods — price no object. There are a few fist fights over the last rolls of toilet paper. Pressed by jealous mobs to "do something," blustering politicians declare that anyone who stores too much stuff is a "hoarder." (If you really doubt that someone in the government knew this was coming, or understands what is going to happen, why are the anti-hoarding laws on the books, and been there for at least ten years?) Neighbors are encouraged to turn in neighbors — offered a reward from the seized goods when they're "redistributed." (If you think this sounds like what went on in the Soviet Union, you are correct. David)

Every electronic alarm in the city goes off all at once, leaving police and fireman scurrying around, clueless. Some looting starts — after all, it's the "hoarders" who are the real criminals, right? TV pictures of all this go out overseas, until the broadcasts are limited "to prevent panic."

What has just been lost? "Confidence." Now folks want to draw out their bank accounts in cash. They want to sell their stocks ... but how can everyone sell when the prices are falling so quickly that there are no buyers, and the phone lines to your broker are tied up for days on end?

4) There is no "Social Security Trust Fund." The thief you keep sending back to Congress helped them spend it all. Already, the retirement age is being raised, and there's serious talk of "means testing" payments — only making full payments

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

to the drunks and losers and compulsive gamblers who accrued no other savings or assets. Kind of like taxing all the industrious little ants, but only paying off the lazy grasshoppers. Sound like a “guaranteed annuity” to you? (Has nothing to do with it. They will simply raise the age limits until the system balances. After all, the purpose of the Social Security Trust Fund is simply another way to curb inflation. David)

Assurances of ongoing “Trust Fund” solvency are based on the optimistic assumption (there’s that word again) that Social Security payments by younger workers will continue at current levels. But what if there’s a recession, with big layoffs? What if the computers at the IRS are rumored to be down as of late 1999? What if lots of people decide to just stop filing and paying federal taxes in early Year 2000, on the theory, “They’re off line, anyway. If we ALL stop, they can’t come find ALL of us”?

(This would never have happened in the 1950s, of course, when American taxpayers — generally paying less than 5 percent of gross income so you could still support a family on one salary — considered it “our” government and were proud to do their patriotic duty at tax time. But since then the liars have brought us Vietnam, Watergate, Chinagate, Filegate, Ruby Ridge, and Waco ... IRS auditors and drug police routinely referring to average Americans as “scum” and gleefully seizing our homes, businesses and bank accounts, tens of thousands of young people jailed for marijuana despite popular votes to legalize the stuff, defendants railroaded by smug federal politician-judges without even being allowed to read the Bill of Rights to their juries.

Still consider it your “patriotic duty” to feed this beast with half of what you earn? Or did you think your pal the congressman was suddenly, desperately searching for “an alternative to the IRS” just out of the goodness of his heart?)

And what happens now to the carefully-drawn charts that show Social Security is “sound until the year 2012”?

IRRESPONSIBLE SPECULATION?

If so, I’m not alone. Appearing in Las Vegas on Tuesday, Nov. 17, U.S. Sen. Bob Bennett, R-Utah, chairman of a Senate Special Committee on the Year 2000 Technology Problem, said turn-of-the-century computer glitches could cause “an economic downturn” in the United States and abroad.

“Potentially, this could tie up huge parts of the economy,” Sen. Bennett told John G. Edwards of the Las Vegas Review-Journal, after a private meeting with executives at the Comdex computer trade show. “There will be a problem. There is no question that we can’t fix everything that needs to be fixed (over the next 14 months).”

Sen. Bennett told the newspaper he believes less developed countries in Asia, Africa and South America will be most affected by Y2K problems, though

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

they're reportedly "working hard" to resolve the computer glitches. "My current assumption is that the United States will overcome this without overwhelming, crippling problems," the senator proclaimed.

Then he said he is also concerned about how the millennium bug will affect the health care industry.

"I wouldn't want to get sick in some rural hospital," the senator said, cheerily.

Meantime, the Sacramento Bee reported (also on Nov. 17) results of an August poll that shows most California cities and counties have a plan to eradicate the year 2000 bug ... "but less than half have set aside the money to pay for it."

FEELING REASSURED?

Into this potential maelstrom, toss three wild cards:

1) If Americans, hypothetically told at some future date that stocks and bonds have fallen to one-third of their previous nominal values, could be counted on to behave like sophisticated, diversified investors, saying "Oh well, you win some, you lose some," then the market could indeed fall by two thirds without causing a meltdown.

But the behavior of large groups of people, once they start moving, is rarely so rational. Would you want to be the last one on your block to cry "Sell"?

When a market crashes, folks lose their jobs. What happens then to folks who have no hard savings or supplies but plenty of debt ... who have barely been keeping their heads above water? What liberties — yours as well as theirs — would they then gladly trade for a steady supply of hot porridge?

2) The kind of people who gravitate to government "service" never voluntarily accept blame, but they are always looking for ways to expand their power. Can you spot any aspects of these "Y2K" scenarios that might give government agencies an excuse to seize more power; to further restrict our freedoms under the guise of "offering relief and restoring order"; and then to blame the whole situation on someone else. "Greedy capitalists," perhaps, who have been operating with "too little regulation"?

3) We will be increasingly reassured that "the best minds" are hard at work rewriting computer code to prevent any of this from happening. But computer nerds and denizens of the Internet are, in my experience, the most free-thinking, libertarian ... even anarchist residents of our little global village. They are immensely confident in their self-sufficiency. And they do not like Big Brother — especially when he threatens to mess with their privacy and freedom.

So ... what if a few of them are only pretending to fix the problem? It may take a village to raise a socialist, but it wouldn't take many Y2K saboteurs to seize this opportunity to "topple the state."

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

A long way around to a relatively short answer: No, I'm not a professional financial advisor. But yes, I know exactly what is going to happen. Everything listed above is going to happen. I just don't know when each thing is going to happen, or in what particular order — which is what you really need to know, if you think about it.

But since there's no real penalty for being “too prepared” — other than perhaps looking a bit foolish — I will fearlessly give a little (absolutely amateur) advice, which should apply in the face of almost any unforeseen emergency. (And if you start preparing yourself for the smaller emergencies now, at least you'll be part-way home.)

Pay down your debts. Turn a hobby into a second, part-time business ... a small but separate income stream. Diversify. Owning a bunch of “funds” with different names, which are in fact all dollar-denominated electronic blips at some government-regulated bank or brokerage house, is not sufficient. Do you own any bullion-value gold coins (not coins with grossly-inflated “collector value,” but coins priced at no more than twice their meltdown worth)? Have you hidden away any bags of “junk silver” (pre-1965 real silver dimes and quarters, now selling at about four times face value)? Do you have a supply of greenbacks somewhere other than “in the ATM?” Enough to live on for a week? A month?

Rich folks can look into Swiss annuities. But even those of moderate means can think about a beat-up looking American pickup truck ... with enough dough left over to stockpile an extra water pump, an extra fuel pump, extra tires and wheels, an extra battery ... and some wrenches, you yo-yo.

Don't panic. Don't sell everything and move somewhere to become an unemployed stranger ... unless you really have the assets, the manpower, and the handyman skills to set yourself up right. Do consider whether you could dig a well (or drink out of the creek) and plant a vegetable garden where you live, should things get tough for a time. If not, do you have friends or relatives within driving distance, where such things are possible? Would they take you in if you arrived as an unwashed beggar? For how long?

But what if you were to contact them now, asking permission to store some supplies there, and even to help finance their plans to fix up a room in the loft, to till and fence a bigger garden, or to share the costs and ownership of a four-wheel-drive vehicle? What then?

Do you have water and non-perishable food stored to get you through a week? A month? (Freeze-dried gourmet camper's fare is fine for the well-heeled, as are surplus Army MREs. But bulk rice, oats, and dried peas in plastic tubs are surprisingly cheap, if you shop around.) More than a week's supply of toilet paper, soap, and other hygiene products? Pet food?

The doctors and insurance companies don't want you to stockpile the pre-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

scription medicines you legitimately need, do they? How hard have you worked at outsmarting them? As a last resort, think “veterinarian.”

Do you have alternative ways to heat and light your home, if the power were to go down? (Residents of blizzard country will actually have a head start, here.) If not, is that because you look forward to someday living in a “government resettlement camp”?

Could you protect your family and belongings from home invaders, if the police could not be reached? Would your neighbors help? Would you help them? Do you even know their names?

Do you own any firearms? Are they listed on government pre-confiscation lists? Why? Do you know why shotguns and handguns are generally better home-defense weapons than rifles? Do you know with what types of bullets or shells it's best to load such weapons for home defense? Got any? Why not? Afraid that once you start to learn new stuff it might become a habit?

Dying during a crisis is not the worst thing that can happen to you. I imagine that watching the suffering of those who counted on you to protect them can be much worse.

Is Y2K a reality? Or is it simply a device to allow those in power to shut down as much of the computing power available to the people as possible? And would that be it's only purpose? Ever read Al Gore's idiot book on the environment? It tells you what is coming. Severe restrictions on cars and travel, in the name of clean air or some such feel good slogan. There are laws on the books TODAY in a number of states which make it illegal to own a car older than 1980. I wonder why? Some of these laws have been on the books for ten years or more, so just try to challenge them.

In short, I do not know if Y2K is real or not, but I suspect that there is something to it, if not in reality, then in the terms of providing an excuse for more laws and more crack down. Probably, in the case of police inability to react, or so we will be told, the reason for foreign troops being here is for our own good. As you read the information in this part and in the next part, think about what is being done behind the closed doors in Washington, DC, New York, London and Switzerland. It will get much worse for those who participate in the system.

Forewarned is forearmed: The constant assault on people' privacy and the government's insatiable appetite for control of our lives should be cause for alarm. Like the article says, this is just another attempt (albeit, a stealth approach) to implementing national health programs that the people, through their representatives, have rejected numerous times in the recent past. - Rick (I need to point out that they are not the people's representatives, and the laws to back up the national health care (population control) laws already exist through various agreements with the UN. This is not something that is coming; it is reality, for all United

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

States citizens. Personally, I don't care. I have not been to a doctor in over twenty years, and I surely do not plan on starting any time soon. — David)

Paper: Houston Chronicle Date: FRI 11/13/98

Section: A Page: 47 Edition: 3 STAR

National registry would invade our children's privacy By A.J. GOKCEK

THE claim to champion the health of children has been a consistent rhetorical winner for U.S. politicians promoting more government spending and control.

Under the pretense of aiding children, the latest program coming out of Washington moves us one step closer to nationalized medicine.

Within six short months, plans will be made final to collect child vaccination records around the country, establish a national database and then ensure that all children and adults comply with government vaccine recommendations.

The National Immunization Program, a project of the federal Centers for Disease Control and the National Vaccine Advisory Committee, will set up a nationwide network of state and community-based computer registries to include personal and medical records of all children from birth.

The database is expected to be operational within two to three years.

The goal, according to President Clinton, is "to make sure that every child is now safe from every vaccine-preventable disease." (I am reasonably well versed on this subject, and I know of no disease which is preventable by vaccine. — David)

The result, however, will be to move us a giant step closer to making our entire health-care system another government bureaucracy.

Do we really have a crisis concerning the immunization of children? According to the CDC, "more than 90 percent of our 2-year-olds have actually received the critical doses of routinely recommended vaccines."

More than 97 percent of children are fully immunized by the time they enter school, according to the CDC, since vaccinations are virtually free in every state and county health clinic across the nation.

Moreover, most states have already established their own immunization registries for preschool children.

This is hardly a health crisis that requires another federal government program, nor are the unvaccinated 2-year-olds necessarily the children of neglectful parents.

Christian Scientists, for example, have religious objections to invasive medical procedures. Others are concerned - and for good reason - about the risks associated with vaccinations.

According to Pennsylvania Parents for Vaccine Awareness, as of December 1996 the federal government's National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

had paid nearly \$1 billion to parents of children injured or killed by vaccines. (What? You did not see this information in the daily press!? David)

While vaccinations have prevented the spread of certain diseases, the sharp decrease in childhood illness over the past century is largely the result of improved sanitation and hygienic practices. (Absolutely correct; the other interesting thing here is that we, here in America, are not living longer. The added average age of Americans has solely come do to the drop in childhood deaths from disease, and a much greater survivability of babies, for the reasons the author listed in this paragraph, and no other. — David)

According to the Virginia-based National Vaccination Information Center, vaccinations can be credited with, at best, only a small percentage of the reduction in disease-related deaths among children.

Giving vaccinations all the credit for the decline in childhood diseases is like crediting highway speed limits for the decline in accident-related deaths, without taking into consideration the improvements in automobile and highway design and the addition of safety features.

Promoters of the new national registry claim that its central purpose is to collect children's immunization records and contact parents of children who need immunizations.

While many parents might welcome such reminders, historical and recent experiences with registries suggest that the new program will lead to harassment, expanded data collection for non-medical purposes and staggering costs.

Worst of all, it will be a giant step toward nationalizing our entire health-care system.

The National Immunization Program's aggressive outreach activities, dubbed "Reminder/Recall methods," will harass and punish parents and health-care providers who do not yield to government requirements.

These activities would include mailings, phone calls and home visits that could lead to on-the-spot vaccinations. One can only imagine what will happen to those who don't comply. (But remember, it's for your own good. — David)

Parents should not be so naive as to assume that the database will include only vaccination records. After all, most state immunization registries already include data not related to health care.

Similarly, the National Immunization Program's goals are to "gather wide-ranging information" and "to identify and target interventions in every pocket of need."

The recommended core data set for immunization registry entries includes the following personal information: Social Security number, race, primary language, birth order, birth registration number, Medicaid number, parents' names and parents' social Security numbers.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Even if strong privacy protections can be put in place, the confidentiality of such information is difficult to protect.

An immunization registry will impose heavy burdens on taxpayers and health-care providers. It has already cost a staggering \$417 million just to set up the system, and billions more in taxpayer dollars will have to be allocated to complete and administer the program.

Despite its goal of higher immunization rates and the rhetoric of protecting children, the National Immunization Program is simply the latest attempt to make our entire health-care industry a branch of the state.

Such a program would allow federal bureaucrats to invade our children's privacy and violate their rights.

It's time Americans debate the appropriate role of government in this area.

Sorry. The time for debate is already past, and those in the exclusive jurisdiction of Congress will abide by the law. The principle agreements for these programs started with the Global 2000 program initiated by the Club of Rome in 1973. This was agreed to by the great Christian president, Jimmy Carter and his Secretary of State, Cyrus Vance, in 1979, and every president since then has signed onto the program. This includes the great Ronald Reagan.

The principles of Global 2000 are simple; the United States government will take whatever steps are necessary to REDUCE the population of the United States to less than 150,000,000 by the year 2050. You want to keep this figure in mind whenever you watch the activities of the government because it is much easier to tell why they are doing certain things when you know this.

Oh, and if you are aware of the population control measures being taken by the United States government in third world countries, perhaps you should examine your conscience and ask yourself if you believed that it was OK, because they really need to be controlled! And besides, they're just ignorant natives, and it really doesn't matter. Evil does not discriminate, and what is done there is being done here, I assure you.

There is no population problem on the earth. Well, perhaps there are quite a number of lawyers and judges using air that could be put to much better use, such as burning garbage, but there are not too many people on the earth. I'll go through this a little later for you.

In the 30's, Morris A. Bealle, a former city editor of the old Washington Times and Herald, was running a county seat newspaper, in which the local power company bought a large advertisement every week. This account took quite a lot of worry off Bealle's shoulders when the bills came due.

But according to Bealle's own story, one day the paper took up the cudgels for some of its readers that were being given poor service from the power company, and Morris Bealle received the dressing down of his life from the advertis-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ing agency which handled the power company's account. They told him that any more such stepping out of line would result in the immediate cancellation not only of the advertising contract, but also of the gas company and the telephone company.

That's when Bealle's eyes were opened to the meaning of a free press, and he decided to get out of the newspaper business. He could afford to do that because he belonged to the landed gentry of Maryland, but not all newspaper editors are that lucky.

Bealle used his professional experience to do some deep digging into the freedom-of-the-press situation and came up with two shattering exposes - *The Drug Story*, and *The House of Rockefeller*. The fact that in spite of his familiarity with the editorial world and many important personal contacts he couldn't get his revelations into print until he founded his own company, *The Columbia Publishing House*, Washington D.C., in 1949, was just a prime example of the silent but adamant censorship in force in the Land of the Free and the Home of the Brave. Although *The Drug Story* is one of the most important books on health and politics ever to appear in the USA, it has never been admitted to a major bookstore nor reviewed by any establishment paper, and was sold exclusively by mail. Nevertheless, when we first got to read it, in the 1970s, it was already in its 33rd printing, under a different label - *Biworld Publishers*, Orem, Utah.

As Bealle pointed out, a business which makes 6% on its invested capital is considered a sound money maker. *Sterling Drug, Inc.*, the main cog and largest holding company in the Rockefeller Drug Empire and its 68 subsidiaries, showed operating profits in 1961 of \$23,463,719 after taxes, on net assets of \$43,108,106 - a 54% profit. *Squibb*, another Rockefeller-controlled company, in 1945 made not 6% but 576% on the actual value of its property.

That was during the luscious war years when the Army Surgeon General's Office and the Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery were not only acting as promoters for the Drug Trust, but were actually forcing drug trust poisons into the blood streams of American soldiers, sailors and marines, to the tune of over 200 million shots. Is it any wonder, asked Bealle, that the Rockefellers, and their stooges in the Food and Drug Administration, the U.S. Public Health Service, the Federal Trade Commission, the Better Business Bureau, the Army Medical Corps, the Navy Bureau of Medicine, and thousands of health officers all over the country, should combine to put out of business all forms of therapy that discourage the use of drugs.

The last annual report of the Rockefeller Foundation, reported Bealle, itemizes the gifts it has made to colleges and public agencies in the past 44 years, and they total somewhat over half a billion dollars. These colleges, of course, teach their students all the drug lore the Rockefeller pharmaceutical houses want taught.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Otherwise there would be no more gifts, just as there are no gifts to any of the 30 odd colleges in the United States that don't use therapies based on drugs.

Harvard, with its well-publicized medical school, has received \$8,764,433 of Rockefeller's Drug Trust money, Yale got \$7,927,800, Johns Hopkins \$10,418,531, Washington University in St. Louis \$2,842,132, New York's Columbia University \$5,424,371, Cornell University \$1,709,072, etc., etc.í

And while giving away those huge sums to drug-propagandizing colleges, the Rockefeller interests were growing to a world-wide web that no one could entirely explore. Already well over 30 years ago it was large enough for Bealle to demonstrate that the Rockefeller interests had created, built up and developed the most far reaching industrial empire ever conceived in the mind of man. Standard Oil was of course the foundation upon which all of the other Rockefeller industries have been built. The story of Old John D., as ruthless an industrial pirate as ever came down the pike, is well known, but is being today conveniently ignored. The keystone of this mammoth industrial empire was the Chase National Bank, now renamed the Chase Manhattan Bank.

Not the least of its holdings are in the drug business. The Rockefellers own the largest drug manufacturing combine in the world, and use all of their other interests to bring pressure to increase the sale of drugs. The fact that most of the 12,000 separate drug items on the market are harmful is of no concern to the Drug Trust...

The Rockefeller Foundation was first set up in 1904 and called the General Education Fund. An organization called the Rockefeller Foundation, ostensibly to supplement the General Education Fund, was formed in 1910 and through long finagling and lots of Rockefeller money got the New York legislature to issue a charter on May 14, 1913.

It is therefore not surprising that the House of Rockefeller has had its own nominees planted in all Federal agencies that have to do with health. So the stage was set for the education of the American public, with a view to turning it into a population of drug and medico dependents, with the early help of the parents and the schools, then with direct advertising and, last but not least, the influence the advertising revenues had on the media-makers.

A compilation of the magazine Advertising Age showed that as far back as 1948 the larger companies in America spent for advertising the sum total of \$1,104,224,374, when the dollar was still worth a dollar and not half a zloty. Of this staggering sum the interlocking Rockefeller-Morgan interests (gone over entirely to Rockefeller after Morgan's death) controlled about 80 percent, and utilized it to manipulate public information on health and drug matters - then and even more recklessly now.

"Even the most independent newspapers are dependent on their press as-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

sociations for their national news,” Bealle pointed out, “and there is no reason for a news editor to suspect that a story coming over the wires of the Associated Press, the United Press or the International News Service is censored when it concerns health matters. Yet this is what happens constantly.”

In fact in the '50s the Drug Trust had one of its directors on the directorate of the Associated Press. He was no less than Arthur Hays Sulzberger, publisher of the New York Times and as such one of the most powerful Associated Press directors.

It was thus easy for the Rockefeller Trust to persuade the Associated Press Science Editor to adopt a policy which would not permit any medical news to clear that is not approved by the Drug Trust “expert,” and this censor is not going to approve any item that can in any way hurt the sale of drugs.

This accounts to this day for the many fake stories of serums and medical cures and just-around-the-corner breakthrough victories over cancer, AIDS, diabetes, multiple sclerosis, which go out brazenly over the wires to all daily newspapers in America and abroad.

Emanuel M. Josephson, M.D., who the Drug Trust has been unable to intimidate despite many attempts, pointed out that the National Association of Science Writers was ‘persuaded’ to adopt as part of its code of ethics the following chestnut: “Science editors are incapable of judging the facts of phenomena involved in medical and scientific discovery. Therefore, they only report discoveries approved by medical authorities, or those presented before a body of scientific peers.”

This explains why Bantam Books, America’s biggest publisher, made a colossal mistake in its initial enthusiasm and optimism sending review copies of **SLAUGHTER OF THE INNOCENT** to the 3,500 “science writers” on its list, instead of addressing them to the literary book reviewers who are not subject to medical censorship. One single censor decreed NO and **SLAUGHTER OF THE INNOCENT** sank in silence.

Thus newspapers continue to be fed with propaganda about drugs and their alleged value, although according to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 1.5 million people landed in hospitals in 1978 because of medication side effects in the U.S. alone, and despite recurrent statements by intelligent and courageous medical men that most pharmaceutical items on sale are useless at best, but more often harmful or deadly in the long run.

The truth about cures without drugs is suppressed, unless it suits the purpose of the censor to garble it. Whether these cures are effected by Chiropractors, Naturopaths, Naprapaths, Osteopaths, Faith Healers, Spiritualists, Herbalists, Christian Scientists, or MDs who use the brains they have, you never read about it in the big newspapers.

To teach the Rockefeller drug ideology, it is necessary to teach that Nature

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

didn't know what she was doing when she made the human body. But statistics issued by the Children's Bureau of the Federal Security Agency show that since the all-out drive of the Drug Trust for drugging, vaccinating and serumizing the human system, the health of the American nation has sharply declined, especially among children. Children are now given shots for this and shots for that, when the only safeguard known to science is a pure bloodstream, which can be obtained only with clean air and wholesome food. Meaning by natural and inexpensive means. Just what the Drug Trust most objects to.

When the FDA, whose officials have to be acceptable to Rockefeller Center before they are appointed, has to put an independent operator out of business, it goes all out to execute those orders. But the orders do not come directly from Standard Oil or a drug house director. As Morris Bealle pointed out, the American Medical Association (AMA) is the front for the Drug Trust, and furnishes the quack doctors to testify that even when they know nothing of the product involved, it is their considered opinion that it has no therapeutic value.

Wrote Bealle: Financed by the taxpayers, these Drug Trust persecutions leave no stone unturned to destroy the victim. If he is a small operator, the resulting attorney's fees and court costs put him out of business. In one case, a Dr. Adolphus Hohensee of Scranton, Pa., who had stated that vitamins (he used natural ones) were vital to good health, was taken to court for misbranding his product. The American Medical Association furnished ten medicos who reversed all known medical theories by testifying that vitamins are not necessary to the human body. Confronted with government bulletins to the contrary, the medicos wiggled out of that one by declaring that these standard publications were outdated!

In addition to the FDA, Bealle listed the following agencies having to do with health - i.e., with the health of the Drug Trust to the detriment of the citizens - as being dependent on Rockefeller: U.S. Public Health Service, U.S. Veterans Administration, Federal Trade Commission, Surgeon General of the Air Force, Army Surgeon General's Office, Navy Bureau of Medicine & Surgery, National Health Research Institute, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences.

The National Academy of Sciences in Washington is considered the all-wise body which investigates everything under the sun, especially in the field of health, and gives to a palpitating public the last word in that science. To the important post at the head of this agency, the Drug Trust had one of their own appointed. He was none other than Alfred N. Richards, one of the directors and largest stockholders of Merck & Company, which was making huge profits from its drug traffic.

When Bealle revealed this fact, Richards resigned forthwith, and the Rockefellers appointed in his place the President of their own Rockefeller Institu-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

tion, Detlev W. Bronk.

The medico-drug cartel was summed up by J.W Hodge, M.D., of Niagara Falls, N.Y., in these words:

The medical monopoly or medical trust, euphemistically called the American Medical Association, is not merely the meanest monopoly ever organized, but the most arrogant, dangerous and despotic organization which ever managed a free people in this or any other age. Any and all methods of healing the sick by means of safe, simple and natural remedies are sure to be assailed and denounced by the arrogant leaders of the AMA doctors' trust as fakes, frauds and humbugs. Every practitioner of the healing art who does not ally himself with the medical trust is denounced as a dangerous quack and impostor by the predatory trust doctors. Every sanitarian who attempts to restore the sick to a state of health by natural means without resort to the knife or poisonous drugs, disease imparting serums, deadly toxins or vaccines, is at once pounced upon by these medical tyrants and fanatics, bitterly denounced, vilified and persecuted to the fullest extent.

The Lincoln Chiropractic College in Indianapolis requires 4,496 hours, the Palmer Institute Chiropractic in Davenport a minimum of 4,000 60-minute classroom hours, the University of Natural Healing Arts in Denver five years of 1,000 hours each to qualify for a degree. The National College of Naprapathy in Chicago requires 4,326 classroom hours for graduation. Yet the medico-drug cartel spreads the propaganda that the practitioners of these three heretic sciences are poorly trained or not trained at all - the real reason being that they cure their patients without the use of drugs.

No candid study of his career can lead to any other conclusion, than that he is victim of perhaps the ugliest of all passions, that for money, money as an end. It is not a pleasant picture.... this money-maniac secretly, patiently, eternally plotting how he may add to his wealth.... He has turned commerce to war, and honey-combed it with cruel and corrupt practices.... And he calls his great organization a benefaction, and points to his church-going and charities as proof of his righteousness. This is supreme wrong-doing cloaked by religion. There is but one name for it - hypocrisy.

This was the description Ida Tarbell made of John D. Rockefeller in her History of the Standard Oil Company, serialized in 1905 in the widely circulated McClure's Magazine. And that was several years before the Ludlow Massacre, so JDR was as yet far from having reached the apex of his disrepute. But after World War II it would have been hard to read, in America or abroad, a single criticism of JDR, nor of Junior, who had followed in his father's footsteps, nor of Junior's four sons who all endeavored to emulate their illustrious forbears. Today's various encyclopedias extant in public libraries of the Western world have nothing but

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

praise for the Family. How was this achieved?

Ironically, the two apparently most **NEGATIVE** events in the career of JDR brought about a huge **POSITIVE** change in his favor, to a degree that he himself could not foresee. To wit:

In the year when according to the current Encyclopaedia Britannica (long become a Rockefeller property and transferred from Oxford to Chicago), Rockefeller had retired from active business, namely in 1911, he had been convicted by a U.S. court of illegal practices and ordered to dissolve the Standard Oil Trust, which comprised 40 corporations. This imposed dissolution was to provide his Empire with added might, to a degree that was unprecedented in the history of modern business. Until then, the Trust had existed for all to see - an exposed target. After that, it went underground, and thereby its power was cloaked in security, and could keep expanding unseen and therefore unopposed.

The second apparently negative experience was a certain 1914 event that persuaded JDR, until then utterly contemptuous of public opinion, to gloss over his own image. The United Mine Workers had asked for higher wages and better living conditions for the miners of the Colorado Fuel and Iron Company, one of the many Rockefeller-owned companies. The miners - mostly immigrants from Europe's poorest countries - lived in shacks provided by the company at exorbitant rent. Their low wages (\$1.68 a day) were paid in script redeemable only at company stores charging high prices. The churches they attended were the pastorates of company-hired ministers; their children were taught in company-controlled schools; the company libraries excluded books that the Bible-thumping Rockefellers deemed subversive, such as Darwin's Origin of the Species.

The company maintained a force of detectives, mine guards, and spies whose job it was to keep the camp quarantined from the danger of unionization. When the miners struck, JDR, Jr., then officially in command of the company, and his father's hatchet man, the Baptist Reverend Frederick T. Gates, who was a director of the Rockefeller Foundation, refused even to negotiate. They evicted the strikers from the company-owned shacks, hired a thousand strike-breakers from the Baldwin-Felts detective agency, and persuaded Governor Ammons to call out the National Guard to help break the strike.

Open warfare resulted. Guardsmen, miners, their women and children, who since their eviction were camping in tents, were ruthlessly killed, until the frightened Governor wired President Wilson for Federal Troops, who eventually crushed the strike, The New York Times, which then already could never be accused of being unfriendly to the Rockefeller interests, reported on April 21, 1914.

A 14-hour battle between striking coal miners and members of the Colorado National Guard in the Ludlow district today culminated in the killing of Louis Tikas, leader of the Greek strikers, and the destruction of the Ludlow tent colony

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

by fire. And the following day:

Forty-five dead (32 of them women and children), a score missing and more than a score wounded is the known result of the 14-hour battle which raged between state troops and coal miners in the Ludlow district, on the property of the Colorado Fuel and Iron Company, the Rockefeller holding. The Ludlow is a mass of charred debris, and buried beneath it is a story of horror unparalleled in the history of industrial warfare. In the holes that had been dug for their protection against rifle fire, the women and children died like trapped rats as the flames swept over them. One pit uncovered this afternoon disclosed the bodies of ten children and two women. (Why? Did you think Waco was somehow unique? David)

The worldwide revulsion that followed was such that JDR decided to hire the most talented press agent in the country, Ivy Lee, who got the tough assignment of whitewashing the tycoon's bloodied image.

When Lee learned that the newly organized Rockefeller Foundation had \$100 million lying around for promotional purposes without knowing what to do with it, he came up with a plan to donate large sums - none less than a million - to well-known colleges, hospitals, churches and benevolent organizations. The plan was accepted. So were the millions. And they made headlines all over the world, for in the days of the gold standard and the five cent cigar there was a maxim in every newspaper office that a million dollars was always news.

That was the beginning of the cleverly worded medical reports on new miracle drugs and just-around-the-corner breakthroughs planted in the leading news offices and press associations that continue to this day, and the flighty public soon forgot, or forgave, the massacre of foreign immigrants for the dazzling display of generosity and philanthropy financed by the ballooning Rockefeller fortune and going out, with thunderous press fanfare, to various worthy institutions.

In the following years, not only newsmen, but whole newspapers were bought, financed or founded with Rockefeller money. So Time Magazine, which Henry Luce started in 1923, had been taken over by J.P. Morgan when the magazine got into financial difficulties. When Morgan died and his financial empire crumbled, the House of Rockefeller wasted no time in taking over this lush editorial plum also, together with its sisters Fortune and Life, and built for them an expensive 14-story home of their own in Rockefeller Center - the Time & Life Building.

Rockefeller was also co-owner of Time's rival magazine, Newsweek, which had been established in the early days of the New Deal with money put up by Rockefeller, Vincent Astor, the Harrimann family and other members and allies of the House.

For all his innate cynicism, JDR must have been himself surprised to dis-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

cover how easily the so-called intellectuals could be bought. Indeed, they turned out to be among his best investments.

By founding and lavishly endowing his Education Boards at home and abroad, Rockefeller won control not only of the governments and politicians but also of the intellectual and scientific community, starting with the Medical Power - the organization that forms those priests of the New Religion that are the modern medicine men. No Pulitzer or Nobel or any similar prize endowed with money and prestige has ever been awarded to a declared foe of the Rockefeller system.

Henry Luce, officially founder and editor of Time Magazine, but constantly dependent on House advertising, also distinguished himself in his adulation of his sponsors. JDR's son had been responsible for the Ludlow massacre, and an obedient partner in his father's most unsavory actions. Nonetheless, in 1956 Henry Luce put Junior on the cover of Time, and the feature story, soberly titled 'The Good man', included hyperboles like this:

It is because John D. Rockefeller Junior's is a life of constructive social giving that he ranks as an authentic American hero, just as certainly as any general who ever won a victory for an American army or any statesman who triumphed in behalf of U.S. diplomacy.

Clearly, Time's editorial board wasn't given the choice to change its tune even after the passing of Junior and Henry Luce, since it remained just as dependent on House of Rockefeller advertising. Thus, when in 1979 one of Junior's sons, Nelson A. Rockefeller died - who had been one of the loudest hawks in the Vietnam and other American wars, and was personally responsible for the massacre of prisoners and hostages at Attica prison - Time said of him in its obituary, without laughing:

He was driven by a mission to serve, improve and uplift his country.

Perhaps it was all this that Prof. Peter Singer had in mind when telling the judges in Italy that the Rockefeller Foundation was a humanitarian enterprise bent on doing good works. One of their best works seems to be sponsoring Prof. Peter Singer, the world's greatest animal friend and protector who claims that vivisection is indispensable for medical progress and for more than 20 years refuses to mention that legions of medical doctors are of the opposite view.

Another interesting revelation in the article of Time was that many years ago already Singer was pleasantly surprised when Britannica approached him to distill in about 30,000 words the discipline that is, at its heart, the systematic study of what we ought to do. So now we touch the subject of sponsorship and patronage. They don't always mean immediate cash but, more important, long-term profits.

Many decades ago the Encyclopedia Britannica moved from Oxford to Chicago because Rockefeller had bought it to add much needed luster to the Univer-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

sity of Chicago and its medical school, the first one he had founded. Peter Singer, the world's greatest animal defender who keeps a door permanently open to vivisection and the lucrative medical swindle, gets millions of dollars free publicity thanks to the worldwide engagement of the Rockefeller Foundation and the mediamakers who are in no position to oppose it.

From the article in Time we also learned that Singer's mother had been a medical doctor in the old country, which could mean that little Peter started assimilating all the Rockefeller superstition on vivisection with his mother's milk.

Taken from the CIVIS Foundation Report number 15, Fall-Winter 1993

CIVIS: POB 152, Via Motta 51-CH 6900, Massagno/Lugano, Switzerland

But if you believe in natural health, abhor the TV, and dare to call upon God, you are a cultist.

Janet Reno has defined a cultist as any one who believes in the Second Coming of Christ, or gives monies to an exclusively Christian church or organization. She states further, anyone who believes that the Bible or Word of our God is superior to man's law is a cultist and potential terrorist.

A recent statement from our recent visitor Louis Freeh (FBI), said before a Congressional panel that the definition of a "Domestic Terrorist" is the same as an "International Terrorist" because they are anyone that opposes the NEW WORLD ORDER and its AGENDA .

So... IF I being a NATIONALIST and a CHRISTIAN that believes AMERICA was founded to be a SEPARATE CHRISTIAN NATION and should never lower its standards for any reason ...THIS MAKES ME A TERRORIST. Two times the US Supreme Court has ruled that America was FOUNDED to be a Christian Nation and add to that PUBLIC LAW # 97-280 Oct.4, 1982, our then Congress declared the BIBLE to be the WORD of GOD, and in the same declaration stated that all MEN SHOULD FOLLOW it. WOW!

What a departure from the current Janet Reno version of the people.

What you have read in this part is not news to those in Washington, DC. They understand power, and they understand that YOU have nothing to do with them having or being in power. They simply need you for participation. After all, it is not much fun being in power with no one to be in power over, or pay the fees.

I want you to take a careful look at your conscience. Then you tell me if you really believe that you can participate with the United States in any manner whatsoever?

Gore Vidal has written an exemplary article in November's Vanity Fair. I quote "The U.S. Bill of Rights is being steadily eroded, with two million telephone calls tapped, 30 million workers under electronic surveillance, and, says the author, countless Americans harassed by a government that wages spurious wars against drugs and terrorism."

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Vidal gets into the drug war, Waco, Ruby Ridge, the “anti-terrorist” bill, OKC bombing—it is almost as if the man had just joined that “vast, rightwing conspiracy” we all joined years ago. But this article is good—real good. And it is excellent that such a thinker and writer who usually is solidly on the side of the “left” has entered the fray.

I really want to scan the article to put it on the net, but I just don’t have the time. Besides, it probably wouldn’t scan well. Neither do I have the time to type it. Maybe in the near future.

So what I’ll do is this. For those of you who will pass it on—to friends, neighbors, put copies in local laundromats and diners, etc., I will mail you a copy of the article. I’ll put in the money for the stamps, you read it and pass it on. This is one that everyone should read.

A few bits from the article:

“One of the problems of a society as tightly controlled as ours is that we get so little information about what those of our fellow citizens, who we will never know or see, are actually thinking and feeling. This seems a paradox when most politics today involves minute-by-minute poll taking, on what looks to be every conceivable subject, but, as politicians and pollsters know, it’s how the question is asked that determines the response. Also, there are vast areas, like rural America, that are an unmapped ultima Thule to those who own the corporations that own the media that spend billions of dollars to take polls in order to elect their lawyers to high office.... Ruby Ridge. Waco. Oklahoma City. Three warning bells from a heartland that most of us who are urban dwellers know little or nothing about. Cause of rural dwellers’ rage? In 1996 there were 1,471 mergers of American corporations in the interest of “consolidation.” This was the largest number of mergers in American history, and the peak of a trend that had been growing in the world of agriculture since the late 1970s.”

“Conspiracy theories now blossom in the wilderness like night-blooming dementia praecox, and those in thrall to them are mocked invariably by the ... by the actual conspirators...”

“Drugs. If they did not exist our governors would have invented them in order to prohibit them and so make much of the population vulnerable to arrest, imprisonment, seizure of property, and so on.”

“In James Bovard’s 1994 book, “Lost Rights,” the author has assembled a great deal of material on just what our law enforcers are up to in the never-to-be-won wars against Drugs and Terrorism, as they do daily battle with the American people in their homes and cars, on buses and planes, indeed, wherever they can get at them, by hook or by crook, or by sting. Military necessity is a bit too high-brow a concept for today’s federal and local officials to justify their midnight smashing in of doors, usually without warning or warrant, in order to terrorize the un-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

lucky residents.”

The woman is right; it is an amazing paper from the left. That being said, it is also an attack on Christianity when you read it and understand, or it would never have been printed! Below is a listing of sources of information that are worth pursuing:

Omni Christian Book Club, P.O. Box 900566, Palmdale, California [93590]

An excellent source. Primarily republishes books long out of print that the major companies will not touch. Books which were commonly available in yesteryear. Many priceless in their information.

CPA Book Publishing, P.O. Box 596, Boring, Oregon [97009]

Another large source for books with a good catalog. Lots of books on health and home schooling.

Christian Truth and Victory Publishing, 9088 CO. RD. 11 N.W., Alexandria, Minnesota [56308]

A large source of seldom seen books. Many books exposing witchcraft, the Jews, Catholic Church, etc.

Bohica Concepts, P. O. Box 546, Randle, Washington [98377]

Chick Publications, P. O. Box 662, Chino, California [91708]

Any of these sources have books that you will be hard pressed to find elsewhere. Many are duplicated, of course, from one to the other, but each has unique books as well. Enjoy.

There are two recommendations I would make for you. One is to get an Ozone generator now. They are incredible machines and worth whatever you have to pay for one. And, frankly, they are not that expensive.

The second has to do with Milk Thistle, which you should be able to buy at any good health food store. I found out about Milk Thistle by accident, and I don't want you to have to do the same. Milk Thistle is the only substance known that works to rebuild the liver. If you have been living in America and eating the standard American diet, this is something you probably need, and need very badly. It is also inexpensive.

PART 12

WHY THE LAW?

“Non-cooperation with evil is as much a duty as cooperation with good.” — Mohandas Gandhi

Your income tax is 100 percent voluntary tax, and your liquor tax is 100 percent enforced tax. Now, the situation is as different as night and day...” - Dwight E. Avis, former head of the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Division of the IRS, testifying before a House Ways and Means subcommittee in 1953.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

The system of lies which permeate the earth are as pervasive and constant as the very air we breathe. Still, people find it hard to understand that man works and speaks in his own interest only. This fact of human nature simply means that 99.9% of everything you hear is a lie. For reference, I refer you to Part III.

Why do men work only in their own interest? Well, I imagine we can turn to Scripture for the answer. A lie is evil; I think we can all agree on that point. Scripture says that the LOVE of money is the root of ALL evil. Pretty hard to make it more simple than that. Look at the world around you. Measure everything you see against this one piece of Scripture, and then explain to me any deviation from it.

But this leaves us with some big holes in knowledge and understanding. Let me see if I can fill in a couple of points. And then ask yourself why you have never been permitted to learn the following, and who is benefiting from your ignorance.

The earth is a live planet. OK, we've all heard this term, but what does it mean? What exactly is a live planet? And no, it does not refer to the green plants, the animals, the movement of the sea or air or any of the other things which come to mind. All of the things you have been conditioned to think of when you hear the term live planet.

The term live planet refers to the condition of the earth which makes all of these other, observable, symptoms of the live planet possible, and it also explains why there are no other live planets in the solar system, and probably not in the Universe.

You see, it takes a very unique formation within the earth to make it a live planet.

The most distinct observable phenomena of this is lightning and the most telling part of lightening is how most people misjudge it. You see, lightning does not strike the earth; lightning goes from the earth to the clouds. There are a couple of instances when a negative charge in the earth will attract a temporary positive charge in the heavens, and lightning will come down to the earth, but this is very rare, and for a very good reason. This reason also has tremendous implications for our health, so pay attention!

We are electrical beings. What this means is that our bodies are controlled by electricity. The orders that our minds send to each and every muscle goes by way of an electrical stimulation traveling down the pathways of our nerves. To swallow, to walk, talk, remember, speak, our heart beat, everything that has to do with movement or with our minds in our bodies is electrical in nature. In other words, everything that has to do with life is controlled by electrical impulses in our bodies.

And not just with our bodies, but with ALL living things on this earth. There are no exceptions that I know of to this. All living things on this planet, plants, fish and animal, as well as man, live because of the electrical nature of their structure.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

If you cut off the electrical nature of their body/stem/leaf or whatever, they die. Now. That is what an electroencephalogram is; a graph of the electrical activity in our mind.

Well, this is all fine and dandy, but so what!? The so what part is the interesting part! The earth is a generator. It produces electricity, and once you understand about our natures and the actual way that electricity works, no other answer is possible. Within the crust of the earth, in the core, there are currents in the magma; very definite, mapable currents, which act as generators of power. It is this generation of power, as much as the availability of water and air, which makes this planet livable; it is this which makes the earth a live planet.

This generation of power provides all the electricity which allows us, and the animals and plants, to live. Without this constant generation of power, we, and the earth, would die, just as we would die if the generation of power exceeded certain limits. Limits which I can not define, and which I do not need to define, because God has already done so. And without this generator in the center of the earth, this would be a dead planet, just as Mars is dead, and always has been. Of course, if this was general knowledge, the obvious fact that comes to mind is that there had to be an intelligence behind the formation of the earth, and the big bang or some other harebrained explanation just does not cut it!

There are a number of things which are very important when you understand the nature of man and electricity. One is live water. This is water that is connected to the earth, even through pipes. There is an interesting experiment that I use to do with people during a seminar. Take two glasses, one plastic, and one glass. Let the water run a little bit and then fill both from a faucet connected directly to the water system serving the area (chemicals do not matter in this case), and pick a strong looking man from the group. Have him stand beside the table, holding his right arm out at right angles to his body. Then, have him stick his two longest fingers well into the water in the plastic glass. Then, you pull down on the other hand, the one he is holding out at 90 degrees from his body. You will find that his arm comes down very easily, no matter how he tries to hold it up. Why? Because the plastic deadened the water and actually grounded out the muscles of the man, denying him any strength with which to hold his arm up.

Next, have him remove his fingers from the plastic glass and insert his fingers into the glass of water (sorry, I couldn't resist). Once again, pull down on his arm. You will find, as he will also, that it is much harder to pull his arm down now because the live water in the glass has re-energized his muscles, and he has control of them once again.

This is important. It has strong implications for your children, and you, if you insist on using plastic glasses for them to drink from. One of the most important parts of the young body is the formation of the eyes. When children are young,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

they badly need live water to help with this formation. When you deny it to them, for whatever reason, they will need glasses, usually very early in life.

How about your eyes? Your eyes been real tired lately from reading or looking at the computer screen? The same is true for you. You must have live water to keep your eyes healthy, and to stop the problems with eye strain and weakening of the eyes. As people become more concentrated in cities, you will see more and more demand for glasses; I wonder why.

This also has very ominous implications if you believe, as so many do, that you can simply ignore the poisons in the city water supply by buying bottled water. Most all bottled water, and there are laws on the books to ensure this now, strictly for your protection, of course, come in plastic jugs. The profit in the manufacture of plastic jugs, un-reusable of course, is immense, but the chemicals given off by the same plastic, which you ingest when you drink the water, is also impressive, for population control. I refer you once again to the book *The Cure for All Diseases*. As I have stated before, it is not possible to live cleanly in a city, and think about the implications for your children and your wife/husband if not for yourself.

And consider what you are doing when you store food in plastic containers, and particularly if you then take the plastic out and put it into the microwave. There is no microwave in my home, and there never will be again. They are banned in the Soviet Union and other locations because of the damage they do to people, and because of how they change the nature of the food you prepare in them. Once you understand electricity and its uses in our bodies, cooking in general becomes at least somewhat suspect, but to prepare food in a microwave, and in particular in plastic containers where you are releasing chemicals directly into the food from the heated plastic is not justifiable under any circumstances!

There are, of course, other implications to this as well. If you get serious about health and nutrition, at some point you will run into the term live food. The problem is that there is never an explanation for this except that it is much better to eat fresh food than to eat frozen, canned, stored, or processed food, and etc. This is not the point; live food recharges our bodies, and without live food, children can not build their bodies correctly, nor can your body heal itself. Aging also increases at an exponential rate as you get further and further from the last live food you ate.

Pay close attention here; live food is food directly from the plant to your plate. There is no provision with live food for fresh produce to be picked in California and then having the complete properties designed in them by God when it reaches your table one or two weeks later. That is a physical impossibility. The electricity which makes up live food is long gone by then, and the benefits to you are greatly reduced when you consume them. Perhaps, after understanding this,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the provisions in the Bible which command us to look carefully into our source of foods becomes more understandable.

Also, because of the electrical nature of our food, we really have little grasp as yet as to how food actually works in our bodies when they are a complete item. For this reason, even good supplements must be suspect as regards how much good they may do within for us because they are only a part of what God intended for us, and as I have shown, His plan is rather complete, so I suspect that our food should be also.

This is not to say that supplements are not necessary. With the normal diet of Americans, good, clean, natural supplements from a trusted source are a necessity, not an option. You just must understand that they can only do so much, and more is required of you. Do not depend upon the supplements as a cure all for what does or may ail you.

Within this framework, meat, which I have mentioned before, becomes much less of a vital addition to a diet as you understand more. That is, unless you are used to cutting a prime steak off a still living cow and consuming it, raw, on the spot!

There is another lesson here as well. That lesson has to do with so-called diets and the information sold by doctors or others and which is so incomplete that it is virtually worthless. I did mention a book early on about the correct diet for your blood type. I did so because that book has information that is vital to understanding a lot about our bodies, and because he does make the point about pop, pork and other foods. That being said, you can judge from the forgoing how incomplete the message is and how important it is for you to continue to study and learn.

Our bodies operate on very strict scientific principles; principles established by God. He does not allow any deviation from these principles. None! So when you see the nonsense in the paper about unraveling the DNA strands and discovering the real causes of dis-ease, perhaps you can apply the Scriptural rule to the stories; the LOVE of money is the root of ALL evil.

Here is a fact; children do not go to the store and bring home toxins in bright colored packages. You do. This includes white bread, white flour, pop, ALL canned food (all canned food is manufactured from approved water sources; this means poisoned water), processed meats, spam, and everything else that is convenient so that the mothers can be proper wage earners and taxpayers. And children learn their eating habits from you, not from some genetic twist in their DNA, as many idiots are claiming about obesity now.

Let me give you my view of children and the world. Children are smart. If you will learn, and teach them, you will have no trouble with proper nutrition, provided you accept the Laws. Children seldom rebel when they understand. As

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

I said above, children are smart, and they understand the Truth. It is only when we have convinced them that white is black and up is down that they become confused and surly. But to do this, we must break the conditioning that we have been subjected to. We must learn, and we must change, first.

Instead, this is what happens. A woman becomes pregnant. Normally, this is the happiest time in her life. But, her church has taught her nothing about the Law, nutrition or health, so she is left floundering. Yes, she understands not to smoke, and is very careful about alcohol. The problem is that this is only a very small part of the picture.

She trusts the local Supermarket to supply her with good food, cooks everything properly and is careful to clean the kitchen well, so her obligation is ended, right? The pork roast she served her family when she was six months pregnant that was infested with liver flukes, which infested her fetus, certainly was not her fault! And that strange fever that she ran when she was three months pregnant, after eating that wonderful shell fish dinner at the local restaurant that her loving husband took her to could not have been the cause for the mongolation of her child! There are other, scientific reasons, probably genetic, for such occurrences!

Now she has three children, one is mentally impaired, another runs a fever all the time, and the third is so hyper that the school insists that she keep him on ritilan. She is nervous all the time and barely able to keep her marriage on a level keel with her husband. She is under a tremendous amount of pressure at work, and the biopsy is due back about the lump she found in her breast. The family is a month behind on the car payment, the house payment has been late every month for the last six months and the credit cards are full and the payments over due. She needs to take the children to the doctor again, but there is no money.

She and her husband are under counseling with their pastor, and the best advice he has come up with so far has been to learn to manage your debt a little bit better. Never a single word from the pastor about the Laws she and her husband have violated. And the same is true of her doctor, never a single word about the causes of breast cancer. When she gets the biopsy back, and the doctor schedules an insurance paid (how lucky!) operation to remove her breasts, the doctor never bothers to tell her (if he knew) that the three main causes of breast cancer are few or no children, little or no breast feeding (the doctor gave her the shot to stop the production of milk so she could get right back to work), and the use of the pill to stop pregnancy. The pill she has been on for eight or nine years now, so she can work and pay her share of the bills.

The other worry she has is the two pack a day cigarette habit of her husband's and the constant coughing he is now doing, and she knows that if he will only break down and go see the doctor, the problem that she is sure he has can be

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

caught in time and he can be saved. She has never seen the information about smoking that has come to light in recent years, and if she had, chances are it would have meant nothing to her. She has little need or ability to think anymore. But the information is interesting, as it is about how for hundreds of years people suffered no ill effects from the use of tobacco, but that the lawsuits against the cigarette companies have revealed that cigarettes today contain over 600 different chemicals. Many of these chemicals are known carcinogens, and others are very addictive, but she knows how weak her husband is, and figures that is the problem.

Now she is about forty of fifty pounds overweight, and her husband a good thirty pounds over his highest possible ideal weight, and the many diets she has been on do not seem to help at all. She is tired all the time, and her body feels wore out. It was bad before the last child was born, but it is worse now, and getting worse day by day it seems. She thinks back at her child bearing years and wonders if she could have done anything different.

She does not know or understand that her body was designed to produce babies. When her body is put to work in this capacity it will overrule all other considerations except the immediate need for life. When the growing fetus within her demands certain minerals or vitamins for growth, her body will supply them no matter what the cost may be in the future for her. The demands of the baby will be met. And lacking proper nutrition, which is virtually impossible today, her body will rob itself of all things necessary. This will leave her body in a very poor state of health, weak, and with an immune system which is subject to easy attack. And it will leave her permanently tired, unless she acts to supply her body with what was taken from it.

When she has time, in between fights with her husband, boss, or children to stop for a minute, if she bothers to think about God at all, all she thinks about God is why He has Cursed her so much. And I have known this woman, and many others just like her, and I feel so sorry for them. I lay most of the blame for this on the so-called churches. But then, there are other answers as well. This information, about the live food and how the earth works, I have never seen put together in one piece before. Even the man who first explained to me how the earth actually works did not grasp the significance of food and water in the scheme of things in conjunction with the electricity. I had to put the pieces together over a long period of time as I gained understanding and knowledge. We are, truly, an ignorant people.

There are only three lessons which are necessary in order to understand the Universe. Just three. No more.

HE IS GOD, AND HIS WORD IS THE LAW.

I am a man, and not a god. I have no power and no authority, therefore I must serve.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

His Will be Done, and His Will is The Law, which is Perfect.

His Will. Think about that, and think about what you are learning here about health and nutrition, then apply the same standard to the rest of His Laws, because I assure you, the same standards apply. His Will be done. We have no choice in the matter; His Will be done. It will be done, because His Law is that exacting. Vengeance is Mine, sayeth God. It is the Truth!

There is no other lessons to be learned in life. And all the nonsense about politics is just that; nonsense for the gain of a few unprincipled men who wish to make laws to entrap you for their own gain. Keep this thought in mind as you read the rest of this.

CIVIL WAR WAS ABOUT CONTROLS, NOT SLAVERY

The problems that led to the Civil War are the same problems today — big, intrusive government. The reason we don't face the specter of another Civil War is because today's Americans don't have yesteryear's spirit of liberty and constitutional respect, and political statesmanship is in short supply.

Actually, the war of 1861 was not a civil war. A civil war is a conflict between two or more factions trying to take over a government. In 1861, Confederate President Jefferson Davis was no more interested in taking over Washington than George Washington was interested in taking over England in 1776. Like Washington, Davis was seeking independence. Therefore, the war of 1861 should be called "The War Between the States" or the "War for Southern Independence."

History books have misled today's Americans to believe the war was fought to free slaves. Statements from the time suggest otherwise. In President Lincoln's first inaugural address, he said, "I have no purpose, directly or indirectly, to interfere with the institution of slavery in the states where it exists. I believe I have no lawful right to do so."

During the war, in an 1862 letter to New York Daily Tribune editor Horace Greeley, Lincoln said, "My paramount object in this struggle is to save the Union, and it is not either to save or destroy slavery."

Lincoln's intentions, as well as that of many Northern politicians, were summarized by Stephen Douglas during the presidential debates. Douglas accused Lincoln of wanting to "impose on the nation a uniformity of local laws and institutions and a moral homogeneity dictated by the central government" that "place at defiance the intentions of the republic's founders." Douglas was right, and Lincoln's vision for our nation has now been accomplished beyond anything he could have possibly dreamed.

A precursor for a War Between the States came in 1832, when South Carolina called a convention to nullify tariff acts of 1828 and 1832, referred to as the "Tariffs of Abominations." A compromise lowering the tariff was reached, averting secession and possibly war.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

The North favored protective tariffs for its manufacturing industry. The South, which exported agricultural products to and imported manufactured goods from Europe, favored free trade and was hurt by the tariffs. Plus, a Northern-dominated Congress enacted laws similar to Britain's Navigation Acts to protect Northern shipping interests.

Shortly after Lincoln's election, Congress passed the highly protectionist Morrill tariffs. That's when the South seceded, setting up a new government. Its constitution was nearly identical to the U.S. Constitution except that it outlawed protectionist tariffs, business handouts and mandated a two-thirds majority vote for all spending measures.

States should again challenge Washington's unconstitutional acts through nullification. But you tell me where we can find leaders with the love, courage and respect for our Constitution like Thomas Jefferson, James Madison and John C. Calhoun.

Creators Syndicate Inc.

See how dangerous it is to read things like this without more knowledge than the average American has? The basic premise he speaks of about the south is true, as far as it goes. But he has little knowledge or understanding of the Constitution, or of the control of the South by the banking interests through the loans granted to the South for the prosecution of the Civil War. He has not even identified the correct parts of the Constitution that have caused the problems in America.

Of course, any time you establish a government which gives man the power to make law, what you see today is what you will get. There has never, and can never, be an exception to this fact of nature.

The following is a case in point.

"Americans have the right and advantage of being armed - unlike the citizens of other countries whose governments are afraid to trust the people with arms." — James Madison, The Federalist Papers #46 at 243 - 244

Congress - John Norrell 16:21:40 12/03/98 (5)]

Listen.....I think I hear a 'fife and drum'.....I DO. And.....it's getting CLOSER!!!!!!

I just took this off Vollmers Semi-ad forum board. This is SCARY!

www.fjvollmer.com/general/index.html

Posted by John Norrell on December 03, 1998 at 16:21:40:

The following is meant to be just a brief summary of some of the interesting high points of the Brady II Bill before Congress. For details please read the bill itself. You may want to sit down while reading this.

High Points / Summary of the Brady II Bill John Norrell 12-98

1. It shall be unlawful for any person to sell, deliver, or trade a handgun or

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

handgun ammunition to anyone that is not either a FFL dealer or an individual with a State handgun license. A 7 day wait on handguns will apply to all non-FFL holders. The term handgun ammunition includes bullets, primer and powder. Section 101

2. It shall be unlawful for any person to store or leave a loaded firearm, or an unloaded firearm and ammunition at any place where a juvenile is likely to gain access. Section 203

3. It shall be unlawful for a person to possess more than 20 firearms or more than 1,000 rounds of ammunition unless that person is a FFL holder or has a \$300 (for three years) Arsenal License. Holders of arsenal licenses shall be subject to all obligations and requirements pertaining to licensed dealers. Section 204

4. It shall be unlawful to sell more than one handgun during any 30-day period to any one individual except law enforcement officers, FFL holders, security guards, etc. It shall be unlawful for a non-FFL holder to purchase more than one handgun during any 30 day period. Section 301

5. New FFL fees will range from \$1,000 to \$10,000. Section 303

6. Inspections of FFL premises and individual holders of arsenal licenses by BATF may occur up to 3 times a year with no notice. Section 305

7. It shall be unlawful for a FFL holder to not have personal bodily injury protection (insurance) that covers the handgun purchaser or victim if the handgun is used in a negligent manner. Minimum policy limit is \$100,000. Section 306 (Of course, the insurance lobby, controlled by the bankers, had nothing to do with this provision, just as they had nothing to do with all of the insurance requirements which are law in all states! — David)

8. It shall be unlawful for a FFL holder to sell, deliver, or otherwise transfer a firearm (of any type) at a location other than the location specified on the license. Section 309 (No more gun shows. — David)

9. The definition of a firearm will include all component parts such as barrels, stocks, magazines, or any part of the action. Section 312

10. Prohibited weapons will now include revolvers with a barrel shorter than 3 inches, any handgun that utilizes .22 short, .25 cal. , or .32 cal ammunition. Section 401

11. Prohibited ammunition will include Dragons' Breath, .50 cal BMG, incendiary, a bullet larger than .45 cal, or handgun ammunition that exceeds 1,200 foot pounds of energy. Section 401

12. Prohibited magazines will include any that accept more than 6 rounds of ammunition and included all magazine replacement parts for higher capacity magazines . Section 401

13. Articles taxable at 30% & 50% will include handguns and handgun am-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

munition. Section 403

14. Gun “turn in programs” will allow individuals to turn in firearms for a full value tax deduction on federal income tax up to 5% of taxable income. Section 501

For a full value tax reduction for a tax they do not owe, but have volunteered for. Somehow, this actually makes sense. The next program, if what I see coming in America is close to true, will be a turn-in program so you can trade guns for food.

The bankers understand guns. And power, and they understand that power comes out of the end of a gun. Perhaps the following makes more sense that way. It certainly does to me.

A LETTER FROM HAGOOD’S CROSSROADS, ALABAMA

“WHAT GOOD CAN A HANDGUN DO AGAINST AN ARMY.....?”

A friend of mine recently forwarded me a question a friend of his had posed: “If/when our Federal Government comes to pilfer, pillage, plunder our property and destroy our lives, what good can a handgun do against an army with advanced weaponry, tanks, missiles, planes, or whatever else they might have at their disposal to achieve their nefarious goals? (I’m not being facetious: I accept the possibility that what happened in Germany, or in the Soviet Union under Stalin, could happen here; I’m just not sure that the potential good from an armed citizenry in such a situation outweighs the day-to-day problems caused by masses of idiots who own guns.)”

If I may, I’d like to try to answer that question. I certainly do not think the writer facetious for asking it. The subject is a serious one that I have given much research and considerable thought to. I believe that upon the answer to this question depends the future of our Constitutional republic, our liberty and perhaps our lives. My friend Aaron Zelman, one of the founders of Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership told me once: “If every Jewish and anti-nazi family in Germany had owned a Mauser rifle and twenty rounds of ammunition AND THE WILL TO USE IT (emphasis supplied, MV), Adolf Hitler would be a little-known footnote to the history of the Weimar Republic.”

Note well that phrase: “and the will to use it,” for the simply-stated question, “What good can a handgun do against an army?”, is in fact a complex one and must be answered at length and carefully. It is a military question. It is also a political question. But above all it is a moral question which strikes to the heart of what makes men free, and what makes them slaves. First, let’s answer the military question.

Most military questions have both a strategic and a tactical component. Let’s consider the tactical.

A friend of mine owns an instructive piece of history. It is a small, crude pistol, made out of sheet-metal stampings by the U.S. during World War II. While

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

it fits in the palm of your hand and is a slowly-operated, single-shot arm, it's powerful .45 caliber projectile will kill a man with brutal efficiency. With a short, smooth-bore barrel it can reliably kill only at point blank ranges, so its use requires the will (brave or foolhardy) to get in close before firing. It is less a soldier's weapon than an assassin's tool. The U.S. manufactured them by the million during the war, not for our own forces but rather to be air-dropped behind German lines to resistance units in occupied Europe. Crude and slow (the fired case had to be knocked out of the breech by means of a little wooden dowel, a fresh round procured from the storage area in the grip and then manually reloaded and cocked) and so wildly inaccurate it couldn't hit the broad side of a French barn at 50 meters, to the Resistance man or woman who had no firearm it still looked pretty darn good.

The theory and practice of it was this: First, you approach a German sentry with your little pistol hidden in your coat pocket and, with Academy-award sincerity, ask him for a light for your cigarette (or the time the train leaves for Paris, or if he wants to buy some non-army-issue food or a half-hour with your "sister"). When he smiles and casts a nervous glance down the street to see where his Sergeant is at, you blow his brains out with your first and only shot, then take his rifle and ammunition. Your next few minutes are occupied with "getting out of Dodge," for such critters generally go around in packs. After that (assuming you evade your late benefactor's friends) you keep the rifle and hand your little pistol to a fellow Resistance fighter so they can go get their own rifle.

Or maybe you then use your rifle to get a submachine gun from the Sergeant when he comes running. Perhaps you get very lucky and pick up a light machine gun, two boxes of ammunition and a haversack of hand grenades. With two of the grenades and the expenditure of a half-a-box of ammunition at a hasty roadblock the next night, you and your friends get a truck full of arms and ammunition. (Some of the cargo is sticky with "Boche" blood, but you don't mind terribly.)

Pretty soon you've got the best armed little marquis unit in your part of France, all from that cheap little pistol and the guts to use it. (One wonders if the current political elite's opposition to so-called "Saturday Night Specials" doesn't come from some adopted racial memory of previous failed tyrants. Even cheap little pistols are a threat to oppressive regimes.)

They called the pistol the "Liberator." Not a bad name, all in all.

Now let's consider the strategic aspect of the question, "What good can a handgun do against an army....?" We have seen that even a poor pistol can make a great deal of difference to the military career and postwar plans of one enemy soldier. That's tactical. But consider what a million pistols, or a hundred million pistols (which may approach the actual number of handguns in the U.S. today), can mean to the military planner who seeks to carry out operations against a popu-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

lace so armed. Mention “Afghanistan” or “Chechnya” to a member of the current Russian military hierarchy and watch them shudder at the bloody memories. Then you begin to get the idea that modern munitions, air superiority and overwhelming, precision-guided violence still are not enough to make victory certain when the targets are not sitting Christmas-present fashion out in the middle of the desert.

I forget the name of the Senator who observed, “You know, a million here and a million there, and pretty soon you’re talking about serious money.” Consider that there are at least as many firearms— handguns, rifles and shotguns— as there are citizens of the United States. Consider that last year there were more than 14 million Americans who bought licenses to hunt deer in the country. 14 million— that’s a number greater than the largest five professional armies in the world combined. Consider also that those deer hunters are not only armed, but they own items of military utility— everything from camouflage clothing to infra-red “game finders”, Global Positioning System devices and night vision scopes.

Consider also that quite a few of these hunters are military veterans. Just as moving around in the woods and stalking game are second nature, military operations are no mystery to them, especially those who were on the receiving end of guerrilla war in Southeast Asia. Indeed, such men, aging though they may be, may be more psychologically prepared for the exigencies of civil war (for this is what we are talking about) than their younger active-duty brother-soldiers whose only military experience involved neatly defined enemies and fronts in the Grand Campaign against Saddam. Not since 1861-1865 has the American military attempted to wage a war athwart its own logistical tail (nor indeed has it ever had to use modern conventional munitions on the Main Streets of its own hometowns and through its’ relatives backyards, nor has it tested the obedience of soldiers who took a very different oath with orders to kill their “rebellious” neighbors, but that touches on the political aspect of the question).

But forget the psychological and political for a moment, and consider just the numbers. To paraphrase the Senator, “A million pistols here, a million rifles there, pretty soon you’re talking serious firepower.” No one, repeat, no one, will conquer America, from within or without, until its citizenry are disarmed. We remain, as a British officer had reason to complain at the start of our Revolution, “a people numerous and armed.”

The Second Amendment is a political issue today only because of the military reality that underlies it. Politicians who fear the people seek to disarm them. People who fear their government’s intentions refuse to be disarmed. The Founders understood this. So, too, does every tyrant who ever lived. Liberty-loving Americans forget it at their peril. Until they do, American gun owners in the aggregate represent a strategic military fact and an impediment to foreign tyranny. They also represent the greatest political challenge to home-grown would-be tyrants.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

If the people cannot be forcibly disarmed against their will, then they must be persuaded to give up their arms voluntarily. This is the siren song of “gun control,” which is to say “government control of all guns,” although few self-respecting gun-grabbers would be quite so bold as to phrase it so honestly.

Joseph Stalin, when informed after World War II that the Pope disapproved of Russian troops occupying Trieste, turned to his advisors and asked, “The Pope? The Pope? How many divisions does he have?” Dictators are unmoved by moral suasion. Fortunately, our Founders saw the wisdom of backing the First Amendment up with the Second. The “divisions” of the army of American constitutional liberty get into their cars and drive to work in this country every day to jobs that are hardly military in nature. Most of them are unmindful of the service they provide. Their arms depots may be found in innumerable closets, gun racks and gun safes. They have no appointed officers, nor will they need any until they are mobilized by events. Such guardians of our liberty perform this service merely by existing. And although they may be an ever-diminishing minority within their own country, as gun ownership is demonized and discouraged by the ruling elite, still they are as yet more than enough to perform their vital task. And if they are unaware of the impediment they present to their would-be rulers, their would-be rulers are painfully aware of these “divisions of liberty”, as evidenced by their incessant calls for individual disarmament. They understand moral versus military force just as clearly as Stalin, but they would not be so indelicate as to quote him.

The Roman Republic failed because they could not successfully answer the question, “Who Shall Guard the Guards?” The Founders of this Republic answered that question with both the First and Second Amendments. Like Stalin, the Clintonistas could care less what common folk say about them, but the concept of the armed citizenry as guarantors of their own liberties sets their teeth on edge and disturbs their statist sleep.

Governments, some great men once avowed, derive their legitimacy from “the consent of the governed.” In the country that these men founded, it should not be required to remind anyone that the people do not obtain their natural, God-given liberties by “the consent of the Government.” Yet in this century, our once great constitutional republic has been so profaned in the pursuit of power and social engineering by corrupt leaders as to be unrecognizable to the Founders. And in large measure we have ourselves to blame because at each crucial step along the way the usurpers of our liberties have obtained the consent of a majority of the governed to do what they have done, often in the name of “democracy”—a political system rejected by the Founders. Another good friend of mine gave the best description of pure democracy I have ever heard. “Democracy,” he concluded, “is three wolves and a sheep sitting down to vote on what to have for dinner.” The rights of the sheep in this system are by no means guaranteed.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Now it is true that our present wolf-like, would-be rulers do not as yet seek to eat that sheep and its peaceable woolly cousins (We, the people). They are, however, most desirous that the sheep be shorn of taxes, and if possible and when necessary, be reminded of their rightful place in society as “good citizen sheep” whose safety from the big bad wolves outside their barn doors is only guaranteed by the omni-presence in the barn of the “good wolves” of the government. Indeed, they do not present themselves as wolves at all, but rather these lupines parade around in sheep’s clothing, bleating insistently in falsetto about the welfare of the flock and the necessity to surrender liberty and property “for the children”, er, ah, I mean “the lambs.” In order to ensure future generations of compliant sheep, they are careful to educate the lambs in the way of “political correctness,” tutoring them in the totalitarian faiths that “it takes a barnyard to raise a lamb” and “all animals are equal, but some animals are more equal than others.”

Every now and then, some tough old independent-minded ram refuses to be shorn and tries to remind the flock that they once decided affairs themselves according to the rule of law of their ancestors, and without the help of their “betters.” When that happens, the fangs become apparent and the conspicuously unwilling are shunned, cowed, driven off or (occasionally) killed. But flashing teeth or not, the majority of the flock has learned over time not to resist the Lupine-Mandarin class which herds it. Their Founders, who were fiercely independent rams, would have long ago chased off such usurpers. Any present members of the flock who think like that are denounced as antediluvian or mentally deranged.

There are some of these dissidents the lupines would like to punish, but they dare not—for their teeth are every bit as long as their “betters.” Indeed, this is the reason the wolves haven’t eaten any sheep in generations. To the wolves chagrin, this portion of the flock is armed and they outnumber the wolves by a considerable margin. For now the wolves are content to watch the numbers of these “armed sheep” diminish, as long teeth are no longer fashionable in polite society. (Indeed, they are considered by the literati to be an anachronism best forgotten and such sheep are dismissed by the Mandarins as “Tooth Nuts” or “Right Leg Fanatics”.) When the numbers of armed sheep fall below a level that wolves can feel safe to do so, the eating will begin. The wolves are patient, and proceed by infinitesimal degrees like the slowly-boiling frog. It took them generations to lull the sheep into accepting them as rulers instead of elected representatives. If it takes another generation or two of sheep to complete the process, the wolves can wait. This is our “Animal Farm,” without apology to George Orwell.

Even so, the truth is that one man with a pistol CAN defeat an army, given a righteous cause to fight for, enough determination to risk death for that cause, and enough brains, luck and friends to win the struggle. This is true in war but also in politics, and it is not necessary to be a Prussian militarist to see it. The dirty little secret of today’s ruling elite as represented by the Clintonistas is that they

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

want people of conscience and principle to be divided in as many ways as possible (“wedge issues” the consultants call them) so that they may be more easily manipulated. No issue of race, religion, class or economics is left un-exploited. Lost in the din of jostling special interests are the few voices who point out that if we refuse to be divided from what truly unites us as a people, we cannot be defeated on the large issues of principle, faith, the constitutional republic and the rule of law. More importantly, woe and ridicule will be heaped upon anyone who points out that, like the blustering Wizard of Oz, the federal tax and regulation machine is not as omniscient, omnipotent or fearsome as they would have us believe. Like the Wizard, they fan the scary flames higher and shout, “Pay no attention to the man behind the curtain!”

For the truth is, they are frightened that we will find out how pitifully few they are compared to the mass of the citizenry they seek to frighten into compliance with their tax collections, property seizures and bureaucratic, unconstitutional power-shifting. I strongly recommend everyone see the new animated movie “A Bug’s Life”. Simple truths may often be found sheltering beneath unlikely overhangs, there protected by the pelting storm of lies that soak us everyday. “A Bug’s Life”, a children’s movie of all things, is just such a place.

The plot revolves around an ant hill on an unnamed island, where the ants placate predatory grasshoppers by offering them each year one-half of the food they gather (sounds a lot like the IRS, right?). Driven to desperation by the insatiable tax demands of the large, fearsome grasshoppers, one enterprising ant goes abroad seeking bug mercenaries who will return with him and defend the anthill when the grasshoppers return. (If this sounds a lot like an animated “Magnificent Seven,” you’re right.)

The grasshoppers (who roar about like some biker gang or perhaps the ATF in black helicopters, take your pick) are, at one point in the movie, lounging around in a bug cantina down in Mexico, living off the bounty of the land. The harvest seeds they eat are dispensed one at a time from an upturned bar bottle. Two grasshoppers suggest to their leader, a menacing fellow named “Hopper” (whose voice characterization by Kevin Spacey is suitably evil personified), that they should forget about the poor ants on the island. Here, they say, we can live off the fat of the land, why worry about some upstart ants? Hopper turns on them instantly. “Would you like a seed?” he quietly asks one. “Sure,” answers the skeptical grasshopper thug. “Would you like one?” Hopper asks the other. “Yeah,” says he. Hopper manipulates the spigot on the bar bottle twice, and distributes the seeds to them.

“So, you want to know why we have to go back to the island, do you?” Hopper asks menacingly as the thugs munch on their seeds. “I’ll show you why!” he shouts, removing the cap from the bottle entirely with one quick blow. The seeds,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

no longer restrained by the cap, respond to gravity and rush out all at once, inundating the two grasshoppers and crushing them. Hopper turns to his remaining fellow grasshoppers and shrieks, “That’s why!”

I’m paraphrasing from memory here, for I’ve only seen the movie once. But Hopper then explains, “Don’t you remember the upstart ants on that island? They outnumber us a hundred to one. How long do you think we’ll last if they ever figure that out?”

“If the ants are not frightened of us,” Hopper tells them, “our game is finished. We’re finished.”

Of course it comes as no surprise that in the end the ants figure that out. Would that liberty-loving Americans were as smart as animated ants.

Courage to stand against tyranny, fortunately, is not only found on videotape. Courage flowers from the heart, from the twin roots of deeply-held principle and faith in God. There are American heroes living today who have not yet performed the deeds of principled courage that future history books will record. They have not yet had to stand in the gap, to plug it with their own fragile bodies and lives against the evil that portends. Not yet have they been required to pledge “their lives, their fortunes and their sacred honor.” Yet they will have to. I believe with all my heart the lesson that history teaches: That each and every generation of Americans is given, along with the liberty and opportunity that is their heritage, the duty to defend America against the tyrannies of their day. Our father’s father’s fathers fought this same fight. Our mother’s mother’s mothers fought it as well. From the Revolution through the world wars, from the Cold War through to the Gulf, they fought to secure their liberty in conflicts great and small, within and without.

They stood faithful to the oath that our Founders gave us: To bear true faith and allegiance— not to a man; not to the land; not to a political party, but to an idea. The idea is liberty, as codified in the Constitution of the United States. We swear, as did they, an oath to defend the Constitution against all enemies, foreign and domestic. And throughout the years they paid in blood and treasure the terrible price of that oath. That was their day. This is ours. The clouds we can see on the horizon may be a simple rain or a vast hurricane, but there is a storm coming. Make no mistake.

Lincoln said that this nation cannot long exist half slave and half free. I say, if I may humbly paraphrase, that this nation cannot long exist one-third slave, one-third uncommitted, and one-third free. The slavery today is of the mind and soul not the body, but is slavery without a doubt that the Clintons and their toadies are pushing.

It is slavery to worship our nominally-elected representatives as our rulers instead of requiring their trustworthiness as our servants. It is slavery of the mind

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

and soul that demands that God-given rights that our Forefathers secured with their blood and sacrifice be traded for false security of a nanny-state which will tend to our “legitimate needs” as they are perceived by that government.

It is slavery to worship humanism as religion and slavery to deny life and liberty to unborn Americans. As people of faith in God, whatever our denomination, we are in bondage to a plantation system that steals our money; seizes our property; denies our ancient liberties; denies even our very history, supplanting it with sanitized and politicized “correctness”; denies our children a real public education; denies them even the mention of God in school; denies, in fact, the very existence of God.

So finally we are faced with, we must return to, the moral component of the question: “What good can a handgun do against an army?” The answer is “Nothing,” or “Everything.” The outcome depends upon the mind and heart and soul of the man or woman who holds it. One may also ask, “What good can a sling in the hands of a boy do against a marauding giant?” If your cause is just and righteous much can be done, but only if you are willing to risk the consequences of failure and to bear the burdens of eternal vigilance.

A new friend of mine gave me a plaque the other day. Upon it is written these words by Winston Churchill, a man who knew much about fighting tyranny: “Still, if you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance of survival. There may be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.”

The Spartans at Thermopolae knew this. The fighting Jews of Masada knew this, when every man, woman and child died rather than submit to Roman tyranny. The Texans who died at the Alamo knew this. The frozen patriots of Valley Forge knew this. The “expendable men” of Bataan and Corregidor knew this. If there is one lesson of Hitlerism and the Holocaust, it is that free men, if they wish to remain free, must resist would-be tyrants at the first opportunity and at every opportunity. Remember that whether they come as conquerors or elected officials, the men who secretly wish to be your murderers must first convince you that you must accept them as your masters. Free men and women must not wait until they are “selected”, divided and herded into Warsaw Ghettos, there to finally fight desperately, almost without weapons, and die outnumbered.

The tyrant must be met at the door when he appears. At your door, or mine, wherever he shows his bloody appetite. He must be met by the pistol which can defeat an army. He must be met at every door, for in truth we outnumber him and his henchmen. It matters not whether they call themselves Communists or Nazis

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

or something else. It matters not what flag they fly, or what uniform they wear. It matters not what excuses they give for stealing your liberty, your property or your life. “By their works ye shall know them.”

The time is late. Those who once had trouble reading the hour on their watches have no trouble seeing by the glare of the fire at Waco. Few of us realized at the time that the Constitution was burning right along with the Davidians. Now we know better.

We have had the advantage of that horrible illumination for more than five years now— five years in which the rule of law and the battered old parchment of our beloved Constitution have been smashed, shredded and besmirched by the Clintonistas. In this process they have been aided and abetted by the cowardly incompetence of the “opposition” Republican leadership, a fact made crystal clear by the Waco hearings. They have forgotten Daniel Webster’s warning: “Miracles do not cluster. Hold on to the Constitution of the United States of America and the Republic for which it stands— what has happened once in six thousand years may never happen again. Hold on to your Constitution, for if the American Constitution shall fail there will be anarchy throughout the world.” (This is true, but not in the sense that we take it to mean! — David)

Yet being able to see what has happened has not helped us reverse, or even slow, the process. The sad fact is that we may have to resign ourselves to the prospect of having to maintain our principles and our liberty in the face of becoming a disenfranchised minority within our own country.

The middle third of the populace, it seems, will continue to waffle in favor of the enemies of the Constitution until their comfort level with the economy is endangered. They’ve got theirs, Jack. The Republicans, who we thought could represent our interests and protect the Constitution and the rule of law, have been demonstrated to be political eunuchs. Alan Keyes was dead right when he characterized the last election as one between “the lawless Democrats and the gutless Republicans.” The spectacular political failures of our current leaders are unrivaled in our history unless you recall the unprincipled jockeying for position and tragic-comedy of misunderstanding and miscommunication which lead to our first Civil War.

And make no mistake, it is civil war which may be the most horrible corollary of the Law of Unintended Consequences as it applies to the Clintonistas and their destruction of the rule of law. Because such people have no cause for which they are willing to die (all morality being relativistic to them, and all principles compromisable), they cannot fathom the motives or behavior of people who believe that there are some principles worth fighting and dying for. Out of such failures of understanding come wars. Particularly because although such elitists would not risk their own necks in a fight, they have no compunction about ordering oth-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ers in their pay to fight for them. It is not the deaths of others, but their own deaths, that they fear. As a Christian, I cannot fear my own death, but rather I am commanded by my God to live in such a way as to make my death a homecoming. That this makes me incomprehensible and threatening to those who wish to be my masters is something I can do little about. I would suggest to them that they not poke their godless, tyrannical noses down my alley. As the coiled rattlesnake flag of the Revolution bluntly stated: "Don't Tread on Me!" Or, as our state motto here in Alabama says: "We Dare Defend Our Rights."

But can a handgun defeat an army? Yes. It remains to be seen whether the struggle of our generation against the tyrants of our day in the first decade of the 21st Century will bring a restoration of liberty and the rule of law or a dark and bloody descent into chaos and slavery.

If it is to be the former, I will meet you at the new Yorktown. If it is to be the latter, I will meet you at Masada. But I will not be a slave. And I know that whether we succeed or fail, if we should fall along the way our graves will one day be visited by other free Americans, thanking us that we did not forget that, with the help of Almighty God, in the hands of a free man a handgun CAN defeat a tyrant's army.

Pretty interesting. But a lot more interesting when you have enough information to judge what is being said. For instance, the information on the real basis of health. If this was taught in our schools, do you imagine for one moment that so many could be convinced to live in cities!? So they can be the wage slaves of the elite in the corporations that control the world? And, one other factor in proper food, is the increased ability to think. Definitely not something to be promoted by those who would be in charge.

I wonder, whenever I read something like the above, if the writer is still a taxpayer and knows the answer. Words, not actions.

How many things are truly important in this world? Perhaps the best way to judge this is to count only those things which, once we are dead, we take with us. What, are you surprised that we take something with us when we die? Why is that?

And let me assure you of one thing; you will die, just as I will die. That is what makes most of this world so unimportant. Once you understand this, the impressive steps that so many take to accumulate so much of this world will leave you with pity for them just as it does me. These men will never learn this lesson, just as they will never understand that there are things you take with you when you die.

The first of these is His Word. His Word is the foundation of the Universe, and we can not escape it. Never. That is how simple this subject is: Never. Only those who fight to escape from His Word will pay the price talked of in Scripture;

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

to burn forever in a lake of fire. Now, is there really a lake of fire, or is this symbolism? I do not know, and, frankly, I do not care. Understanding health and science has led me to the understanding of Him just a little bit better; the little bit I need to accept. The understanding of how His Law works perfectly.

Is there anything else we take with us? Well, now that you mentioned it, yes, there is. Our children. They are the only legacy we can leave on this earth, and they are the only legacy we have which will last for all eternity. The people who lived two or three hundred generations ago are still alive here through this legacy, and it will be the only one we leave as well. Scary thought, that! Particularly if we are feeding them filth and not teaching them properly. We teach; not the public schools. Children learn by example, and seldom by rote. They can be programmed by rote, but not taught.

By our example the children are led to sin or to Him. Choose now which it is to be, and be aware that you will face that decision for all of eternity.

The other thing that we take with us when we die is our spouse. From my understanding, I believe this to be true, because I truly believe that Scripture does not lie, and that man is not complete without woman. You can not be incomplete through eternity, so there is some permanent connection between a man and a woman that will last forever. I do not understand this, nor do I grasp the real significance of it, but it really has a bearing on how I now treat fidelity!

And there is another part of this that I do not as yet grasp, but it has to do with Scripture, and I believe it is as real as anything else. We are told in Scripture to judge not lest you be judged. To walk up to a soldier and kill him for his rifle, is to judge. Now, do not misunderstand. We have an absolute duty to defend our homes and our family and friends from danger. The operative word here is defend. (For reference, see Pickets Charge at Gettysburg.)

If you walk up to a soldier and kill him, without having observed him in the act of committing a crime defined in Scripture, are you not judging him? What if this is the soldier who saved the three children last week, or the one who is stopping some of the worst abuses by his fellow troops? And what, exactly, has this soldier done to the people of America? Now, on the other hand, he may be actively engaged in suppressing the residents who live in America, and work in the United States, but that is a different matter entirely. You could say, as the quote at the beginning of this Part did, that the difference is as plain as night and day. And it is.

Most of the people who inhabit America are dedicated to the government of man, and will fight and die for it, and for the papers which form that government. Isn't it silly to die for paper? Just as silly as dying for a flag? They are convinced, and you can see that conviction in much of the information I have reproduced, that the Constitution must be saved. From itself, I guess, because they are

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

not talking about changing the Constitution; they are only concerned with bringing it back to where they believe it belongs. And that soldier the writer of the previous piece was referring to is simply here to keep one group of men in control, as opposed to a different set of men taking over. Not much difference in my book, and I refuse to judge the difference between them.

On the other hand, I will defend my family, my home and my friends. And, if I observe that same soldier raping a woman or killing a child, I will kill him. It is what I do, according to the Word. On the other hand, the man who wrote above would arrest the soldier for prosecution under man's law, if he acted at all in the matter. As I said above, the difference is as great as night and day.

That soldier, and his companions, are here to bring judgment against the unbeliever. The same is true of lawyers and judges. It is what they do, while they, also unbelievers, bring judgment against themselves. They all serve a purpose, and that purpose is to force the People to wake up. And the People will not awaken until the pain becomes so great that they can not ignore it and must react. The problem is that many of them will react just as the man above will, because they do not have enough knowledge to do otherwise, having waited until the last minute, having waited until the pain was so extreme that reaction was the only alternative.

Do you know any lawyers, judges or police? Look at them closely. If you can find it, read about the divorce and drug problems these people suffer, and the drug and alcohol problems that their children fight. When I said above they bring judgment against themselves, I meant every word. They do, and against their families as well. The judgment that they face in the next world begins here, under His Law, as it must.

I saw an interesting story a short time ago in a newspaper, and then saw it talked about on TV as well. The story was about a woman reporter with the Washington Post, I think, and there were pictures of her, before and after. Before, that is, she started treatment for cancer. The after pictures showed her as very thin and bald, as is proper when you let the poisoners work on your body. The story detailed her great courage in continuing to work while she faced the greatest threat of her life.

I am sure she is probably dead by now, but she served her purpose, and showed everyone the proper course when cancer strikes. Have faith in the system. The system will take care of you, because God can not. As I said earlier, she could not read these papers even if she were alive, because her blindness would not allow it.

FOUR BABIES

Where Route 71 crosses over Payton Drive, at the bottom of the steeply sloping embankment, two boys, who were playing nearby, found the boxes. The boys bicycled home and said they had found boxes of "babies."

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Do not be impatient with the imprecision of their language. They have not read the apposite Supreme Court opinions. So when they stumbled on the boxes stuffed with 54 fetuses, which looked a lot like babies, they jumped to conclusions. Besides, young boys are apt to believe their eyes rather than the Supreme Court.

The first count came to a lot less than 54. Forgive the counters' imprecision. Many fetuses had been dismembered — hands, arms, legs, heads jumbled together — by the abortionist's vigor. An accurate count required a lot of sorting out.

The fetuses had been dumped here, about 30 miles east of Los Angeles, on March 14, 1997, by a trucker who may not have known what the Los Angeles abortion clinic had hired him to dispose of. He later served 71 days in jail for the improper disposal of medical waste. Society must be strict about its important standards.

What local authorities dealt with as a problem of solid waste disposal struck a few local residents as rather more troubling than that. They started talking to each other, and one thing led to another, and to the formation of Cradles of Love, which had the modest purpose of providing a burial for the 54 babies.

The members of Cradles of Love — just a few normal walking-around middle-class Americans — called them babies, and still do. These people are opposed to abortion, in spite of the Supreme Court's assurance in 1973 that abortions end only "potential life." (Twenty-five years later the Supreme Court has not yet explained how a life that is merely "potential" can be ended.)

Some will say the members of Cradles of Love, who are churchgoers, have been unduly influenced by theology. (Of course, I would be willing to bet they still take their tax deduction from that same church, and help to support the same thing they weep and moan about. Talk is much easier than action. — David) Or perhaps the real culprit is biology. It teaches that after the DNA of the sperm fuse with those of the ovum a new and unique DNA complex is formed that directs the growth of the organism. It soon is called a fetus, which takes in nourishment and converts it to energy through its own distinct, unique organic functioning, and very soon it looks a lot like a baby.

Anyway, theology or biology or maybe their eyes told the members of Cradles of Love that there were some babies in need of burials. So they asked the coroner to give them the fetuses. Then the American Civil Liberties Union was heard from.

It professed itself scandalized by this threat to . . . what? The ACLU frequently works itself into lathers of anxiety about threats to the separation of church and state. It is difficult, however, to identify any person whose civil liberties were going to be menaced if the fetuses were (these are the ACLU's words) "released to

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the church groups for the express purpose of holding religious services.” The ACLU said it opposed “facilitation” of services by a public official.

The ACLU’s attack on the constitutionally protected right to the free exercise of religion failed to intimidate, and in October the babies were buried in a plot provided at no charge by a cemetery in nearby Riverside. Each baby was given a name by a participating church group. Each name was engraved on a brass plate that was affixed to each of the 54 small, white, wooden caskets made, at no charge, by a volunteer who took three days off from work to do it. Fifty clergy and four persons active in the right-to-life movement carried the caskets. Each baby’s name is inscribed on a large headstone, also provided at no charge. Fifty-four doves, provided at no charge by the cemetery, were released at the services.

THE ACLU TREMBLED FOR THE CONSTITUTION.

We hear much about the few “extremists” in the right-to-life movement. But the vast majority of the movement’s members are like the kindly, peaceable people here, who were minding their own business until some of the results of the abortion culture tumbled down a roadside embankment and into their lives.

Which is not to say that this episode was untainted by ugly extremism. It would be nice if the media, which are nothing if not diligent in documenting and deploring right-to-life extremism, could bring themselves to disapprove the extremism of the ACLU, which here attempted a bullying nastiness unredeemed by any connection to a civic purpose.

There is some good information, and insight, here. Particularly as to where life begins, and why. And the danger of playing god and interfering with that same process in life. Think about the shield that once existed around the earth, and what happened when it was pierced. ACRES USA goes into this subject, about the possible (I call it probable) destruction of much of our food sources if the plans for genetic engineering continue. I assure you, they will continue, for the potential profits, in the eyes of the multi-nationals, are immense. The LOVE of money is the root of ALL evil.

The other point here is the nature of the people. Here is an activism that they could participate in with little danger, and end up feeling good about themselves. Did it really accomplish anything, except make them feel good, and look good in the eyes of their brethren? Did the fifty pastors carrying the caskets accomplish anything? Did it change how they preached, or how carefully they continue to follow their instructions, so that they do not violate their tax exempt status. Probably not.

In this regard, perhaps another small piece of knowledge will help. Most ministers do not write their own sermons. They come canned from a service which the church provides for its ministers. This, of course, saves the minister a lot of

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

time he would other wise waste on the Word and insures that incorrect information does not creep into the church discussion. Sixty-eight percent of the Bible concerns government. Surprised? Why? God did not leave us here on the earth clueless. Everything we need for Paradise on earth is in the Bible. Health, nutrition, relations with our Brethren, government, everything! And this is why the reading of the Bible, particularly by a 501 (c)3 church can not be allowed. Everything must be tightly controlled.

When control begins, control continues, until there is nothing left, except control.

“Patriot Information” <piml@egroups.com>

From: ICE <ice@coolmedia.net>

Subject: Fwd: CIA and Drugs and the Secret government

Of course, many of you already know or suspect that the reason the current “investigations” in D.C. are keeping hands off the CIA/Clinton/drug connection is because Republican administrations are up to their neck in the mess as well. For those who are unaware, this stuff can be a real eye-opener — or drive them straight into denial. ICE

From: “John L. Monkus” <aabbeama@gnv.fdt.net> Subject: CIA and Drugs and the Secret government

Dear ICE,

A friend called up and had me to look up a site recommended by Mark Philips on the Internet for him. It puts a new light on many things. It leaves no doubt that “ the U.S. government to be as morally bankrupt as the Third Reich.” -

I strongly recommend that you look at this site and pass it on to as many of your doubting friends as possible. The Internet is a great way to get information that we would never have had otherwise!

THE INCOME TAX

What started the present day income tax was the 1909 Corporation Income tax. Since the Pollack decision in 1894, the Congress, the criminals, has tried to steal the people’s money under false pretenses. In order to do this they created the Corporation Income Tax of 1909. Then with the help of the personnel of the Federal Reserve in 1913 they took the corporation tax act and merged it with a few words to make it look like all the people had to pay an income tax.

How this was done can be seen when reading, in its entirety, the 1913 income tax from the Statutes at Large, March 1913 to March 1915, Vol XXXVIII, Sixty-Third Congress, Sess. I, Ch. 16, 1913. It starts on page 166 at section II and ends at page 202. The only reference to what people call today the “individual income tax,” is found in Section II, A. Subdivision 1. This pertains to only the United States and that is Washington D.C. and its employees. From Subdivision 2 on, it only

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

pertains to corporations. What they did will be shown in about three paragraphs. Only the first paragraph is what the criminals (Congress) rely upon to deceive the people of America into thinking they have to pay an income tax.

And so I begin:

Section II. A. Subdivision 1. That there shall be levied, assessed, collected and paid annually upon the entire net income arising or accruing from all sources in the preceding calendar year to every citizen of the United States, whether residing at home or abroad, and to every person residing in the United States, though not a citizen thereof, a tax of 1 per centum, per annum upon such income, except as hereinafter provided and a like tax shall be assessed, levied, collected, and paid annually upon the entire net income from all property owned and of every business, trade, or profession carried on in the United States by persons residing elsewhere.

I discussed the above in great detail in my book, *Which One Are you*, published back in 1990. But for those that have not seen it I will make one comment on the above phrase "at home." That phrase is found in Webster's 1911 unabridged dictionary. I use every dictionary for the time period I am investigating to find out what those words mean for that time period. You will not find that phrase in modern dictionaries. The phrase "at home" meant "the seat of government." Now that you have a better understanding of that phrase it sheds a whole new light on Subdivision 1. The United States does not mean the whole of the 48 States at that time but only Washington D.C. and its possessions called "federal States." So only those people receiving source income from within the United States (Washington, D.C. and its possessions) were subject to the tax.

Point of fact is the statement from the IRS that is showing up on more correspondence than before and this is a quote from an IRS disclosure officer. "The IRC imposes law upon all citizens and residents of the United States." From the above subsection are you a citizen or resident of the seat of government ("at home")? And if you claim to be then anywhere you go in the world you are required to pay an income tax based on this subdivision. This is a blanket statement because there are a whole other factors to contend with, BUT this is what the IRS and all government workers and the majority of the people believe.

Now reading Subdivision 2, which starts on page 166, you will see that for the main, that only those corporations chartered by Congress or private corporations contracting and working within the "United States" were subject to the tax. Here is where the 1909 Corporation Tax Act was incorporated into what everyone believes to be a personal income tax:

Subsection 2. "In addition to the income tax provided under this section (herein referred to as the normal income tax) there shall be levied, assessed, and collected upon the net income of every individual an additional income tax (herein

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

referred to as the additional tax) of 1 per centum per annum upon the amount by which the total net income exceeds \$20,000 and does not exceed \$50,000, and 2 per centum per annum upon the amount by which the total net income exceeds \$50,000 and does not exceed \$75,000, 3 per centum per annum upon the amount by which the total net income exceeds \$75,000 and does not exceed \$100,000, 4 per centum per annum upon the amount by which the total net income exceeds \$100,000 and does not exceed \$250,000, 5 per centum per annum upon the amount by which the total net income exceeds \$250,000 and does not exceed \$500,000, and 6 per centum per annum upon the amount by which the total net income exceeds \$500,000. All the provisions of this section relating to individuals who are to be chargeable with the normal income tax, so far as they are applicable and are not inconsistent with this subdivision of paragraph A, shall apply to the levy, assessment, and collection of the additional tax imposed under this section. Every person subject to this additional tax shall, for the purpose of its assessment and collection, make a personal return of his total net income from all sources, corporate or otherwise, for the preceding calendar year, trader rules and regulations to be prescribed by the Commissioner of internal Revenue and approved by the Secretary of the Treasury. For the purpose of this additional tax the taxable income of any individual shall embrace the share to which he would be entitled of the gains and profits, if divided or distributed, whether divided or distributed or not, of all corporations, joint-stock companies, or associations however created or organized, formed or fraudulently availed of for the purpose of preventing the imposition of such tax through the medium of permitting such gains and profits to accumulate instead of being divided or distributed; and the fact that any such corporation, joint-stock company ...”

Just looking at the dollar figure and remembering that the average people at that time were making about 5 dollars a week or less. There is no way they are talking about even the above average man on the street. Only corporations were making this amount of money. I remember when my mother, working in 1936, was making 9 dollars a week working for Westinghouse.

Now in G.(a) on page 172, it becomes more clear that the income tax is corporate as shown below:

G. (a) “That the normal tax hereinbefore imposed upon individuals likewise shall be levied, assessed, and paid annually upon the entire net income arising or accruing from all sources during the preceding calendar year to every corporation, joint-stock company or association, and every insurance company, organized in the United States, no matter how created or organized, not including partnerships; but if organized, authorized, or existing under the laws of any foreign country, then upon the amount of net income accruing from business transacted and capital invested within the United States during such year: Provided however, That nothing in this section shall apply to labor, agricultural, or horticultural

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

tural organizations, or to mutual savings banks not having a capital stock represented by shares, or to fraternal beneficiary societies, orders, or associations operating under the lodge system or for the exclusive benefit of the members of a fraternity itself operating under the lodge system, and providing for the payment of life, sick, accident, and other benefits to the members of such societies, orders, or associations and dependents of such members, nor to domestic building and loan associations, nor to cemetery companies, organized and operated exclusively for the mutual benefit of their members, nor to any corporation or association organized and operated exclusively for religions, charitable, scientific, or educational purposes, no part of the net income of which inures to the benefit of any private stockholder or individual, nor to business leagues, nor to chambers of commerce or boards of trade, not organized for profit or no part of the net income of which inures to the benefit of the private stockholder or individual; nor to any civic league or organization not organized for profit, but operated exclusively for the promotion of social welfare: Provided further, That there shall not be taxed under this section any income derived from any public utility or from the exercise of any essential governmental function accruing to any State, Territory, or the District of Columbia, or any political subdivision of a State, Territory, or the District of Columbia, nor any income accruing to the government of the Philippine Islands or Porto Rico, or of any political subdivision of the Philippine Islands or Porto Rico; Provided, That whenever any State, Territory, or the District of Columbia or any political subdivision of a State or Territory, has, prior to the passage of this Act, entered in good faith into a contract with any person or corporation, the object and purpose of which is to acquire, construct, operate or maintain a public utility, no tax shall be levied under the provisions of this Act upon the income derived from the operation of such public utility, so far as the payment thereof will impose a loss or burden upon such State, Territory, or the District of Columbia, or a political subdivision of a State or Territory; but this provision is not intended to confer upon such person or corporation any financial gain or exemption or to relieve such person or corporation from the payment of a tax as provided for in this section upon the part or portion of the said income to which such person or corporation shall be entitled under such contract.”

Now the meat of the law comes into being at page 202, the last page. Who has the time to read 37 pages? How many of you have read all 37 pages of the Income tax statutes at large of 1913? Those of you who started and read through maybe three pages were satisfied. Well government depends on people not reading a lot so they bury the good portions where you least to expect it. The following tells to whom the tax applies and it becomes evident when reading it that YOU, average man on the street, were not targeted for paying a tax on your contracted pay unless it came from a source within the United States, were a government employee or claim to be a citizen of the United States by registering to vote for the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

corporate officers, residing at a place called "at home" or in one of its possessions, became involved in one of its corporations wherein the United States paid your wages. Read this page 202 very carefully as I will capitalize those words that apply to the whole income tax act of 1913 and you will see it is nothing more than a corporation excise tax which the IRS cannot deny:

"(S.) duty, section thirty-nine, authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to borrow on the credit of the United States to defray expenditures on account of the Panama Canal, section forty, authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to borrow to meet public expenditures: Provided further, THAT ALL EXCISE TAXES UPON CORPORATIONS IMPOSED BY SECTION THIRTY-EIGHT, THAT HAVE ACCRUED OR HAVE BEEN IMPOSED FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER THIRTY-FIRST, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND TWELVE, SHALL BE RETURNED, ASSESSED, AND COLLECTED IN THE SAME MANNER, AND UNDER THE SAME PROVISIONS, LIENS, AND PENALTIES AS IF SECTION THIRTY-EIGHT CONTINUED IN FULL FORCE AND EFFECT: AND PROVIDED FURTHER, THAT A SPECIAL EXCISE TAX WITH RESPECT TO THE CARRYING ON OR DOING OF BUSINESS, EQUIVALENT TO 1 PER CENTUM UPON THEIR ENTIRE NET INCOME, SHALL BE LEVIED, ASSESSED, AND COLLECTED UPON CORPORATIONS, JOINT STOCK COMPANIES OR ASSOCIATIONS, AND INSURANCE COMPANIES, OF THE CHARACTER DESCRIBED IN SECTION THIRTY-EIGHT OF THE ACT OF AUGUST FIFTH, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINE, FOR THE PERIOD FROM JANUARY FIRST TO FEBRUARY TWENTY EIGHTH, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTEEN, both dates inclusive, which said tax shall be computed upon one-sixth of the entire net income of said corporations, joint stock companies or associations, and insurance companies, for said year, said net income to be ascertained in accordance with the provisions of subsection G of section two of this Act: PROVIDED FURTHER, THAT THE PROVISIONS OF SAID SECTION THIRTY-EIGHT OF THE ACT OF AUGUST FIFTH, NINETEEN HUNDRED AND NINE, RELATIVE TO THE COLLECTION OF THE TAX THEREIN IMPOSED SHALL REMAIN IN FORCE FOR THE COLLECTION OF THE EXCISE TAX HEREIN PROVIDED, BUT FOR THE YEAR NINETEEN HUNDRED AND THIRTEEN IT SHALL NOT BE NECESSARY TO MAKE MORE THAN ONE RETURN AND ASSESSMENT FOR ALL THE TAXES IMPOSED HEREIN UPON SAID CORPORATIONS, JOINT STOCK COMPANIES OR ASSOCIATIONS, AND INSURANCE COMPANIES, EITHER BY WAY OF INCOME OR EXCISE, WHICH RETURN AND ASSESSMENT SHALL BE MADE AT THE TIMES AND IN THE MANNER PROVIDED IN THIS ACT; but the repeal of existing laws or modifications thereof embraced in this, Act shall not affect any act done, or any right accruing or accrued, or any suit or proceeding had or commenced in any civil case before the said repeal or modification; but all rights and liabilities under said laws shall continue and may be enforced in the same manner as if said repeal or modifications had not been made. Any offenses committed and all penalties or forfeitures or liabilities recurred prior to the passage of this

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Act under any statute embraced in or changed, modified, or repealed by this Act may be prosecuted or punished in the same manner and with the same effect as if this Act had not been passed. No Acts of limitation now in force, whether applicable to civil causes and proceedings or to the prosecution of offenses or for the recovery of penalties or forfeitures embraced in or modified, changed, or repealed by this Act shall be affected thereby so far as they affect any suits, proceedings, or prosecutions, whether civil or criminal, for causes arising or acts done or committed prior to the passage of this Act, which may be commenced and prosecuted within the same time and with the same effect as if this Act had not been passed.

T. If any clause, sentence, paragraph, or part of this Act shall for any reason be adjudged by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, such judgment shall not affect, impair, or invalidate the remainder of said Act, but shall be confined in its operation to the clause, sentence, paragraph, or part thereof directly involved in the controversy in which such judgment shall have been rendered.

U. That unless otherwise herein specially provided, this Act shall take effect on the day following its passage. Approved, 9.10 p. m., October 3, 1913.”

Well I hope this will get you to go to the library and pull the entire Act and see for yourself that you have been had by the most corrupt bunch of criminals that Mark Twain said existed as Congress. You will become so outraged that half-way through the Act you will be ready to do just about anything to those real criminals. To have even further high blood pressure read the 1909 Corporation Tax Act and lay it side by side with the 1913 Act. Even better yet, read President Taft’s speech where he is telling Congress that we had better incorporate this 1909 tax into an income tax, that the Supreme court in the Pollack decision declared unconstitutional, so all people can pay it and they won’t know it.

Sincerely, The Informer

The above information is pretty complete, and, to the best of my knowledge, correct. It is interesting to read, and then get in a discussion with someone who has been programmed that we all must pay our fair share! And they are very adamant about it!

Just a short note in reply to your request for assistance. I and certain acquaintances have been over this road several times. I personally traveled for over 2 years with a “Freeman” status identification placard on my conveyances (More than one consecutive). My sheriff had been noticed up prior to the time I started and when he saw the tag all he said was “The person who owns that car should get a plate”, and walked away.

I personally HATE COURTS, LAWYERS, JUDGES AND all that commercial law enforcement growth industry. They will all go to HELL in a speeding moment when the time comes.

We do most all our fighting in the administrative procedures arena as, ac-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ording to the Supreme court, that is the public's "First line of defense."

Dumb question #1: Have you at any time (During this particular confrontation) engaged in any regulatable business, trade, commercial activity or industry on the public highway?

Dumb question #2: Have you ever requested an administrative ruling & determination as to the specific state of facts of your controversy, from your secretary of state department of motor vehicles.

Dumb question #3: Who made the accusation that you were a party required [By your participation in a regulatable activity] to have all those government forms? What evidence was submitted to substantiate that testimony? Is there any "reasonable basis" for the "assumption" you were a party required?

Dumb question #4: Did you fully understand all that was happening around you? Did you tell that to the judge?

Dumb question #5: When he said you were incompetent, did you agree or disagree? Why?

Dumb question #6 If you were originally incompetent to understand the charges, how and when did that change?

Matthew 5:25 "Agree with thine adversary..." And counter-claim the fools.

Three rules of thumb for dealing with bureaucrats:

1. I don't understand...

2. I don't believe that applies to me...

3. Please provide me the law and the reasonable basis for your determination that it applies to me, my land, my car, my house, my wife, my kids, my hunting, my fishing, my life, ad nauseum...!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Look up "insane delusion" in a good law dictionary and then please explain why you do not "believe" it applies to all bureaucrats in all government at all levels.

Insane delusion: An insane Delusion is a conception of a disordered mind which imagines facts to exist of which there is no evidence and belief and which is adhered to against all evidence and argument to contrary, and which cannot be accounted for on any reasonable hypothesis. Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition.

Bless you and yours in your fight against the "Workers of Iniquity".

Interesting definition. Take into court documents which show you have severed all ties, wish no connection to the corporation or benefits therefrom, all dutifully signed, sealed, and filed into the public record, and the judge will use secret information only he has access to, most probably in his mind as much as anywhere, to prove jurisdiction.

Naturally, I can say the same about people in general. Give them the case

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

law to illustrate that things are not as they have been led to believe, and they reject all proofs out of hand. The reaction of many people to Part I is a case in point. They will not do the study needed to learn the truth, they simply reject all information not in line with their previous programming. TV is a wonderful tool, for those who understand its uses and have the money, sorry, credit, to control it.

Within that statement is a powerful lesson. One I learned some time ago, but which I had confirmed several times since. At seminars in the past I used to explain to people what was being done to their children through their watching television. Most paid no attention to what I said, but there were exceptions. One woman in particular came to a different lecture about a year later in a different city and took me aside during a break, thanking me for the information about television and programming of children.

She had been worried prior to hearing me and used my talk as an excuse to act. When she returned home, she disconnected the TV, and later, without the help of her husband who was ambivalent about the whole thing, went up on the roof and removed the TV antenna.

As she explained, the first month was h—! The children did not take well to this change, and even her husband had a couple of choice words when his programming was coming on. But she stuck to her guns, keep the VCR and rented movies a few times, and went to the store and bought a game that the children could play on TV. As she related to me, she followed my advice; she was bigger than the children and they were not going to buffalo her!

It worked. After the first month, the withdrawal pains lessened, and other activities took over, including reading and games among the family members. But that was not the remarkable part.

Within two months, she related how different trips to the store, any store, with the children, and her husband, now were, not to mention how she had changed! There was no longer this constant cry for this, or that, or Mommy! I have just got to have one of these! Why? Because the children were no longer being programmed to want all the garbage being advertised on the TV. The husband was the same, and so was she. She found her budget all of a sudden livable, expenses down, less garbage to take out, better, more healthful food being bought and consumed (and I knew little about health and nutrition then) and very little being put on their credit cards. In fact, she had reduced their credit card debt to almost zero by the time she saw me again.

I have had similar conversations with several people along these same lines, although hers was by far the most complete information that I have heard. Perhaps, if you take this into account, you can now better judge why Janet Reno has a strong aversion to those who do not like the television.

From: "National Institute for Taxation Education" <taxgate@erols.com>

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

And if you do not do it the employer can always smack you around with 3401(e).

Sorry, just the facts. Thurston P. Bell Executive Researcher/N.I.T.E.

Ignorantia facti excusat, juris non excusat <http://www.taxgate.com>

All, I just have to comment on this, as Thurston is quite correct, and frankly I had not noticed the last sentence in this section. Section 3401(e) is yet another hilarious example of Title 26 presumption of everyone's presumption (and gullibility). Please read 3401(e) at:

http://www.tns.lcs.mit.edu/uscode/TITLE_26/Subtitle_C/CHAPTER_24/Sec._3401.html

“(e) Number of withholding exemptions claimed

For purposes of this chapter, the term ‘number of withholding exemptions claimed’ means the number of withholding exemptions claimed in a withholding exemption certificate in effect under section 3402(f), or in effect under the corresponding section of prior law, except that if no such certificate is in effect, the number of withholding exemptions claimed shall be considered to be zero.”

Well, now. If “no (w-4) certificate is ‘in effect’, then obviously the number of withholding exemptions claimed would be considered to be zero!!! Not having authorized any withholding at all, naturally you also would not be claiming any exemptions”, would you?!? Without a w-4 certificate or other authorization, you have not authorized any withholding, period, have you? And, guess what, there is no language in that section authorizing withholding in the absence of a w-4 or other authorization from the “employee”, is there? You see how they use words to create presumptions in the minds of people!

I'm sure I'm not telling Thurston anything he hasn't already noticed. And, of course, he is quite correct that virtually all employers are going to “smack you around” with the last sentence of that section! Just remember to “smack back” with the above thought! ICE

Original Message—From: ICE [<mailto:ice@coolmedia.net>]

Subject: Fwd: W-4 From: bigall123@juno.com (bill d bradley)

I have been given permission to print this for your information concerning what the IRS says about the W-4. You can show your employer this when not wanting to file any more W-4's or to obtain a job without filing one. I am sure P. McPhaden of the IRS would gladly verify what he stated to this man in his response letter. What, is he now going to deny what he said in writing?

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

600 Arch Street

Philadelphia, PA 19106

Attn: E:1403PM

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Department of the Treasury Person to Contact P. McPhaden

Telephone Number: (215) 597-0555 Ext . 108 Fax Number: (215) 597-3041

Refer Reply To: E: 1403 PM

Date: 9 April 1998

To: Sean K. O'Hara

c/o P.O. Box 184

Glenolden, PA 19036

Dear Mr. O'Hara: Re: Your letter dated 24 March 1998 [Personal data deleted]

In conclusion, the filing of a Form W-4 with your Social Security Number with an employer is voluntary. If there are any additional questions or concerns, please contact me at the above number. Sincerely, Pegerie W. McPhaden, CPA International Examiner

Please pay close attention to the title of the man named McPhaden; International Examiner. You can not be a United States citizen, resident in one of the several states, and have this opinion successfully used for you. He works within the United States and understands the difference between where he is, resident in Philadelphia, and you as living in one of the States united. As has been said, the difference is as great as night and day.

ICE ice@coolmedia.net

"If all bank loans were paid ... there would not be a dollar of coin or currency in circulation. Someone has to borrow every dollar we have in circulation. We are absolutely without a permanent money system". - Robert Hemphill, Federal Reserve Bank in Atlanta, in foreword to "100% Money" by Irving Fisher

In the sound file on the above ICE page you can listen to U.S. Representative John Ensign respond when asked to please state the law that requires Americans to file 1040's and pay an income tax. This recording is the very epitome of what we face in American gov't today. The good congressman flatly states that he cannot cite any law that requires an American to file returns or pay an income tax. I really suspect that he could make a good stab at it if he really thought it mattered. However, Rep. Ensign blithely paints the de facto reality by stating that there may not be a clear statute, but (paraphrasing) "just see what happens if you don't file and pay; the courts have ruled on this time and again." There may not be a law, but you will be penalized if you don't "comply", and the courts will apply, enforce and uphold the penalties — law or no law. So much for the "rule of law". So much for the sanity and integrity of our "elected representatives"! Oh, and please don't trot out the "contract" arguments. Rep. Ensign, were he a man of any integrity or knowledge, whatsoever, could have "enlightened" his Town Meeting with the "truth" about any "contractual nexus" which requires Americans to file re-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

turns and pay an income tax, as opposed to merely stating that he can't cite a law, but "you better do it or else" — the retort of a tyrant!

jay rutledge wrote:

"It is possible, although I think it is improbable, that we will eventually find a connection between that original "trust" and the "United States of America" that appears to have come into being after 1909."

I suggest you keep the concept of Constructive Trust in mind in your research.

Subject: Re: [teaparty] Re: Cause of Action MEADOR REPLY

The constructive trust plays a role, but what we're searching for is very probably an "existent" entity. However, people involved in legal matters should be aware of the constructive trust as it is the vehicle that operates against them in statutory courts that proceed "in the course of the civil law." It's an integral part of "presumption".

Consider the logical syllogism: If A and B, then C. The Venn diagram is the model: We have over-lapping circles, circle A and circle B, with the area where they over-lap being C. Each of the circles represents a premise, with the over-lapping C being the conclusion.

We'll use a word model to demonstrate: A is, "All dogs have fleas," B is, "Vince is a dog," so we have conclusion C, "Vince has fleas."

In "the course of the civil law," which is contrary to due process in the course of the common law, there is hidden presumption, nearly always the major premise A, and usually the minor premise B. The beginning-point for litigation, whether civil or criminal prosecution, is with conclusion C — "Vince has fleas."

Let's take a practical example: The U.S. Attorney files charges against our victim in the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, which is private, it isn't an Article III or even a territorial court of the United States, and the case issues in this manner: "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. JOHN DOE".

Here we actually have three hidden presumptions: Is the United States District Court a lawful court of the United States? No. Does the United States of America have constitutional or statutory authority in the several States party to the Constitution? No. Is John Doe the alias JOHN DOE? No.

The constructive trust is predicated on this assumption: No action beyond the presumptions is unlawful. (See the definition above of insane delusion. - David) The presumptions in and of themselves may be unlawful and false, but if the presumptions aren't challenged and broken, all action beyond that is legitimate so long as the presumption stands.

This is the reason it is necessary to attack the underlying premises. If we disprove A or B, the conclusion C falls. If C stands, it becomes a presumption in all

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ensuing action, and even though the judge and prosecuting attorney may be fully aware that A & B are false, constructive trust rationale justifies whatever plunder they take and servitude they impose.

This is the reason I've taken several months to track and establish underlying authorities in the two memorandums I've completed and posted on the Kay County Patriot web site and am working on additional material that should be of value to most everyone who wants to find a peaceful means to restore constitutional rule. (The operative word being rule. — David) We have to get to the bedrock of law and legitimate authority so we can expose the fraudulent workings of Cooperative Federalism. Do we want to go to a private court, or what is really a pirate court, to secure redress of grievance and lawful relief? What justice is there if we appeal to a band of thieves? /s/ Dan Meador

Subject: Bill Gates \$100 million donation to W.H.O.

Americans PLEASE wake up and respond as I have to this one. Following is the fax Dr. Leonard Horowitz and Ingri Cassel sent to Bill Gates. You too can respond by communicating to Mr. Gates in one of 4 ways: 1) Write to him at - 1 Microsoft Lane, Redmond, WA 98052 or 2) Call his office at - 425-882-8080 or 3) Fax him at - 425-936-7329 or 4) e-mail him at - askbill@microsoft.com.

Subject: \$100 million to W.H.O. "so that no child go unprotected"!!!!!!? We are shocked and outraged by your recent announcement via the media of your exorbitant contribution to "save" the world's children through vaccinations. The documentation presented in the book, *Emerging Viruses: AIDS and Ebola - Nature, Accident or Intentional?* by Dr. Leonard G. Horowitz proves beyond a shadow of a doubt that our vaccines are contaminated with man-made viruses. Not only that, but research has shown that third world children need an adequate diet more than they need vaccines. This has been dramatically illustrated by Drs. Dettman and Kalokerinos of Australia. Dr. Kalokerinos documents in his book, *Every Second Child*, his experience working in the outback of Australia with aborigine children. Along with Dr. Dettman, they were told to vaccinate these children and were losing over 50% of these children as a direct result of the vaccines! These children were somewhat malnourished and had a condition known as scorbutic cachexia or borderline scurvy, better known as vitamin C deficiency. Although these two doctors' attempts to warn their superiors of their horrifying results using vaccines to kill children rather than save them, they were unable to stop the mental mindset (or political pressure?) and were told they had to continue to give these toxic vaccines to these children. They discovered that if they injected them with a dose of ascorbic acid prior to the vaccine injection, a significantly higher percentage of children survived. These two doctors eventually went on a worldwide campaign to warn us of the dangers of mass vaccination programs but, as you know, they got very little media support due to the control of much of the media by the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

pharmaceutical industry and its interests. In light of the volumes of evidence which points to the essential toxicity of vaccines (i.e. DTP vaccine contains formaldehyde, thimerosal - a mercury derivative, aluminum and sodium phosphate as adjuvants) and the rapid increase in auto-immune diseases with new ones constantly appearing, it would seem more appropriate to earmark your \$100 million for "independent" research into the real causes of these diseases. We feel that the link to vaccines is indisputable and would hope that you will recant your recent pledge to the World "Disease" Organization. The following is a list of Americans, who were also appalled by the announcement of your contribution, are resources of information on the essential harmfulness of vaccines: Jo Szczesny, director Leonard G. Horowitz, director The Vaccine Research Institute Tetrahedron Publishing Group P.O. Box 4182 P.O. Box 2033 Northbrook, IL 60065 Sandpoint, ID 83864 (208)265-2575; Walene James, director Vaccination Liberation Mary Carlson 2101 Pallets Court 9S040 Lake Drive #11-108 Virginia Beach, VA 23454 Clarendon Hills, IL 60514 (757)486-3129 (630)789-0805 Kristine Severyn, director Ingri Cassel, president Vaccine Policy Institute Vaccination Liberation - N. Idaho Chapter 251 W. Ridgeway Drive 110 W. Cosgrove #20 [[[Dayton, OH 45459-4711]]] Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 (937)435-4750 (208)267-8037 Barbara Mullarkey, co-director Marge Grant, founder Illinois Vaccine Awareness Coalition DPT-SHOT P.O. Box 946 P.O. Box 543 Oak Park, IL 60303 Beaver Dam, WI 53916

Concerning vaccinations, we all demand the right to choose and the freedom to abstain. Illinois Vaccine Awareness Coalition

The information above is known to those in power. They understand very well what is going on and intend the result being achieved. Most of these results are contained in agreements, memorandums and treaties signed and agreed to years ago. The same is true of health. THEY UNDERSTAND! Baron Rothschild has a garden from which fresh food is picked and served to him within thirty minutes. THEY UNDERSTAND! We do not, for we have lost the knowledge. As I said before, in many ways, we are among the most ignorant people who have ever lived, and the vast majority of our descendants will be more ignorant still, unless we rediscover the knowledge and pass it on. Much of it is already available; it is up to you to learn it and teach it to your children, relatives, friends and neighbors. If this generation loses this information, and neglects to teach it to our children, I fear it may be lost for a thousand years!

And when you begin to talk of the information that many need, they have no ears to hear with, for their programming is so complete, that they have no grasp of what you are talking about, nor how it could possibly apply to them!

Let me give you a brief example of what I am talking about. Laws, of man. I see posts and information coming across the Internet all the time about how laws that were passed for specific reasons are being abused and used in an entirely

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

unintended manner. The endangered species act or the asset confiscation program being two good examples of what I mean.

How absurd!

The men making such assertions assume that you can believe what is told to the common people about some law being passed. Rest assured, those who write and pass laws understand perfectly what the intended result of that law is, and intend exactly that result! There are hundreds of man-hours spent designing the perfect word structure for every law which is passed, and we can not tell what the intended result of a law is until it has been enforced for a period of time. For instance, the endangered species act.

If you truly want to understand this, simply go to the information about the Biosphere and other land uses being planned by the UN within the United States and you will see what the real intent is and has been. They will use this law to confiscate the land they can not tax people off of in order to come into line with the plans of the UN which have already been accepted by the United States through treaty.

It is literally absurd to think that the men writing laws do not understand what it is they do!

To: Friendly Patriotic Exchange <fpe@egroups.com>

Subject: [fpe] Y2K and Your Food Supplies (Seeing is Believing!)

The following was passed on to the BC Family of Noah's Ark. It is provided here for your information also.

Dear BC Family,

Last night on Art Bell, Gary North was interviewed for 4 hours. Though there was not a lot of new information presented for folks already well-versed in this very real problem, it is good reinforcement material. If you want to hear this program, go to

<http://ww2.broadcast.com/artbell/archive98.html#dec98> and click on Friday

Night/Saturday Morning 12/04/1998. This will allow you to hear the show archived if you have Real Player. If not, you can download it for free on Art's site <http://www.artbell.com>

Another good piece of information can be found at

<http://www.y2knewswire.com/dominoes.htm> It shows graphically how Y2K problems affect different areas of our lives. It does make a rather drastic statement: "there is an 86% chance that the Y2K problem will result in the complete collapse of modern civilization." We don't know on what this figure is based and perhaps it is a bit extreme, but there is good information and like Gary North last night, underscores the absolute necessity of water to keep civilization on an even

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

keel.

Let us share our personal feelings on the matter. Stan and I do NOT envision a total collapse of civilization and government, but we don't feel things will roll along like normal either. There is simply no way of accurately predicting how Y2K will affect us. The problem is simply too big. For a variety of reasons:

- *companies lie
- *companies don't even bother to file reporting information
- *they forget where some of the embedded chips are
- *it's too expensive to fix
- *chips are inaccessible or some are unintentionally overlooked.

Because of this overall lack of accurate Y2K compliant data, it is impossible to present a true picture what we can expect, regardless of what the experts tell you.

Regarding the collapse of government, especially in the US and other developed countries, don't look to it as a solution for not paying taxes, etc. We know for a fact there are numerous underground bases both in the US and in Australia - we can't speak for other countries. The underground facilities have been well-equipped to sustain life for - selected individuals - numbering into the 1000s. These bases have been filled with all food, water, communication supplies and equipment required to carry on.

A few tidbits of information from North last night is that telecommunications are probably in the best shape of all industry areas. One of the least? Nuclear plants.

From Danise Codekas in Washington, she shares findings in her continuing Y2K investigation:

"Yesterday I worked in the MIS department of the largest food supplies distributor in the Northwestern States. I found out that they are not Y2K compliant as all coding is still 2 digit year codes. Food for all grocery stores is ordered 2 days in advance usually, with some of the larger places, like the military bases, ordering 2-4 weeks in advance.

It is December 4th today and most stores were ordering for the 8-10th of December with some ordering for Jan.1-6, but very few. The distribution company has all the food shipments delivered here and all trucking companies delivering goods are still using 2 digits placement for the years. I suddenly realized why I was sent there when I was looking at the coding for the software. Yow!!! Frightening! If more people could actually see these orders, they would realize the problems we could be encountering by Thanksgiving next year (or before).

Tens of millions of dollars worth of food will be sitting in these places, rotting, if nothing can get shipped out. Their insurance companies would cover losses;

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

however, many of the insurance companies are not going to be sued from small stores and chains, since the federal and state governments are allowing them to not be held liable for any claims arising from Y2K non-compliance problems. (Looks to me like the government wants to be sure there are problems. - David) Looks to me like insurance industry and government are making sure they don't lose any money. It looks like things will be dangerous by next Thanksgiving if stores don't have food deliveries."

Danise is a researcher/investigator, author, lecturer and photographer with an extensive background in communications. Danise is a regular contributor to our Building Community messages due to her investigative 'nose' and her desire to help inform everyone. She will be speaking again shortly and is available for Y2K lectures to any groups in the Pacific northwest. If you would like to contact her about speaking to your group, E-mail Danise at Codekas@aol.com.

To underscore the importance of getting supplies together well in advance, another person shared this information regarding anticipated Y2K unrest.

Her sister-in-law is highly placed in the Colorado State Patrol. This past week numerous special meetings and training sessions were held for this police department. They were informed to expect riots and unrest with the Y2K problem. They are also using "new" weapons and will take "stronger" measures than ever before for riot control.

Colorado State Patrol officers were told to go home, store food and water and make sure that their families can either find safe havens or know how to "bat-ten down the hatches". Colorado, my old home state, has always been known for its liberal laws. If these precautions are taken in Colorado, what is planned for your state?

As a reminder, New York's fiscal year is April 1, 1999 rather than January 1, 2000. Possibly their resulting Y2K problems will be a barometer for the rest of the country.

Lastly, when we finished digging out the dam renovations, the bore driller, Chris, stopped to chat. Predictably, Stan felt him out for Y2K awareness and other events. Chris seemed to be an unusually bright and outgoing fellow, but you know what his response was to Y2K preparedness? "Oh yeah, I've heard of it, but guess I'll wait to do anything till it happens."

With affection, Holly and Stan, mystified in Miners Rest Seismo and Taco, "patrolling" the birds and blowflies

AUSTRALIAN site: <http://www.ballarat.net.au/~standeyo/>

AMERICAN sites: <http://www.millennium-ark.net>

<http://buddyebesen.com/standeyo/>

Emergency Preparedness: http://www.millennium-ark.net/News_Files/Hollys.html

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Forwarded to you by: Washington Grassroots E-mail Network
idzrus@earthlink.net

Check the messageboard every day for new posts:

<http://www.InsideTheWeb.com/mbs.cgi/mb70701>

What is going on with Y2K? Does anyone understand? Well, I am reasonably sure that there are a few well placed criminally intended individuals who think they do. Are they sure? In their own minds they are, and you and I and the rest of the world will suffer, I am sure. But are they going to be able to control what will happen? Again, refer to the definition of insane delusion. But does this mean that they are not preparing for problems?

Look, there is information you need to begin accessing. For instance, the state of the food supply in America. We are no longer a net exporter of food. Much of our food comes from foreign countries now, and in our major cities, there exists at any given time no more than a three days supply of food. Hungry people are not pleasant people, and plans WILL exist to take care of the coming created problems. (I expect, from what I have learned, that there is at any time less than a thirty day supply of food for the entire country now.)

Have you read much about Pol Pot and the Cambodian nightmare? When he ordered his troops to move the people enmass out of the cities and into the countryside where they were put to work on collective farms? I have good reason to understand that the plans that Pol Pot used were developed by a think tank in Washington, DC, so perhaps you can gain a little insight about the future from the following. You see, taxes are not the only question in town.

Check this out, also my prophetic website [concerning visions, dreams, & prophecies concerning America], at: >><http://www.angelfire.com/ut/branton/proph.html>

In peace; Alan

To: "zeropoint (moderator)" <zap@dnai.com>, Mike McDonough
<ufotech@holman.net>

From: doc <maxim777@northlink.com>

Subject: UN TROOPS AND WAR MATERIEL IN AMERICA.

From: Ralph (ralph@teaminfinity.com)

Subject: Re: Foreign Troops

Here is some of what I have. I will send more if you remind me. Also please look at BORDER XXI. Please share whatever you have.

What you are about to read I obtained from David J. Smith who can be reached at 1420 West Ross, Waxahachie Texas 75165. Would love more details from anyone who has them and VERIFICATION. ralph@TeamInfinity.com I basically have paraphrased what I saw on a raw Satellite broadcast of David's over 6

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

months ago. The reason I bring it up now is that as time has passed David's report herein paraphrased by me only seems to ring more and more true with COSCO, border 21, Panama canal being controlled by Chinese, Luftwaffe in New Mexico etc. etc.

The details in the paraphrase by me of David's work are quite alarming to say the least. Please excuse the spelling and grammatical problems, I wish we all had more time.

ralph@TeamInfinity.com

11/94 Hawaiian Islands: State S.W.A.T. team, against orders, came out to investigate 4 Russian Generals conducting military maneuvers involving Russian secret forces, Nepalese Gurkas all over the 5 Hawaiian Islands.

The Russian Spetznats are highly trained forces who specialize in nuclear power, electrical power, and communications sabotage, and infiltration.

For several years they have been seen coming into US via Alaska, first one at a time, then 10 at a time, now, 100s at a time, to preassigned jobs here in the US.

JFK in 1961 and 62, signed into law State Department Document 7277, a three step plan to completely and generally disarm the United States, we are now in step 2.

9/2/1992 - George Bush said: "US is prepared to make available our bases and lands for multinational field exercises. " Formerly defunct Fort Dix, in New Jersey is turned over for use by the United Nations and converted into a base for UN "Peace" keeping training. There are signs on the base pointing to a Prisoner of War Compound. I have seen video of this. Brand new razor wire on the ground surrounds many parts of this UN base.

UN Charter - No such thing as "Peace Keeping" forces. They have the EXCLUSIVE "right" to declare war anywhere in the world. Peace Keepers or War Mongerers ? If they have all the guns who can make war against the beast. (see rev 13:4) No one can of course.

Fort Dix NJ, is a 50 sq. mile base handed over to the United Nations Organization to train foreign troops there RENT FREE !!

There are 19,000 United Nations Organization Troops in Fort Polk, Louisiana; French and Pakistani, 2 Battalions of Russian soldiers, on war footing according to an insider of that compound who told his parents, who also says this same compound has VAST underground facilities for storing equipment and other materiel.

NAPA California Sentinel reports 50,000 National Guard and United Nations Organization Troops based near Barstow California. Forces are held on stand by in case of rioting in Los Angeles area. (Please note that all National Guard troops are federal; they have never been state troops. - David)

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

There are 40,000 United Nations Organization Foreign troops based near Sacramento California. These anti-American Foreign Soldiers are based nearby in El Dorado National Forest.

Southern California, another hot bed of United Nations Organization military activity, bases more than 40,000 United Nations Organization Troops in San Diego, another 22,000 combat ready UN troops stationed just south of Los Angeles.

Thousands of Russian troops are stationed in secret military bases in Nevada, people have heard of Area 51, and there are other areas. They are under the auspices of the United Nations Organization, and a special instruction manual has already been written and passed out for Russian soldiers in the United States. It teaches them how to do door to door seizures and searches and the correct procedure for weapons seizure. The manual is written for the Russians.

Can there be any doubt why the TROJAN horse, the United Nations, is on the soil of America ? It was placed here to takeover, subvert our sovereignty for world government. The ONLY way they could get troops and equipment on American soil was through the United Nations Organization.

Supposedly, by brainwashing the American people to believe that it was an organization to bring world peace instead of world tyranny and slavery. There is a 43,000 man United Nations Organization battle group which is stationed in the Texas Pan Handle by Oklahoma and New Mexico; Anchorage Alaska has 14,000 United Nations Troops dispersed throughout the area. And more are coming in every week. These anti-American soldiers wear black uniforms, they usually drive dark unmarked military vehicles.

United Nations Organization Gurka troops from Nepal can be found near Yakima Washington. These are very ruthless individuals known to be merciless killers. They are stationed at a U.S. military reservation known as the Yakima Firing Range.

A 20,000 man contingent, once again UN Troops, were kept in a state of alert during the Ruby Ridge siege on the Weaver family in Idaho. The plan was to have them to join with the US Marshals if called upon by their bosses. Why should our US leaders TREASONOUSLY offer to house and equip a hostile standing United Nations Organization Standing army on an American Military base? It's because NO ONE has called it treason against the people of this country. This opened the flood gates for 10s of thousands of Foreign troops to pour into our country because no one says anything against it. (Of course not; Congress has already agreed to the principles of World Government and anyone in the military who complains ends up dead or stationed in a little out-of-the-way-location where they are no bother. And, the press is certainly not going to say anything! - David)

Russian Naval transport ships have been spotted numerous times in the Gulf

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

of California. Part of the Russian fleet is anchored with 4 floating dry docks near Gulf Port Mississippi. 4 Russian subs are docked with normal shipping in Alabama's Mobile Bay. These are equipped with 22 Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles and each of these can hit 10 different targets in the United States.

United States Service Personnel are seeing foreign troops on military bases all over the nation. There are foreign military personnel on our bases and foreign troop movement and activity is going on in the Gulf of Mexico coastal region west of Gulf Port in Mississippi near the border of Louisiana, to be exact. United Nations Organization troops wear Vietnamese style uniforms, no insignias or emblems in some areas, these soldiers ride armed guard on barges going north of the Gulf up the Pearl River. The Pearl River is a river that one would cross to get to Alabama or Florida from that area. These barges are loaded with military equipment vehicles and supplies, their destination is the HUGE NASA Test Facility which borders the Pearl River. United Nations Organization mercenaries, all of whom despise Americans such as you and me, because they are not in general Christian or moral, and have no conscious will to stop any orders against the American people who might be Christian or moral. They are quartered and undergoing special training at the NASA facility attached to the Pearl River. Included are Russian, East German, Koreans and other nationalities. The Spotlight newspaper obtained several years ago irrefutable evidence, photographs, 100 of photographs, showing THOUSANDS and THOUSANDS of foreign military vehicles and armor going ALL over the United States, including vehicles being used by the UN. We even have film footage we have taken ourselves in Gulf Port Mississippi, and Beaumont Texas, showing United Nations Organization vehicles on trucks being transported in this country.

Our president Bill Clinton, has signed Executive Orders as well as other presidents before him, giving very wide ranging powers to the United Nations Organization to command our military forces. This means the National Security Council has now delegated these powers to the United Nations Organization. Bill Clinton signed on July 15, 1996, Executive Order 13010. Within the context of this Executive Order it states VERY CLEARLY that if there is ANY type of emergency whatsoever of terrorism, especially like a black out of electricity, that DOD has all the powers of the president. Well, our nation basically has 9 separate power grids like TVA (Tennessee Valley Authority) that supply all the electrical power in the US. So 9 key positions need to be taken out and we will have the conditions necessary for take over by law. (Who forgot about the massive power outs that occurred last year in the West ?)

100s of Railroad flat cars bearing Russian military vehicles and armor and even some United Nations Organization vehicles (painted white with UN letters) all over America, especially Colorado, Wyoming, Montana, Pennsylvania, Florida, and Nashville Tennessee, along Interstate 24, west of Nashville. We received two

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

calls telling us of HUGE fuselages with UN letters heading toward a military base. So, yes, they are all over.

In Mississippi, 100s of Russian built military vehicles obtained from what was East Germany are, according to officials on the base (we attempted to tape the facilities when we were confronted by an official who explained to us the specifics herein) the vehicles were being refurbished under the auspices of the United Nations Organization. Without doubt. This MASSIVE depot is in Gulf Port Mississippi, behind barbed wire; anybody can go there can see it from Highway 49, 6-8 miles north of Interstate 10.

20 miles of newly built road lead directly from this MASSIVE depot directly into NASA's Stennis Space Center. WHY? Every American needs to know why. Why are our representatives silent? Many of the vehicles have been spotted (we have pictures) are for chemical and biological warfare purposes. Why, when this is banned by treaty?

El Jaffe, El Capiton, Generalissimo Klintonista speaks out about the US Constitution:

“When we got organized as a country and we wrote a fairly radical Constitution with a radical Bill of Rights, giving a radical amount of individual freedom to Americans...”

“And so a lot of people say there's too much personal freedom. When personal freedom's being abused, you have to move to limit it. That's what we did in the announcement I made last weekend on the public housing projects, about how we're going to have weapon sweeps and more things like that to try to make people safer in their communities.”

President Bill Clinton, 3-22-94, MTV's "Enough is Enough"

“We can't be so fixated on our desire to preserve the rights of ordinary Americans ...”

Bill Clinton (USA TODAY, 11 March 1993, page 2A)

Why can't any of these be considered a violation of the oath of office to uphold the Constitution and qualify as TREASON !!

Because they are not treason, that's why. The King was forced to accept the Bill of Rights in order to get the Constitution passed, but that does not mean that he liked it! And he will do what ever is necessary to avoid it as long as possible. When the time comes when it is no longer necessary to avoid it, things will come more out into the open, as they are now. But this will not change the nature of most men; they will still believe that the Constitution is whatever they have been programmed to think it is.

Is the above information true? I don't know, but, that being said, I have personally seen a train load of UN equipment moving through Colorado, so there is an element of truth no matter what else is said. Also, I have looked at some length

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

into the COSCO (COSCO is an extension of the Chinese government, supposedly for merchandising, but it is directly controlled by the Chinese People's Army. Think about this the next time you go there to take advantage of the great prices.) attempt to take over the Long Beach Naval Station in Long Beach, California.

In doing so, I spoke face to face with a couple of men who were well involved in the process of attempting to stop the action. As they explained to me, there already existed, seventy miles inland from Long Beach, a three-hundred and fifty square mile reserve which had been turned over to a COSCO subsidiary and plans existed to build a railroad directly from the naval station in Long Beach to this reserve. To the best of their knowledge, there were also existing plans to build an ammunition plant on the reserve, which would be directly controlled, I assure you, by the People's Army.

So how much of the above report is true is anyone's guess, but it stands to reason that there are plans afoot as regards the Y2K situation, and when you couple this with the Euro dollar coming on line, and what that will do to this economy, things could well be reaching the interesting stage in America. I have left the sources intact in the above report, so please verify as much as you can, and let me know your results, please.

The really important part of the above information is simply that the cities are and always have been targets. How else can it be? This is where the vast majority of Americans are concentrated, so they will obviously be targets, and if there is blood shed, it will be concentrated in the cities and in the eastern time zone, so the above information simply tells us that we should do what we should do anyway: leave the cities and band with those of like mind. We need to be near to those who will help to protect us, and I am reasonably sure that time for much of this is short.

The following is an excerpt from:

<<http://www.devvy.com/taxcourt/tcindex.html>>. EVERY CITIZEN of the State of Washington, or any other State of the Union of the Fifty States would do well to read the entire document, but this excerpt states the bottom line very clearly... and imposes this question upon YOU: ARE YOU THE VICTIM OF A CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD??? — John R. Prukop/CCW Coalition

THE BOTTOM LINE IS THIS: The reason for this absence of a challenge to such alleged requirement to have a social security number for any reason, let alone employment, can only be explained by analyzing the act itself to determine if there is such a requirement. Because Congress lacks the constitutional authority to compel membership in Social Security, the act simply imposes no such requirement and I challenge Respondent to provide a specific section in 42 U.S.C. where it states such a requirement.

The modern version of the act is codified at 42 U.S.C., section 301-433. If

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

there were a requirement that every American join the Social Security scheme, one would expect to find language in the act similar to the following:

“Every American of the age of 18 years or older shall submit an application with the Social Security Administration and shall provide thereon the information required by regulations prescribed by the Secretary. Every member of Social Security shall pay the taxes imposed herein and records of such payments shall be kept by the Secretary for determining the amount of benefits to which such member is entitled hereunder.”

Amazingly, no such or similar language appears within the act anywhere, and particularly there is no section thereof which could remotely be considered as a mandate that anyone join Social Security. The closest section of the act which might relate to this point is the requirement that anyone seeking benefits under the act must apply for the same. But, this relates to an entirely different point than a requirement that one join.

Since the statutory scheme fails to impose such requirement, the next question to be asked is whether perhaps the Social Security regulations themselves might impose such duty. But here, the regulations are no broader than the act itself, and the duty to apply for and obtain a Social Security card or number boils down to the following found at 20 C.F.R., section 422.103:

“(b) Applying for a number. (1) Form SS-5. An individual needing a social security number may apply for one by filing a signed Form SS-5, ‘Application for a Social Security Card,’ at any social security office and submitting the required evidence...

“(2) Birth Registration Document. The Social Security Administration (SSA) may enter into an agreement with officials of a state...to establish, as part of the official birth registration process, a procedure to assist SSA in assigning social security numbers to newborn children. Where an agreement is in effect, a parent, as part of the official birth registration process, need not complete a Form SS-5 and may request that SSA assign a social security number to the newborn child.

“(c) How numbers are assigned. (1) Request on form SS-5. If the applicant has completed a Form SS-5, the social security office...that receives the completed Form SS-5 will require the applicant to furnish documentary evidence... After review of the documentary evidence, the completed Form SS-5 is forwarded...to SSA’s central office... If the electronic screening or other investigation does not disclose a previously assigned number, SSA’s central office assigns a number and issues a social security number card...

“(2) Request on birth registration document. Where a parent has requested a social security number for a newborn child as part of an official birth registration process described in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the State vital statistics office will electronically transmit the request to SSA’s central office... Using this

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

information, SSA will assign a number to the child and send the social security number card to the child at the mother's address."

Every American has the RIGHT to be fully informed of the law and the consequences of entering into any contract that binds them to any program. Forcing a newborn, incapable of understanding anything other than life giving functions such as food and shelter or a teenager to enter into a contract, which is nothing more than the assignment of a number for a tax, disguised as a benefit program, is reprehensible and flies in the face of all the principles of freedom and free choice that this nation was founded upon.

The purported duty to apply for and obtain a Social Security number therefore boils down to this: you get it if you want it or request it. There is no legal compulsion to do so and the Respondent cannot provide any evidence to the contrary.

Registrant: One who registers; particularly, one who registers anything for the purpose of securing a right or privilege granted by law on condition of such registration. Black's Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition.

How does anyone register a child or themselves with the government, supposedly the agent for We, the People, accept a right or a privilege granted by the supposed law written and enforced by that said agent and maintain their freedom?

"Reason obeys itself; and ignorance does whatever is dictated to it." —Thomas Paine, Rights of Man

The following is a free Y2K alert + analysis from Y2KNEWSWIRE.COM. You signed up for this. Removal / unsubscribe instructions and e-mail contacts are at the bottom of this e-mail.

NETWORK DATE FAILURE STRIKES LONDON BANKS

As yet more proof that bringing software on-line that you thought was Y2K compliant often causes system failures, this Computer Weekly story reports, "The installation of millennium-compliant software by a telecommunications supplier left dozens of London investment banks and brokers suffering from a network failure at the end of last month."

Twenty-five of 300 fiber optic rings failed, affecting market data services. Most importantly, as the story reports, "...Users of the network had previously been told such a failure was unlikely, as the supplier had said any breakdown to any part of the network would be over-ridden by emergency back-up procedures."

Sounds familiar, doesn't it? We hear this all the time: don't worry, we've tested it and even if it fails, the backup system will take care of it. And yet networks are crashing all around us — even BEFORE 2000 — as companies who thought they were ahead of the curve actually try to put their remediated systems

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

on-line. We've seen it with the FAA, with banks, and now with this communications network in London that affected dozens of banks.

What happens on January 1, 2000, when every company and communications system on the planet is forced to operate in the post-rollover era? Naturally, they've all been assured things will be fine. John Koskinen, Clinton's Y2K czar, even claims the federal government will have no Y2K problems whatsoever. All the Y2K skeptics are certain everything will be fixed on time... or, as Gartner Group suggests, 90% of the problems that weren't fixed in the last decade will magically be fixed within three days. That's now widely known as the "Three day miracle."

As we approach the end of 1998, the foolishness of the Y2K skeptics is becoming more and more apparent. With Y2K remediation costs unexpectedly skyrocketing, exceeding even Gartner Group's estimates of \$600 billion, with systems testing revealing major problems that people thought were already fixed, and with the federal government continuing to receive a "D" grade on its Year 2000 progress (yet again), it takes an immense effort of selective focus to continue proclaiming Y2K is no big deal.

Story at: (requires free registration) <http://www.computerweekly.co.uk/cwarchive/news/19981210/cwcontainer.asp?name=D12.html>

26 PREDICTIONS FOR THE NEXT 18 MONTHS: Y2KNEWSWIRE predicted in September that no federal agency would meet the September 30, 1998 deadline. We were right, although agencies later claimed it wasn't a real deadline after all. (It was just a "goal.")

Now we're going on the record to make some NEW predictions for 1999 and 2000. Print this out, staple it to your wall. And watch as they come to pass. We're betting we'll be right on at least 75% of the predictions. The time frame for these predictions is the next two years (including both 1999 and 2000).

AIRPLANES: There will be at least one Y2K-related mid-air collision or landing / taking off accident, causing at least one plane to fall from the sky.

WATER: At least one municipality in the United States will fail to operate correctly, either leaving citizens without water or allowing untreated water to reach the population, resulting in sickness.

FOOD: Food supplies will be threatened in many areas, and the National Guard or the Red Cross will be needed to deliver emergency food supplies.

NATIONAL EMERGENCY: The President will declare a national emergency.

BANK RUNS: Bank runs will begin but will be halted by aggressive action from the Fed, Congress and the White House.

DOMESTIC TERRORISM: At least one deadly act of terrorism will take place on U.S. soil or at a U.S. base overseas as foreign enemies of the United States take advantage of the Y2K turmoil.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

911: Multiple 911 systems will fail across the country, and in at least one city, riots will result.

DEFENSE: The Dept. of Defense will suffer massive communications failures.

NUCLEAR POWER: At least one nuclear power plant will be shut down due to Y2K-related safety concerns.

OIL: Supplies and deliveries of oil will be disrupted due to Y2K. Prices of gasoline will rise.

MEDICAL EQUIPMENT: There will be multiple deaths from Y2K-related failures of medical equipment.

ELECTRICITY: Power outages will affect at least ten cities in the United States.

MARCH 1999 DEADLINE: In March, multiple federal agencies will announce they have achieved compliance. In the following year, at least half of them will experience Y2K-related problems they didn't expect.

PANIC BUYING: Panic buying of supplies will be in full swing by November of 1999. Sam's Club will see record sales of canned goods, and generators will be difficult to find.

CASH WITHDRAWALS: New limits will be placed on either the withdrawing of cash or the use of cash in transactions.

FIREARMS: Firearms sales will increase dramatically in the Summer or Fall of 1999, and the FBI's new Instant-Check system will be tremendously overloaded.

AUTOMOBILES: Some automobiles will be unable to run correctly.

UNEMPLOYMENT: Before the end of 2000, thanks to Y2K-related company failures, unemployment will exceed 12%.

ECONOMY: By the end of 2000, the U.S. economy will be in a recession (at minimum).

STOCK MARKET: The Dow will drop well below 6000, due, in part, to Y2K fears and Y2K-related company failures. The failure of Japanese banks will also play an important role in the falling U. S. stock market.

TRAINS: The running of trains will be disrupted for at least three days in some areas, causing expensive delivery delays and disrupting industry.

INTERNET: Some segments of the Internet will stay up, but telecommunications failures will bring it down in many areas.

PRISONS: At least one prison riot will result from the Year 2000 rollover, causing several deaths.

TRAFFIC LIGHTS: In at least one major city, traffic light disruptions will cause gridlock..

NUCLEAR MELTDOWN: There will not be a nuclear core meltdown in the United States, although there will be one outside the United States before the end

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

of 2000.

MILITARY FORCES: Military forces will indeed be walking the streets of at least one U.S. city before the Summer of 2000.

BANK FEARS HIT AUSTRALIA

December 1999 bank bill futures are being affected **RIGHT NOW** by fears over the Millennium Bug. According to this Financial Review article, "The market and banking authorities are anticipating a massive withdrawal of cash from the banking system by people afraid of technological havoc caused by the Y2K computer bug."

It goes on to say: "People are afraid that banks will crash," said Mr. Phil O'Sullivan, strategist at Bankers Trust Australia. "The speculation is that what will happen leading into 2000 is that people will be concerned that the banks' computer systems won't handle it, and they will withdraw cash from the banks more than usual and force up short-term rates."

Furthermore, the story repeats something Y2KNEWSWIRE has been warning readers about for months: the drying-up of loan capital and subsequent monetary deflation. The story reports, "It's dawned on people that over the end of 1999, banks may just decide not to lend any money at all because they don't want to risk lending money to someone who gets hammered by the Y2K bug," said one market participant. "People are realizing that money at the end of 1999 might be pretty expensive."

It's not difficult to imagine loan rates exceeding 25%. And if that happens, it means anybody who leaves their money in the banks in order to earn a measly 2% is getting the shaft.

Think about it: as we cross the 2000 horizon, would YOU loan money to a business for a paltry 10% return? Especially if there was a risk the company wouldn't be Y2K-compliant and could go bankrupt? Most rational people wouldn't take that bet. But if you deposit your money into a bank, you ARE an investor. You're loaning your money to that bank. Worse yet, you're only getting 2% interest for risking 100% of your money.

That's why diversifying your money by pulling some of it out of the bank is not at all an "unnatural" act, as claimed by some Y2K skeptics. It is, in fact, one of the smartest things you can do. During this great 1990's stock market explosion, you've heard repeated, over and over again, "Diversify!" Now, all of a sudden, the people in the financial industry are telling you diversification is radical and unnatural. They don't want you to diversify at all, especially if that means cutting into their profits. It turns out they really only wanted you to diversify under THEM, putting all your eggs in one basket but imagining you were actually putting them in a dozen smaller baskets. But it was all under the same banking system, the same stock market.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

But you're smart. You already know diversification is a GREAT strategy in times of uncertainty, and for most people that means owning some land, some precious metals, some cash, some bank deposits, a little stock in Y2K-proof companies, and having plenty of supplies. Convert electronic money to real-world "stuff." That's called diversification, and anybody who tries to convince you that diversifying right now is a stupid strategy is lying to you.

Here's the story from Australia:

<http://www.afr.com.au/content/981210/market/markets1.html>

Another story from The Age, states, "Investors are betting through the futures markets that the Millennium Bug will cripple the banking system on 1 January 2000." It even goes on to say, "...if the banks were paralyzed for even a short period the resulting shortage of dollars would temporarily drive interest rates higher."

Check it out yourself at: <http://www.theage.com.au/daily/981211/bus/bus7.html>

ARMY NEEDS MORE MONEY FOR Y2K

The Army needs a lot more Y2K-fixing cash than they thought. Now they're cutting budgets in other areas to redirect money to solving the Y2K problem.

Solving this problem is obviously critical to the Army, since they'll be the troops sent out to American cities to help the people who didn't prepare. And if the Army can't get compliant, the entire rescue operation is in trouble. Citizens who aren't preparing right now are going to be relying on a branch of the military that can't even get the funds it needs to get compliant.

Read about the increase at:

<http://www.fcw.com/pubs/fcw/1998/1207/web-armyy2k-12-9-98.html>

ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS DEMANDS NUKE PLANT SHUTDOWN

Reuters reports, "An environmental group Thursday submitted a petition to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission asking that nuclear power plants be shut down if they cannot prove themselves free of Year 2000 computer bugs."

We knew it was going to happen sooner or later. Faced with the possibility that nuclear power plants won't be compliant, this group is doing the right thing by demanding they be taken off-line rather than risk a meltdown (or other accident). The group demands nuclear power plants prove they are compliant before they are allowed to operate.

Proving it is practically impossible, thanks to embedded systems. The only way to completely simulate a Year 2000 rollover is to wait for it. The vast majority of embedded systems can't be "fooled" into thinking it's 2000, so actually proving the compliance of any nuclear power plant is all but impossible.

"Nuclear Information and Resource Service officials said if the nation's 104

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

commercial nuclear power plants are not properly tested and declared free of the Y2K threat, there could be 'severe safety and environmental problems' caused by date-sensitive electronic systems failing when 2000 starts."

Odds are, at least one plant is going to have a safety problem. And the worst-case problem is a Chernobyl-style meltdown. In order to avoid such an event, the only rational action to take is shutting down the plants early that can't be proven compliant.

Nuclear power plants provide approximately 40% of the electricity used by the Eastern half of the United States. Losing 40% of the power results in a blackout. According to power industry experts, the system can't run on just 60%. You'd have to disconnect segments of the grid, resulting in SOME cities having full power and OTHER cities having none. And if you happen to live in one of the "none" cities, you get to experience a full-scale, long-term blackout.

So faced with this choice, what will the NRC do? Will they take the risk of a meltdown and allow unproven nuclear power plants to operate? Will they manage to prove compliance of all the plants in time? Will they restrict some plants but allow others to run?

It's fascinating to watch what happens here. The NRC is working hard to solve this thing. The problem is not in their intentions: it's in the magnitude of the problem itself. Even the best intentions don't solve non-compliant embedded systems.

Read it at: http://dailynews.yahoo.com/headlines/wr/story.html?s=v/nm/19981210/wr/nukes_1.html

WIRED also reports on the request and reveals that some nuclear power plants do indeed have Y2K problems: "A November 1998 government audit of the Seabrook, New Hampshire, nuclear plant found that reactor coolant level monitoring systems, fuel handling systems, reactor vessel level indication systems, and the computer system that oversees digital controllers would not work properly in the year 2000. Of 1,304 programs and embedded systems afflicted with Y2K, the audit said 12 had "safety implications."

WIRED also reports, "Nuclear reactors require backup power to cool their cores and fuel pools after they are shut down."

This is important because it means you can't just shut down a plant in one day. It takes almost four months to properly cool the fuel of a nuclear power plant. Fortunately, plants have backup power systems to handle that, even if they lose all power from the grid. But have those backup systems been determined to be Y2K-compliant? If not, won't these plants have to be powered down on September 1, 1999 to allow four months for cooling?

<http://www.wired.com/news/news/politics/story/16772.html>

Get ready for Y2K, read the Y2K Sourcebook Get the inside sources for stock-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ing up now <http://www.y2ksupply.com/index.asp?pageid=sourcebook>

Tell a friend about the free Y2KNEWSWIRE.COM e-mail alert:

<http://www.y2knewswire.com/tellafriend.htm>

Join the “believers-only” free Y2K e-mail newsletter:

<http://www.y2ksupply.com/believers.htm>

The following shows exactly how the elite viewed the Declaration of Independence.

History may view it differently, but one senses we are living today in unheroic times. Our senators argue the cruciality of their retaining free parking space at the National Airport, while our president wails that no other one leader has suffered as he has been made to suffer — at the hands of those cynical radio talk-show hosts. Contrast this self-indulgence and self-pity with the spirit of the forgotten heroes of 1776, the men who pledged “lives, fortunes and sacred honor” to defend their declaration of Independence.

Disaster struck “Honest John” Hart first. Just months after he signed, British and Hessian troops invaded New Jersey, forcing him and his family to flee. His wife did not survive. Broken in health from hiding in barns all winter, Hart went home to find his farm destroyed. Rebuilding proved too great a task. By the spring of 1779, John Hart was dead.

New Jersey’s Richard Stockton, suffered a similar fate. After rescuing his wife and children from advancing British troops, he was betrayed by a loyalist, imprisoned, beaten and nearly starved. He returned an invalid to find his home gutted, his library and papers burned. He, too, never recovered, dying in 1781 a broken man. Hart and Stockton lost all they had, but honor.

William Ellery of Rhode Island, who marveled that he had seen only “undaunted resolution” in the faces of his co-signers, had his home burned also. Francis Lewis’ Long Island home, too, was looted and gutted, his wife thrown into a damp dark prison cell without a bed. Health ruined, Mrs. Lewis died in two years. Lewis’ son would die in British captivity.

Only days after Lewis Morris of New Your signed, British troops ravaged his 2,000-acre estate, butchered his cattle and drove his family off the land. Three of Morris’ sons fought the British.

“The time is now at hand, when we shall see whether America has virtue enough to be free,” Josiah Bartlett of New Hampshire had said at Philadelphia. In that summer of ’76, she surely did.

When the British seized the New Youk houses of the wealthy Philip Livingston, he sold off everything else, gave the money to the Revolution and died in 1778.

Arthur Middleton, Edward Rutledge and Thomas Heyward Jr. went home to South Carolina to fight. In the British invasion of the South, Heyward was wounded

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

and all three were captured. As he rotted on a prison ship in St. Augustine, Heyward's plantation was raided, buildings burned, and his wife, who witnessed it all, died. Other Southern signers suffered the same fate.

Among the first to sign had been John Hancock, who wrote in big, bold script so George III "could read my name without spectacles and could now double his reward for 500 pounds for my head." If the cause of the revolution commands it, roared Hancock, "Burn Boston and make John Hancock a beggar!"

Here were men who believed in a cause far beyond themselves.

But perhaps the most inspiring example of that "undaunted resolution" was Thomas Nelson Jr. Returning from Philadelphia to become Governor of Virginia, Nelson joined Washington's army just outside Yorktown. Observing during that battle that his artillery men were directing fire all over the town, but were being careful to avoid the area where his own beautiful home was located, Nelson asked why they were not firing in that direction.

"Out of respect to you, Sir," came the reply.

Nelson stepped forward to the nearest cannon, aimed it at his house and fired. The other guns joined in: his home was destroyed.

These stories of what became of the men who defied a king to give birth to a country were lovingly gathered by a great patriot, former Gov. Meldrim Thomson Jr. of New Hampshire. Gov. Thomson put them all in his patriots book, "One Hundred Famous Founders."

As Gov. Thomson relates on his death bed, John Adams, most famous of the signers save only Thomas Jefferson, who had taken the presidency from him, was asked to make a toast.

"Independence forever" Adams cried. That was the spirit of the old man, as it had been of the young. He and Jefferson, with whom he had long since reconciled died the same day, July 4, 1826, 50 years to the day they had together cast their vote for American independence.

We shall not see their like again.

On the other hand, no such list of problems for the signers of the Constitution can be put together because, even given the problems of the War of 1812, none of them had any such bad luck.. Strange, isn't it, until you know the truth.

Pay attention:

When you look at the world through the fog of your own worries, your anger, your frustration and impatience, many valuable things will just pass you by, completely unnoticed.

Imagine driving through town while someone is holding a gun to your head. Are you going to notice the new flower shop on the corner? Probably not. Your focus will be on that gun.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Are you holding a gun to your own head, by constantly focusing on what's wrong with your life? Are you so obsessed with your own problems that you don't see the opportunities all around you?

Your attention can be effectively focused on only one thing at a time. Sure you have problems and challenges. Yet what is the point, what is the value of agonizing over them?

Pay attention to what's good about your life. Rather than worrying about what you don't have, seek to make the best of all the good things you do have. There are a lot of things right with your life. Give your attention to them and they will grow. — Ralph Marston

“Reason obeys itself; and ignorance does whatever is dictated to it.” —Thomas Paine, Rights of Man

PART XIII

THERE IS ALWAYS A REASON...

I think it is time to discuss the reason for this series. Perhaps, for some, it is past time. And for a few, they think they already know the reason. Sorry, that isn't it.

The reason is simple. The sole purpose of this series is to teach you to do something that not many Americans have any ability to do today, and that is to think. To take time out from what you think is so important in your daily routine and think. Not only while you are reading these papers, but while you are working, driving, conversing with others, and especially, while you are watching the TV. If, at any time, these papers have interfered with your daily routine, then I am beginning to accomplish what I have set out to do. Thinking is possibly the most important part of us, and if you do not believe this, then simply look around you at the myriad of things which have been created to occupy your time. Time much better spent in thinking.

Once you are able to think again, once you understand the reasons for television and the rest of the nonsense of this world, the existence of God and His Works are simple to see. The organization of His Law and His Universe is so exact, so simple and so all-encompassing that no one who can think can deny it. So, basically, a re-kindling of your ability to think coupled with an exposure to the Truth, which is Him, is the reason for this work.

Pretty basic, really. But then, so is life.

The Part to follow was written many years ago. It covers much of the foundation of man's law which occurred in this country, and is very interesting. At least, to me it is. But, and I did not know this when I wrote it, it does not cover the really important information. Some of that you have already seen. Here's a little more:

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

When Burney Brushears talks about his book and about sovereignty, you should listen. Because it is possible, by their rules!

I did not know this until a short time ago, but the World Court issued a ruling in 1976 which bears on the subject of sovereignty for all people of this earth. They said, simply, that all people are sovereign over the respective governments of each country. Of course, they did this so that they would have a reason to interfere in each country's internal affairs as they move closer to a world wide government. And they did it, as they do all things, for personal gain.

But that is not the important part. The important part is that this ruling has been reduced to treaties which every nation under the domination of the bankers has signed, because they had no choice. They are under contract to them. There are exceptions, such as Iraq, if you want just an inkling of what is really going on in the Middle East. The people of Iraq refuse to knuckle under to the New World Order.

The problem that We the People have is that most of us are still under contract to them, unlike the Iraqis, and when you go into one of their private, contract courts (see ending of this Part for a real definition of what happened to the courts), they win. It is their system, so why should we expect any other result. In Scripture, we are told to stay out of their courts, because to be in their courts, you must be under contract to them. A private contract with them negates your contract with Him, for protection and for health. Now, once under contract, you must obey your masters on this earth, and the same is true about this ruling of the World Court. The men I have talked to about this are quite sure that the bankers did not realize what they were doing, primarily because they did not believe that there would be those who have no contracts with them, through the respective governments controlled by the bankers.

Unfortunately for them, that is not necessarily true. Anyway, the simple wording of the ruling leaves all people of the earth in the position of, if I understand correctly, switching back and forth between the artificial personas they wish us to operate under and sovereignty, as suits us. Pretty good, if you understand the law, and can initiate the proper paper work. Well, the people I work with are in the process of doing just that, and have two cases headed for the Supreme Court right now. In addition, they have had two judges and a number of IRS agents quit their jobs rather than face the consequences of action in a real court of law, as ordained under the Constitution for actions taken which are contrary to the limitations placed upon them when dealing with Sovereigns.

The next few years should be very interesting, for those who have eyes and can see, that is. For the others, it is liable to be a very trying time indeed.

There are, in the face of new developments coming on line, a couple of other points which must be covered. Everything you see around you is a lie. I

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

know; I have said this before.

But not in the sense that I am now going to bring forth.

I have a copy of a page from the Handbook of Delegation Orders, Internal Revenue Service, page 1229-91 (1-17-83). It is very interesting. One of the paragraphs, the third one down, says:

Recommend an additional monetary award of \$10,000 (total \$35,000) to the President through Treasury and OPM.

What this is referring to is that for EVERY indictment for tax evasion within the United States, the President of the United States receives a payment of \$35,000. Now, there is other verbiage in the first two paragraphs which refer to Merit Pay Cash Awards and such which are references to the payments made to each federal judge and the United States attorneys, but that is a different subject.

Think back to Clinton pleading for money for his defense from morons all across the United States.î And how much he collected! Don't you think he was snickering just a little, while doing that? There are literally hundreds of indictments for tax evasion every year for which he gets paid. And if this is true at the top of the heap in the United States, you can rest assured that it is true all the way down the ladder. It has to be, for the ones who keep him in power MUST receive a dividend or they support someone else for power. And everyone goes to seek justice when it is all about contracts and money. Interesting.

You see, it is a game. You've heard it said, he who dies with the most toys, wins! People like Clinton, and Gore, believe this. There are no laws; it is a democracy. The biggest bully rules, and they support what they hope is a winner, and pray he sees fit to give them a nice piece of the action. Laws? They don't need no stinkin' laws! Well, yes, they do, if you can call paper written by men laws.î But they need these strictly for the appearance of legality so that those they prey on don't realize, at least, so not too many of them realize, what is really going on, which is legal plunder.î

There is a very good line in the movie Peter Pan: I ain't never going to grow up!î And that adequately describes such men; they have never grown up. They believe that they answer to no one except the next biggest bully on the block, and anything he says is OK, they can do, regardless of the damage it does to other people; regardless of the damage it does to themselves. They answer to no higher power and work only for the rewards, or supposed rewards, of this earth. While they work for what they think are the rewards of this earth, the real rewards, happiness, a good family, friends, health, all of these things are denied to them.

Slaves can not be happy, nor content, and their family can only function within the concept permitted by the bully; The family can never be first. The bully utilizes the precepts of the True God without even realizing what he does; the bully must be first or he can not be supreme.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

When we choose God first, then the completeness of His Law furnishes the best result possible for all. When a child is disrespectful to an elder or to another child, we have a moral obligation to correct the child. Why? Because it will make the child's life easier. Respect is simply allowing another, space in which to live. Yet in this world, the bully says differently, and if you doubt this, simply walk through a public school in one of the major cities of the United States.

When we observe a neighbor beating his wife, we have a moral obligation to intervene. Why? Because the man is damaging himself, as Scripture tells us a man and a woman become one, and we have a moral obligation to be our neighbor's keeper. Yet in this world, the bully says differently, and he says so for profit, and if you doubt this, simply walk through today's courthouses and count the number of lawyers and physiologists making money, and paying taxes, out of the grief and anguish primarily caused by the bully's monetary system.

When you look around you at the world, and at the games being played, the real meaning of growing up becomes clear; Quit playing games! It is time to learn, and to take personal responsibility for ourselves, our family, our friends, and our relationship with the One True God. No one can do this for us, nor can they lead us to Him! We each must make a personal decision to accept Him, not only for Salvation, but as King. I hear many people praying for His return to the earth, where He will Rule Supreme for a thousand years! Do you expect that He will Rule without His Law?

To accept the premise that there is no law is to suffer the vengeance of Him, because He spoke the Law! To be a United States citizen IS to accept the premise that there is no law.

Have you ever looked at Al Gore's book on the ecology? It is interesting, to say the least. There is not one word or source listed for which there is a scientific basis, but the demand for fixing the environment comes through loud and clear. And, if you understand the words he uses and the concepts behind them, the message is clear; the commoners are using too many resources. Like cars. Or like real health and life. And they need to pay their fair share. Lord Gore has spoken, and we should listen. The laws already exist to deny most people access to cars and to lock down the health care of the rabble, as well as much more complete control of the production and distribution of food, all in the name of the people. For reference, see Russia in the 1920s.

Our job is to chastise our People (this means to enforce the Law among our People), and leave Satan's minions to work their vengeance upon each other, always through governments of this earth, control over which is always the main theme of wars. That means we need to grow up and accept the rule of Law, as opposed to the rule of GIMME! To accept Who is King, and Who has Sovereignty over the earth; Satan, or the One True God? As you think about this, consider what

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

is in store for Satan's people as you read the following.

><http://www.themoneymasters.com/> THE FBI TRAINING ACADEMY - O.R.I.E.
NWO BLACK BOOT TRAINING ACADEMY

Joe L. Jordan (powstryk@flash.net):

"NETWORK EVENING NEWS tonight showed a classroom full of Hanoi gooks at the FBI Academy at Langley, Virginia. The classes were being conducted by the same jack-booted thugs who killed women and babies at Waco and Ruby Ridge."

BACKGROUND:

The FBI Academy is located on the United States Marine Corps Base at Quantico, and is situated on 385 wooded acres of land providing the security, privacy, and safe environment necessary to carry out the diverse training and operational functions for which the FBI is responsible. See <http://www.fbi.gov/academy/intrntl.htm>

Then go to the FBI International Training Program at the following website <http://www.fbi.gov/academy/intrntl.htm> and read WHY they are NOW international.

QUOTING FROM THEIR WEB PAGE: The breakup of the former Soviet Union and the move to democracy by all of the countries of Central and Eastern Europe is forcing profound change, not only in the region, but throughout the world. These changes are not merely political, but have significant impact on national economies, social structures, and law enforcement. The weakening or elimination of borders and the increased availability of electronic forms of communication and commerce have allowed criminals almost unlimited mobility. These social, political, and economic changes have occurred much faster than the ability of law enforcement to respond to these new challenges. This is particularly true in some emerging democracies of the world, who have ill-equipped and poorly trained police, little if any money, and inadequate laws that are not effective in allowing the police to attack organized criminal enterprises. (Read this as saying that they do not have the ability to effectively eliminate opposition to the New World Order of the bankers. - David)

If these organized criminal enterprises with roots elsewhere in the world are allowed to grow and migrate beyond their borders, they will inevitably invade the United States. The Director of the FBI is charged with the duty to detect, investigate, and assist in the prosecution of crimes committed against the United States and its citizens. The establishment of effective international police liaison is a critical task in carrying out this mission. The development of effective police training programs are proven ways to ensure international police cooperation. The mission of the International Training Section, located at Quantico, Virginia, is to administer and coordinate all international mission-oriented training for the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

FBI. Through coordination with other FBI operational divisions, the International Relations Section, Dept. of Justice - Office of International Programs, the Dept. of State, and affected United States' embassies overseas, prioritized training is provided in support of the FBI's international investigative responsibilities.

International training initiatives fall into seven basic categories:

1. International country assessments/evaluations and/or needs analysis;
2. International in-country (outside the 50 states) training;
3. International training conducted in the United States;
4. FBI instructor development and cultural awareness;
5. International Law Enforcement Academy (ILEA), Budapest, Hungary;
6. Mexican/American Law Enforcement Training (MALET) initiative; and
7. The Pacific Rim Training Initiative (PTI).

MORE authority was given to the FBI and federal law enforcement with the passage of the Anti-Terrorism Bill. See S-735 - (<http://thomas.loc.gov>) for the outline of the bill or for the full text (which is VERY long). These federal police agencies have been given more power with the passage of every crime bill passed by Congress for the past "several years".... (Note: this means by the republican Congress. - David) the worst one being the 1996 Crime bill (see www.shadeslanding.com/firearms/crime.bill.text.html).

Don't YOU think it is time to WAKE UP and STOP THE NEW WORLD ORDER NOW!?! No, the New World Order is not coming - it is HERE - and the United States (de facto) government is running the show (It always has been. - David). If you don't believe me - go to the New York City web page at

<http://www.ci.nyc.ny.us/html/misc/html/1998/centen.html>

GREATER NEW YORK CENTENNIAL CELEBRATION - 1898-1998. The year 1998 marks the Centennial of the consolidation of the "various communities lying in and around the New York harbor" into what is known everywhere today as New York City, "the Capital of the World." Here at NYC LINKTM, we offer links to our archives, as well as suggestions for outside visitors to explore the rich history of our city's past. Historical information on the Consolidation of the Five Boroughs into New York City Centennial Events

"To Deny the Constitution is to Provoke Revolution"

I really like the end; To Deny the Constitution is to Provoke Revolution. These people have not grown up, and probably never will. They will insist in believing what they wish to be true, never looking at the facts. They react, as children do, to outside stimulus and not verifiable facts. Perhaps, one day, if the pain becomes great enough, they too will join with Him, and us, in America.

On the other hand, the men who attend the FBI Academy and who do the teaching can not join us; their faith prevents them. They have chosen their mas-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ters, and for their daily bread, even while the population control measures so common now on the earth are practiced on them and on their children, they can not see. And if they could see, for just a moment, they probably could not change what they are or what they do. They would simply, after falling over the Truth, pick themselves up, brush themselves off, and continue on their way. If you doubt this, see the slaughter of millions in Russia and China, and never forget for a moment that the slaughter was not done by outsiders but by the people of each nation slaughtering each other at the whim of their chosen kings.î

I look back at my life, and I can recognize different water-shed events, as any one can. One of the most telling for me was the day I learned about diet pop. I was visiting with a friend who is a chemist, and somehow the conversation got around to pop and, in particular, diet pop. Now, up to that time, I drank a lot of pop (probably, the subject came up because of what I was drinking at that moment), perhaps as much as a six pack a day, all diet. Why not? It's better for me, right? I mean, all the advertising says it is...

But my friend had a different story, and his story was about formaldehyde and the plastic sweetener used in diet pop. And the details about what it does to the body, and in particular, to the vascular system of our blood supply. On that day, I quit drinking diet pop. I have never had another one, and never will. In fact, since that day, over nine years ago, I have had only two partial cans of 7-UP for an upset stomach (and I won't do that again either), and some Ginger Ale in a mixed drink or two, or so... And since I mostly drink only red wine now (see the book *Eat Right for Your Type*, my blood type is A), my consumption of pop is basically zero, as it must be if I am to remain healthy, and equally importantly, regain the health I lost through poor knowledge and understanding.

What I did not realize at the time of stopping drinking pop is that I had, on that day, taken a major step in growing up. Of making a choice deliberately of denying myself something that I was all but addicted to because I had received the knowledge that it was bad for me. Growing up. Since that time, each and every choice that I have made which has moved me further from the world of man with all of it's filth and corruption has been easier. Does this mean that I have become a Righteous Man? Of course not. I still miss many things that exist for our pleasure in the world of man, and still enjoy some of them on occasion, such as a football game on TV. But not very often, and as I learn more, it is easier for me to turn my back on them.

And note what I said above; since that time. This change in me did not happen over-night, and it will not for you either. But, if you continue to study and learn, the change is inevitable. I am changing now. I will continue to change until the day I die, because I now have no choice.

I have been told, a number of times, that I am different.î I suppose this is

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

true. I have been told that I look at things in black and white when I should know that everything is in shades of color, and never absolute. I just laugh. I haven't studied the Bible as I should, yet, but I know the difference between what I wish were true and what is true, and the difference is as plain as night and day. Or black and white. There are absolutes, and accepting this is necessary in growing up. And then I look around me at the world, the world that people say I am different from, and all I can say is...

I see people feeding a six month old child pop...

I see mothers buying formulas for their babies instead of breast feeding, and having faith that some multi-national corporation, for profit, is going to see to it that their baby is properly nourished...

I see a two year old child being fed concentrated sweets and highly refined sugar along with white bread in most of their meals, and then parents wondering why their children are anti-social and high strung... and addicted to sweets in later life...

I see parents agreeing to put their children on drugs so the schools can get more money for problem children...

I see many, many two worker-bees families, with both parents working outside the home for the American dream while others raise their children for them, and they pay a 70% accumulative tax rate, and the husband and wife are no longer in love and they have no idea of why...

I see many, many people raging at the system, while working as hard as possible to support it and participate in it, and with no knowledge or understanding of what it is that they support or participate in, but they have faith...

And these same people say how different I am... Well, so be it, and by the Grace of The One True God, I pray daily that it remains so.

There Is Always a Reason for Circumstances to Exist

"Single acts of tyranny may be ascribed to the accidental opinion of a day; but a series of oppressions, begun at a distinguished period, and pursued unalterably through every change of ministers (administrations), too plainly proves a deliberate, systematic plan of reducing us to slavery." Thomas Jefferson.

However difficult you may find the information in this Report, it is necessary for America's well-being that you study this Report until you understand all of the information contained herein. Remember, your family's and your own well-being is intimately tied in with the well-being of America and of her People and with the Laws which govern America. Understanding the information in this Part, just as understanding our economic system, is the only way you can help start America back on the long road to freedom. The information in this Report is the basis by which the predatory actions, worked against the Citizens of America as described in Part VI, are permitted to be done.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

The Declaration of Independence acknowledges that we have God-given Rights. Never forget what I just told you: the Constitution grants no rights to the People of America! All the Constitution does is establish an exclusive jurisdiction for Congress and puts absolute limits on the actions of any official of that government outside of that exclusive jurisdiction. The Constitution does not acknowledge any God-given Rights! God-given Rights are our property. Property, according to the Constitution, can not be taken from us except through due process or by our voluntarily surrendering that property (Read this as contracting away those Rights).

The great majority of the People of America have lost their property through contracts. Most of those same People do not even realize that they are under contract to various shadow, legal entities. Because the nature and intent of these contracts were not revealed to you prior to your entering into them, the contracts are null and void if you wish them to be null and void. Not everyone wants out of these contracts. Some people wear their chains willingly. Some still believe, for whatever strange reason, that they will gain more from having the chains than if they are forced to give up.

The Second Thirteenth Amendment

When you use Federal Reserve Notes, you enter into a contract. How do you enter into a contract by using Federal Reserve Notes? This part of the story goes back to 1865 and the Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution (Author's note: The Thirteenth Amendment is actually the Fourteenth Amendment, or, if you wish to be particularly accurate, the first amendment to the second Constitution which pertains strictly to Washington, DC, and the exclusive jurisdiction of Congress therein. The real Thirteenth Amendment was illegally removed from our law books in the mid to late 1800s. You can still find copies of it in old law books. I know because I have. What this means is that there are TWO Constitutions, two governments, and two systems operating side by side. Unfortunately, the first system is invisible to most People).

The Second Amendment XIII:

SECTION 1. Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as a punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted shall exist within the United States, or any place subject to their jurisdiction.

Is that pretty plain? It is if you know how to read it. In the first place, if the men who wrote the Thirteenth Amendment intended to eliminate servitude in the United States that is what they would have written: Neither slavery nor servitude ...shall exist within the United States. Instead, they wrote involuntary servitude, and that wording specifically allows voluntary servitude. Understanding this involves us in law construction, in other words, in the use of words to construct a law. If the framers of the Thirteenth Amendment had left just the word servitude in

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the amendment, it would be something simple and easy to enforce and to understand. Whenever qualifying words are added to simple law, it is always for a reason, just as when you write 2000 pages of special interest benefits for a few elite and restrictions on the rest of the people of a nation into a free trade treaty (called NAFTA).

Why are there two Constitutions? And what are the meanings behind some of the amendments to the Constitution? For instance, the anti-slavery amendment, the thirteenth, what, exactly, does it mean, legally? What does the Supreme Court say about the Thirteenth Amendment?

“The (covert) thirteenth amendment is a great extension of the powers of the national government.” *United States v. Morris*, 125 Federal Reporter, page 322, 325.

What does the Supreme Court say about the Fourteenth Amendment?

“The amendment (fourteenth) reversed and annulled the original policy of the constitution,” *United States v. Rhodes*, 27 Federal Cases, 785, 794.

I wonder why this is never talked about or discussed in our government sponsored public schools? I wonder why we are never taught that the purpose of the Constitution was to see to it that the states and the People were never bothered in their day to day work and life by the agents of the King?

Admiralty Jurisdiction Statutes

When you use Federal Reserve Notes, you enter into a voluntary servitude contract with the federal United States government and the Federal Reserve Bank as well as the legal entities behind the FED. This makes you subject to admiralty jurisdiction statutes, not of the Constitution and, of course, the income tax, which is collected for the benefit of and paid directly to the owners of the Federal Reserve.

Admiralty jurisdiction statutes are equity statutes or, if you prefer, contract law. Everything that you do and everything that you are is defined by a contract when you are under admiralty, or equity, jurisdiction. Those contracts can be with another individual, a business, another social group or race or with the government. These contracts can be with any entity which has standing before the courts, even without your knowledge or informed consent. Under equity law, everything that you sign becomes a contract (Author’s note: We are supposed to assume that these are Laws, when they are actually nothing but statutes). This occurs whether you are aware of it or not, and the hidden nature of these contracts are prima facie evidence of their fraudulent intent. Simply put, these hidden contracts permit the Elite to rule from behind the scenes without the informed consent of the People of this nation (or any other nation) and in direct opposition to the law which was supposedly written to prevent this very situation from developing.

Any situation which develops from law can be determined to be the intended

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

result of that law. There are no accidents in politics. If the intended result was/is different than that which exists, they would change the law!

Equity statutes mean that every relationship you have is defined by a contract and an equity: a value. This means that every misdeed also has a value. When a rich man misbehaves, he generally will pay a fine rather than be punished. A middle class individual, even if proven innocent, will generally go broke defending himself. The poor, on the other hand, are the real victims in this system. They are virtually defenseless in an equity situation and have to depend upon a so-called lawyer, an officer of the court, appointed by the court to obtain as low of a fine and/or jail sentence as is possible. They are generally forced, regardless of guilt or innocence, to take whatever is offered to them. This is why plea bargaining has become so prevalent. And this also shows another reason why the prisons are so crowded now.

If you study history, particularly the history of the British Empire, you will find references to the criminal intent of those who run prisons throughout that history. Of people sold into slavery from prisons, of slave labor used from prisons in nearby factories, of work shops established by specially privileged individuals or companies in prisons, and I will guarantee to you that this same thing is happening here now. Under the guise of there is too much money being spent on these criminals. And, if you will look, you will find that the largest growth industry on Wall Street is prisons, because they are so profitable! After all, they are a direct tap by the elite into the tax structure of the citizens of the United States.

Under Equity, There Can Be No Absolutes

This is also why we are being taught that there are no absolutes. If something is absolutely wrong, someone must be punished for the commission of that something. On the other hand, if we can establish a value for each misdeed, then we acknowledge that it can not be absolutely, morally wrong to do it. If the average time spent in prison by a murderer in America is about seven years, and if the average annual income in America is \$28,000 (or whatever), we have an established value for human life, in America, of about \$200,000. Actually, with the shrinkage of income in America and with the reduced sentences that convicted murderers are now serving, something in the area of five and one-half years instead of seven years, I think you will find the value of a life in America is considerably less than \$200,000.

Watch what the government comes up with in its figure for what we "...can afford to spend on the elderly." Use the formula involving what a murderer spends in prison and the median income in your area for establishing the value of a human life in America and see just how close I am to being right. It should not be hard for anyone to understand how a system like this benefits the rich and how closely it correlates to how the royalty in Europe were treated in centuries past.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Of course, the richer you are, the better the system protects you. What do you think would be the chances of bringing David Rockefeller to justice in an equity court? Speaking of the poor, as we were a few moments ago, do you recall all of the talk about the middle class disappearing? Where do you suppose the middle class is going to end up?

This is not a situation which has come about by accident. Nor is it an accident that you are not aware of most of the contracts in which you are involved. If the American government had explained to the People of this nation the meaning of most of the papers they have signed so trustingly, there would have been a revolution long before now.

Mr. Jack Colson

Some time ago, I was fortunate enough to find a paper written by a gentleman named Jack Colson. I've never met Mr. Colson, and I don't even know what he does, but the paper he wrote is one of the best, most thorough discussions concerning the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments and how they relate to the rights (property) of a citizen in America that I have ever been fortunate enough to find. Please permit me to quote from Mr. Jack Colson quite extensively in this Report:

At the time the Constitutional Contract of 1787 was established the term Citizen of the United States had a specific meaning. The Constitution for the United States of America begins: "We the People of the United States... to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America." The Constitution is the organic law of the United States and established therein is the precept that each Citizen is a sovereign in International Law of equal status with any other sovereign; e.g., the King or Queen of England.

Might that have irritated someone? Would the Queen of England be upset that the Constitution allows each colonist in America to consider and declare himself to be the equal of the Lords and Ladies of England? This equality of the colonist included the fact that they were the equal of the elite and the super rich as well as the Royalty of England. This was the first time a system of law existed, outside of the Bible, which would hold men like the Rothschilds accountable for their actions. This was not acceptable to the Elite rich. (A brief note; the above is true, as the Constitution is the organic law of the United States, but not of America, as the two are separate and distinct legal entities. It was only in America that the so-called elite had a problem.) Mr. Colson continues:

This precept was confirmed in the celebrated case *Dred Scott v. Sandford*, 60 U.S. (19 How.) 394, 15 LEd 691 (1856), where the U.S. supreme Court was asked to consider whether those of the African race compose a portion of the "sovereign people"? On page 404 they answered the inquiry by stating: "We think they are not, and that they are not included, and were not intended to be included, under

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the word “citizens” in the Constitution, and can therefore claim none of the rights and privileges which that instrument provides for or secures to Citizens of the united States.”

In the original Constitution only the members of the white race are Citizens and they constitute the sovereignty of the United States. The Court held that a man of African decent, whether a slave or not, was not and could not be a Citizen of a state or of the United States due to incapacity of race. He was not a Citizen for he had no rights, he was merely a thing, chattel property, in the eyes of the law.

However, this was not the only important point stressed in the Dred Scott decision. The first point of the decision was that a Negro, being not a united States citizen, had no right to sue in federal court. But the decision went much further than this, and these are the points which are never discussed anywhere. The court, in its majority decision (5-4), ruled that the Missouri Compromise (on the question of slavery) was void, because Congress had no power over territories except to prepare for their admission to the Union, and slaves being private property, Congress had no right to exclude them anywhere. This also meant that, outside of Washington, DC, whatever powers Congress lacked would be lacked by any territorial legislature created by Congress.

This created some serious problems for those who held power over DC and brought to a head the States Rights vs. the federal power confrontation which had been brewing ever since the Constitution was adopted, for obvious reasons, once the real basis of the Constitution is understood.

Six months after the end of the Civil War the Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution for the United States was ratified. Prior to its ratification, there was no constitutional warrant for the US government to own people. The Amendment’s sole purpose being to prohibit slavery and involuntary servitude, it said nothing about voluntary servitude. The term involuntary servitude in legal construction means that had the framers of that instrument intended to prohibit servitude, they would have said servitude and would not have qualified the term by the word involuntary. When they qualified servitude by involuntary, it meant that they contemplated voluntary. In the public schools we all were taught that the Thirteenth Amendment freed the black man, it did free him from a condition of direct slavery but it left him in a condition of servitude. For at the close of the Civil War the black man was the prize capture property of The United States Government and he was rightless. At which time he was told that if he wanted to leave this country he could do so at any time as he was here voluntarily, but for as long as he chose to stay here he was in a condition of voluntary servitude.

Do you understand what Mr. Colson just said? “Prior to its ratification (of the Thirteenth Amendment) there was no Constitutional warrant for the US Government to own people. He didn’t say black people, or red or yellow or pink, blue or

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

green people. He said people. When you force an inequity into the basic governing laws of any nation or jurisdiction, is it surprising that the entire body of the people of that nation end up sinking into that inequity? Of course not; it is the expected and intended result.

INTENT IS THE REAL MEANING OF ANY LAW

It should be perfectly understandable that the men who write laws understand EXACTLY what both the intent and the ultimate result of each law are going to be. It should also be readily understood that the men responsible, if the real framers of the Thirteenth Amendment could be identified, understood exactly what they were writing and intended exactly the result we have today: voluntary servitude of the entire mass of the people of the united States of America and the disappearance of sovereignty as a concept of and for the people.

Note the non-capitalization of United. This refers to the federal government as established in Washington, DC, opposed to the Constitutionally contracted united States where the states are sovereigns in their own right and the federal government is an agent for the states. Citizens are Citizens of the united States and of the state wherein they are domiciled, and citizens are the subjects of the United States. Since the Constitution guarantees that the states shall have a republican form of government, the only legal, de jure government, which is permissible under the law, is the united States of America, governed from each state capital and with their international relations handled through Washington, DC. In this regard, there has been a deliberate effort to subvert the meaning and intent of the Constitution. It is also important to note that today there is a different meaning within the description of Citizen of a State than existed at the time of the Civil War.

(Author's note: united, when used properly in united States, is an adjective, and not a part of the name of our nation. Our nation was intended to be a group of sovereign nation/states banded together for self defense, thus united. This was why there were only 21 powers enumerated for the federal government by the Constitution outside of Washington, DC. Once you understand this difference, the term united States takes on an entirely different meaning within your understanding of the separation of powers which forms the foundation of freedom in America. It is impossible to form a dictatorship in America EXCEPT through the United States where the states are made the chattel property of the federal government by contract. Sovereignty of the states is as important to freedom in America as is sovereignty of the individual. Freedom must be a constant throughout the nation or freedom CAN NOT exist! It is surprising, when reading much of the information so carefully concealed from the time of the mid 1800s, how many men of that era understood much more of the real situation in America than the vast majority of Americans do today. The other point here is that many of the secret combinations

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

behind the Constitution were not known then. Much of this information has only lately been uncovered and revealed by men doing research into the basis of the actions taken by the federal government.)

I must point out here that the basic premise in Europe, to your liege lord, is that he owns the result of your labor, and you retain for your own use only what he permits. Would you care to compare that to our income tax laws? Mr. Colson continues:

Ratified on July 9, 1868, the Fourteenth Amendment created and granted to those newly freed from their condition of slavery a political and civil status, and secured to them civil but not political rights. The Fifteenth Amendment provided those of African descent a political right, the right to vote. The first clause of the first section of the 14th Amendment to the Constitution for the United States defines the term citizen as: "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside." This Amendment created a class of citizenship unknown in the Constitutional Contract of 1787.

When a black person tells you he is just a second class citizen, he is not joking. Note carefully the wording of the Fourteenth Amendment. The use of the words "born" and "reside" are keys to understanding how so many people are trapped into citizenship in the United States, thus losing all of their Constitutionally recognized Rights as State Citizens. (It is also important to note how very serious is the celebration of birth days within the United States.) It is also interesting, and important, to note that any attempt to participate in the voting allowed under the Fifteenth Amendment for those in the federal government is the voluntary use of a franchise granted by the feds, and is contractual in nature. Since the same voting procedures and franchise is now used for everyone elected in the state of, county of and city of elections, not to mention jury duty, it is easy, once you understand what has transpired, to recognize the up-side down aspect of America today.

In the first case after ratification of the 13th and 14th Amendments, the Slaughter-House Cases, 83 U.S. (16 Wall) 36, 21 L Ed. 394 (1872), the supreme Court affirmed the meaning of the term citizen pronounced by the Dred Scott Court. In discussing the fact that the Negro race was not and could not be citizens as contemplated by the original constitution, the Court held:

"To remove this difficulty primarily...the 1st clause of the 1st section (of the 14th Amendment) was framed.

"That its main purpose was to establish the citizenship of the negro race can admit of no doubt...

Note carefully what was just stated. When you admit to being a resident or to being born in the United States (or when you exercise any privilege or fran-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

chise or when you take any benefit offered by Congress, you become part of the negro race in the eyes of the law, and you can hold citizenship only in Washington, DC.

“It is quite clear, then, there is a citizenship of the United States and a citizenship of a state, which are distinct from each other and which depend upon different characteristic or circumstance in the individual...

“Of the privileges and immunities of the citizens of the United States and of the privileges and immunities of the citizens of a state...it is only the former which are placed by this clause under the protection of the Federal Constitution, and that the latter, whatever they may be, are not intended to have any additional protection by this paragraph of the Amendment... the latter must rest their security and protection where they have heretofore rested, for they are not embraced by this paragraph of the Amendment...

Are you a State Citizen or a citizen of the federal government under the provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment? That is exactly the question addressed by this supreme Court decision. If you are a federal citizen, you owe allegiance and taxes to the federal government, and to any nexus to which the said federal government contracts you into, with or without your knowledge or consent. The supreme Court decision continues:

“But with the exception of these and a few other restrictions, the entire domain of the privileges and immunities of Citizens of the state, as above defined, lay within the constitutional and legislative power of the state, and without that of the Federal Government. Was it the purpose of the 14th Amendment...to transfer the security and government. And...that Congress shall have...the entire domain of civil rights heretofore belonging exclusively to states?

“We are convinced that no such results were intended by the congress which proposed these amendments, nor by the legislature of the state, which ratified them...” 21 L.Ed. at 407-08.

Stop and go back and read the sections above again and again until you understand what is being said. As sovereigns, all white people are Citizens of a state, and their protection lies with the Constitution and that they

“...are not intended to have any additional protection by this paragraph of the Amendment...the latter (i.e. sovereigns) must rest their security and protection where they have heretofore rested, for they are not embraced by this paragraph of the Amendment.”

Then in the next paragraph, the supreme Court confirms the status of Sovereign Citizens who owe no allegiance to the federal government:

and without that of the Federal Government.

This specifically says that white people, as sovereigns, are outside the jurisdiction of the Federal Government, unless you enter into a voluntary servitude

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

contract. The real key to this lies in UCC 1-207. Remember, the provisions of UCC 1-207 would not be available to the citizens of the United States if the very nature of the UCC was not fraudulent in intent. Because the Thirteenth Amendment prohibits involuntary servitude, the hidden nature of the contracts which flow from the UCC and the related codes and statutes must, in some manner, permit protection from this fraudulent intent. The very existence of UCC 1-207 is prima facie evidence of fraud.

VOLUNTARY SERVITUDE

Are you aware that you are in a state of voluntary servitude? Did someone sit you down and explain the benefits and responsibilities of voluntary servitude? Did he give you a paper, explaining all of the conditions and terms of voluntary servitude so that you could make an informed consent? No? Then, as long as you are still in the system of the United States, UCC 1-207 comes into play. If you are a sovereign Citizen, i. e. a part of the white People of America and unless you gave your informed consent, you are not in a state of voluntary servitude. This is called common law, and it is very powerful. However, before you waltz into a court and start claiming your Rights, I suggest you do a lot more studying than the little bit I am offering to you here. Remember this well: Courts work under Codes, as in U. S. Code, California Code, Colorado Code, and all of the other numerous codes with which this nation now abounds.

Code: 3 A set of prearranged symbols, usually letters, used for purposes of secrecy or brevity in transmitting messages: the meaning of the symbols are given in a code book.

Go down to your local library and look at the Code (law) books there. By common usage, code has come to mean a system of law, but the original meaning is still as true today as it was when the Codes were first devised; they are something to be kept secret from the common people. This may have something to do with why you lost that last court case and still don't understand why you lost. You are not supposed to know why because it is a secret, a code. Of course, the real nature of those so-called courts, contract enforcement agencies under the exclusive jurisdiction of Congress, may have a little to do with the result of your loss as well!

Look, I know it's difficult to understand legal jargon. However difficult it may be to understand legal jargon, the basic Rights you wish to enjoy in society are covered by legal jargon. The simplicity has been taken out of our laws for a reason. If your Rights are disappearing, might there not be a legal jargon reason for it? It is our responsibility to find out what those reasons are and to do something about them. No one is going to step up and do it for us.

I need to make something else clear here. Nothing in the actions of the government today will have a bearing on the original nexus of white sovereignty in

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the past. But it is ludicrous to move forward without an understanding of the past and some of the foundations for today in the so-called law. Any man or woman today can use the decisions from the world court and other tools to limit their servitude. That is up to you. The government is not going to answer that since you are not white, this is not going to work. They are trapped in their own nonsense just as much as we are.

HOW DO YOU DESTROY SOMETHING WHICH IS IRON-CLAD?

When you have a document as iron-clad as our Declaration of Independence, as difficult to break down and subvert as the Constitution so obviously is (Do not doubt for a single moment that the Constitution does not create problems for those in power; it always has and always will, and this is why they do want to update it.), as simple and straight forward as the Constitution really is, would it not be safe to assume that an attack on that document, if such an attack could be identified, would have to occur over a long period of time? This is important to understand when you read about books such as *The Natural Law of Government*, written by Clinton Roosevelt in 1841, twenty-four years before the so-called Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution was adopted. This book details exactly what has occurred in America following the adoption of the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments, and the nature of the book is intimately detailed in Senate Document No. 43 which you read about in *What, Exactly, is the Constitution?*

Let us continue with Mr. Colson's writings:

Our organic law identifies and recognizes two distinct and separate types of "citizens of the United States." The supreme Court determined the 14th Amendment overcame the incapacity pronounced in the Dred Scott decision, by establishing a separate and distinct class of citizenship for those under that incapacity; secured to that class of citizen/subject the privileges and immunities of Citizens of a state; distinguished that class of citizen/subject from a Citizen/Sovereign of a state of the Union by the existence of "different characteristic or circumstance in the individual;" and declared that only the former (the citizen/subject of the United States) are placed by this clause under the protection of the Federal Constitution, the 13th and 14th Amendments.

Let me repeat, what type of citizen are you? You are supposed to be a Sovereign Citizen, if you are white, of the state you are domiciled in which makes you a Sovereign Citizen of every state and outside the jurisdiction of the federal government. The federal government is a creation of and subordinate to the united States (More on this in a later Part). Until you fully grasp the significance of this difference in citizenship, you will never understand why the Constitution no longer seems to apply in America. You should also realize that Congress can not control nor rule anything that Congress has not created.

Therefore, Congress can never be the real superior of the states. This is

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

why Congress and the hand-picked Presidents of the Elite are busy creating the federal United States, the ten federal regions, the federal zip codes, the abbreviations for states such as CO, CA, TX, MT, WY, and NY, which are symbols of federal jurisdiction (Author's note: This is because they are the symbols for the shadow of the real states. These symbols indicate the corporate entity shadows of the United States which is subordinate to the bankruptcy of the United States through the provisions of the UCC) and all of the rest of the creations of the federal mandate to rule, in the name of the Elite. Note this well: All of these provisions which seem to permit the federal government to rule supreme over the United States are illusions which have no basis in law, and they are being held in place only through the willful, deliberate and knowing commission of treason. And, of course, by the ignorance of We the People.

The Fifteenth Amendment

What Mr. Colson is saying is confirmed by the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution:

The right of citizens of the United States to vote...

All Sovereigns of the United States already had the right to elect (electors). Second class citizens were privileged to vote by the Fifteenth Amendment. Remember, the Constitution does not grant Rights. Therefore, second-class citizens do not have the right to vote, but they do have a privilege which permits them to vote. When you accept a privilege from the government, expect there to be strings attached. In this case, a contract between everyone who accepts this privilege of voting and the federal United States of America in which you swear that you are a citizen of the federal United States and a resident of the state wherein you are voting, and thus subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of Congress.

By the wording of the Fifteenth Amendment, black women actually had the right to vote before white women. They just weren't told they had the right to vote, which prevented them from exercising that privilege granted to them by the Federal Government.

If the framers of the Fifteenth Amendment had dared to inform the black women of that day, the 1870s, that they could now vote, the people of America would have instantly understood that the basic premise of the Constitution had been changed. Instead of a document which acknowledged Rights and limited the actions of those in government, they now had a document which granted privileges. Once you understand this, it is easy to see the absolute need for a very gradual implementation of these types of changes. Gradual changes were needed in order to hide the change in America away from the Constitutional limitations upon the power of the federal government outside of Washington, DC, and these changes had to span generations because they had to be hidden changes. Why?

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Because they are illegal and fraudulent in nature, as they depend upon hidden contracts and no full disclosure of the nature or the intent of the said contracts. If these changes were not illegal, the methods used to implement these changes, contracts which are enforced through the so-called judicial system, would be openly talked about and debated.

These changes would be taught in our schools and the differences between the present system and the republican system under Law, as opposed to the Democracy so openly proclaimed today, would be discussed and compared so that the benefits could be identified. The very silence of the Elite and their lap dogs on this subject is proof of what I say, just as the silence of our schools and universities about the subjects I told you about in Parts VI and VII is proof of their complicity in the destruction of the Sovereignty of America. Of course, I also realize that what I just said is impossible because that would mean there is a conspiracy...

SOVEREIGNTY

There was no sovereignty granted by the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution to the newly created citizens of the United States. Therefore, the former slaves had no rights, and had to depend upon privileges granted by the Federal Government. As I explained before, the difference between our Declaration of Independence and all other forms of government in the world is the acknowledgment of God-granted Rights, upon which the government, established by the Constitution, can not infringe. Since the government cannot infringe upon the Rights granted by The True God to the Sovereigns of America, the status of the Sovereigns had to be changed so that they appeared to be something other than what they actually were before the law. This is where the hidden contracts came into play, and these hidden contracts leave everyone in America, who were once Sovereigns, dependent upon the government for all of the privileges that Americans use to enjoy as a Right.

All rights, which are granted by the government and not God-given, may be withdrawn at the whim of the government. These changes to the basic Organic Law of our nation changed the entire concept of the Constitution to a document, which grants rights (privileges) instead of acknowledging them. Of course, by beginning to grant privileges to people, the changes in the Constitution greatly increased the power of those in the government as well. This is exactly how the Constitution in a Communist nation works. Once you grasp the implications of this, and once you understand the true source of Socialism, Communism, Zionism, Democracy, and Liberalism (The international bankers, the money kings, who invented, financed and backed all of these movements from the time they first appeared), what is happening in America becomes understandable. Now, when you hear a newscast about emerging Democracies around the world, look at this information in it's true context.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Let us look one more time at what the Supreme Court said about the Fourteenth Amendment:

“The amendment (fourteenth) reversed and annulled the original policy of the constitution,” *United States v. Rhodes*, 27 Federal Cases, 785, 794.

...OR SECOND CLASS CITIZENSHIP?

Accepting privileges from the government instead of exercising your God-given Rights/Obligations is, by definition, second class citizenship. This is also why the minorities in America must continue to fight for every privilege they wish to enjoy. It is easy to see how the legal construction of these two amendments has lead to virtual class warfare and to so much hatred in our nation. Is this the only INTENDED result of these two amendments? Was their purpose to guarantee minorities would have to fight for every right they wished to enjoy? Of course not!

A PRIVILEGE FROM THE GOVERNMENT MEANS...

If you are a white person, every time you enter unknowingly or knowingly into a voluntary servitude contract such as social security, driver’s license (which is a restriction upon a citizen’s privilege to travel), the voluntary filing of a 1040 tax return or use of Federal Reserve Notes (there are many other ways as well) you, if you are a white person, a Sovereign Citizen, become a second class citizen with the same status as a person of color before the law, because you have accepted a privilege from the government. We should not be surprised at this result. Let’s listen to Mr. Colson again:

The first rule of government is protection for allegiance. The term allegiance is taken from the Latin “a ligando” or ligeance which is what a liege man owed to his liege lord in English feudal law. From ligeance it became allegiance or as we call it today jurisdiction.

You should look up allegiance and jurisdiction in Black’s Law Dictionary. Allegiance is from you to the government; jurisdiction is from the government or the court to you. Please note the reference to English Feudal law in the paragraph above. It’s important to know for a full understanding of this Report. Mr. Colson continues:

The 14th Amendment enumerates two qualifications for citizenship of the United States: first, being born or naturalized in the United States, and second, being subject to the jurisdiction thereof. Both conditions must be met in order to be a 14th Amendment citizen. The individual must not only be born or naturalized in the United States, but also must be “subject to the jurisdiction thereof.”

Fortunately we are not without judicial construction of the phrase “and subject to the jurisdiction thereof.” In *Elk v. Wilkins*, 112 U.S. 94, (1884) it was held that the meaning of those words was not merely subject in some respect or degree to the jurisdiction of the United States, but completely subject to their political jurisdiction, and owing them direct and immediate allegiance.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

To be “completely subject” to the political jurisdiction of the United States is to be in no respect or degree subject to the political jurisdiction of any other government. This was the case of the black man in 1868, because he received his citizenship and protection directly from the 14th Amendment he owed direct and immediate allegiance to the United States for he was completely subject to the political jurisdiction of the government of the state of his birth. The white State Citizens are not possessed of the rights and duties incident to the civil and political status created and granted by the 14th Amendment and as a matter of the organic law are not the “persons” identified in the Amendment.

“Persons not thus subject to the jurisdiction of the United States at the time of birth cannot become so afterwards, except by being naturalized. either individually, as by proceedings under the naturalization acts, or collectively, as by the force of a treaty by which foreign territory is acquired.” *Id.* at 102.

For purposes of the Federal 1040 type of income tax imposed by 1 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), Treasury Regulation 1.1-1(c) defines “citizen” as “Every person born or naturalized in the United States and subject to its jurisdiction is a citizen.” Is this nothing other than a re-statement of the 1st clause of the 1st section of the 14th Amendment, the only difference being singular rather than plural? It is beyond doubt that the definition of “citizen” as intended and used in the IRC is the same and is taken directly from the 14th Amendment.

To be subject to the jurisdiction of Congress and subject to the rules of Congress (IRS, EPA, agreements with the UN, control of public lands and of your property within the states, etc.) you must voluntarily become a citizen of the United States.

There are two types of political citizenship in the United States which are lawfully distinct and separate from each other, Slaughter-House Cases, *supra*. Lawfully there are two kinds of “citizens of the United States.” The main difference being the source of citizenship. The first type of citizenship is premised on State citizenship as determined by birth (blood) and concerns only “We the People” and their posterity, the Sovereign People, the body politic who ordained and established the Constitutional Contract of 1787. These individuals are Citizen/Sovereigns who are neither entitled to the protection of the Federal Constitution nor subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

It is well known that a Citizen of one state is a Citizen of every state in the Union. *Butler v. Farnsworth*, Fed. Cas. No. 2, 240 (1821). Thus, a State Citizen by virtue of his state citizenship is *ipso facto* a Citizen of the United States; the 14th Amendment is not the basis nor the source of this citizenship.

The second type of citizenship is also determined by blood; however, it is directly or immediately from and created and granted by the 14th Amendment for those under the incapacity of blood who are entitled to the protection of the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Federal Constitution and who are, because they receive that protection, a citizen subject to the jurisdiction of the United States and hence they are citizen-subjects and a resident receiving that protection in the state in which they live.

Most people in this country mistakenly presume that they are a citizen for each and every purpose. With the knowledge and reality of who is a citizen for Federal income tax purposes, it is easy to see how most if not all state citizens mistakenly presume they are such a citizen. For the same reason that the white State Citizens are not 14th Amendment citizens, likewise they are not “citizens of the United States” identified in regulation 1.1-1(c) for purposes of the IRC.

Once you thoroughly understand about that which Mr. Colson is writing, it is easy to see the bald-faced lying our so-called civil rights leaders are doing now and were doing in 1865. The Civil Rights Movement does not accomplish anything except greatly extend the power of the Federal Government. This is exactly what the civil rights movement was intended to do. In politics, as in law, it is easy to understand and to believe that whatever is the ultimate result of a law or any other action taken is also the originally intended object of that law or action. Any rights, which minorities obtain from the Federal Government, are not rights at all as they may be withdrawn at any time because there is no sovereignty involved. All of these so-called rights granted by the government are actually privileges. This brings us down to the real crux of the matter: Rights can not be taxed. Privileges can be taxed, as in an eighty percent accumulative tax burden upon the citizens of the United States. It becomes very obvious, after you make a study of this subject, that this and the destruction of the sovereignty of the white people of America, a Sovereignty gained through the Declaration of Independence and the Revolutionary War, was the intended result of the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution.

Mr. Colson continues:

With the Court’s explanation that the substantive law underlying the 13th and 14th Amendments is feudal in nature, allegiance is synonymous with jurisdiction; citizen and subject are synonymous and denote political status; and domicile is synonymous with resident which denotes civil status. Today the term resident has replaced copyholder, villien or serf. Notwithstanding the name change from serf to resident this term as well as the term citizen have the same meaning in the 14th Amendment as they had in the English feudal law relative to the condition of servitude.

CONCLUSION

A wise man once observed that there are none so enslaved as those who believe they are free but are not. Today, this is the precise condition of the American People. The 13th and 14th Amendments in combination together have interjected into our organic law “the old slavery of villienage” in the same nature as

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the English Feudal law; which today denotes a secret and widely unknown jurisdiction that is used to enslave, control and regulate “citizen (subjects) and residents of the United States” for all purposes not excluding Federal income taxation. This jurisdiction is also used to enslave the unsuspecting Sovereign people. Another name, more commonly known, for this jurisdiction is the law merchant, a commercial law based entirely on contract. The IRC says that if you are not a citizen or resident of the United States within the meaning of the 14th Amendment, you are a nonresident alien individual. Due to their political and civil status a Citizen of the several States is outside of and is not subject to the constitutional and legislative (political) jurisdiction of the United States Congress under the 13th and 14th Amendments, a Feudal substantive system of law. Consequently, all State Citizens, the Posterity of “We the People,” are nonresident alien individuals to the political and civil status of the 14th Amendment and the majority of them do not have reportable or taxable income.

There is quite a bit more that Mr. Colson has written, but for our purposes here, the parts we’ve used are to show you how, by not understanding your status, you are unknowingly removed from the protection of the limitations established in the Constitution. And if you are not above the protection of the Constitution, Congress can and will take your guns away, because you do not have any Second Amendment protections to prevent them from so doing. Not to mention the simple fact that you do not own the guns in question, because you never paid for them, so there can not be any legal debate about ownership in the legal battles still to come concerning private possession of guns in America. I am also sure that Congress and the “legal” profession will never actually put the debate in terms where the average citizen will be able to understand what is being discussed, and the debate about ownership will sound like something else entirely. However, that will not change the ultimate result of this debate, which will be the disarming, or at least the attempted disarming, of the law-abiding citizens of the nation.

Did the men who framed the Fourteenth Amendment actually understand the fraudulent intent of the Amendment? You bet they did and, as always, the best proof is by their own actions (and by the fact that it has never been repealed; from this we can understand that it accomplished exactly what was intended). The Fourteenth Amendment was supposedly declared as ratified on July 9, 1868. Just eighteen days later, on July 27, 1868, Congress passed a statute which is of great interest to everyone who has been fraudulently deprived of their rights by being brought under the Fourteenth Amendment:

15 Statutes at Large, Chapter 249 (Section 1), July 27, 1868.

Chapter CCXLIX. An Act concerning the Rights of American Citizens in foreign States.

Whereas the right of expatriation is a natural and inherent right of all people,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

indispensable to the enjoyment of the rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness;

and whereas in recognition of this principle this government has freely received emigrants from all nations, and invested them with the rights of citizenship;

and whereas it is claimed that such American citizens, with their descendants, are subjects of foreign states, owing allegiance to the governments thereof;

and whereas it is necessary to the maintenance of public peace, that this claim of foreign allegiance should be promptly and finally disavowed:

Therefore, Be it enacted by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the United States of America, in Congress assembled:

That any declaration, instruction, opinion, order, or decision, of any officer of this government, which denies, restricts, impairs, or questions the right of expatriation, is hereby declared inconsistent with the fundamental principles of this government.

A friend of mine, Brother Jim Lorenz, wrote a short essay on this statute which is quite lucid:

On the surface, this seems to guarantee that foreigners who live within the borders of America, cannot be forced, to claim citizenship. Moreover, what this also is saying is that anyone who wishes, to expatriate the U.S., or to renounce their "U.S. citizenship", may do so, by inherent "Right", and no one can deny him this Right.

And, the Conspirators, the "rump" Congress, as it was "assembled", in 1868, knew that the letter of the "Law" having been satisfied by this exemption from, compelled performance, and having a status of U.S. citizenship, thrust upon us, they could then hide the exemption from the general view, and begin promoting to those Sovereigns, who remained, in those Union States, the benefits of voluntary US citizenship.

In news media (and later in public schools) they began setting up all Citizens, by manipulation to obey the millions of codes, statutes and laws, exaction fines for breaking these laws, imposing taxes or license fees, seizure of private property or jail.

Thus, free Sovereigns are not subject to the federal government, by virtue of their not claiming, "U.S. citizenship"! Those of us who have renounced our "U.S. citizenship" and declared our Lawful "status" as Sovereigns under positive Law, using the Constitution and the 15 Statutes at Large 249 "explanation" as legal foundation for this "Declaration of Status", are the only "Citizens" Lawfully living in the united States of America. The others, those "U.S. citizens", are merely "residing" as foreigners from an alien state within our sovereign Union States, Republics and Commonwealths.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Thus, "United States" is a "corporate fiction", to Sovereign State Citizens. As far as the united States of America is concerned, except for Citizens of the united States, who have expatriated, there's no one at home. Everyone else is a foreign alien resident living in a Free Republic! (There is a part of this which is not correct; more on this later. - David)

Every time that fraud is committed by the federal government, a way out has to be established in the statutes because of the Thirteenth Amendment. This is why the Constitution is so hated by those who wish to rule America with an iron fist. Even while they seek to pervert and pollute the basic governing laws of our nation, they must leave an escape hatch for those astute enough to find it. Of course, they hide these Get Out of Jail Free clauses in the statutes very well.

Once you understand this, the striking similarity of the 15 Statutes at Large, Chapter 249 (Section 1) of July 27, 1868, and the provisions of UCC 1-207 become very evident. Quite simply, by hiding the change in the status of citizens, the Constitution as a limitation on the powers of government is virtually rendered as meaningless to the majority of the people of America. When you look around and you cannot understand how everything got turned upside down, this attack under the Constitutional government of America, to establish a government exercising powers like all the others of the earth, answers most of the many questions we have all had about conditions in America.

How do we actually know that the Constitution has been rendered meaningless to the majority of the people of America?

The Yellow Fringed Flag

This is very easy to demonstrate. Go down to your local court house and look in the court room. There will be a so-called American flag there with a yellow fringe around it. This flag with the yellow fringe, which is a battle flag, denotes an Executive and administrative or, as Mr. Colson states above, "COMMERCIAL LAW BASED ENTIRELY ON CONTRACTS," LAW COURT WHERE, SPECIFICALLY, THE CONSTITUTION DOES NOT APPLY. This occurs because we are no longer above the limitations of the Constitution.

If the citizens of America were still above the limitations provided by the Constitution, these types of courts would not be permitted in America. Are we to wonder, once again, that this has come about by chance? If this had occurred by chance, the differences would be openly revealed to the citizens of this nation, so the pros and cons could be discussed. The issue would not have been hidden as it is now.

I see yellow fringed so-called American flags displayed in churches, meeting halls, schools and homes by citizens, who do not realize what it is they display. People buy a yellow fringed flag because it's pretty. Ninety-nine percent of them do not even understand the difference: the yellow fringed flag is not an American

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

flag. The stars and stripes, the blue back ground and the thirteen white stars do not of themselves constitute an American flag. They are simply a symbol for what America once represented. An American flag stands for a concept unknown anywhere else in the world: the sovereignty of a people and the freedom that sovereignty represents.

But wait, first you have to discover the real American flag:

Resolved That the Flag of the united states be thirteen stripes alternate red and white, that the Union be thirteen stars white in a blue field representing a new constellation (June 14, 1777, by the Continental Congress, eleven months after the Declaration of Independence from King George III of England), which the grammatical construction is used to express futurity, rearrangement, or obligation, and was intended by the Continental Congress of the republic to be permanent. The stars represent the thirteen tribes of Israyl , not states or States, which went in accordance to The One True God's Law. The last three tribes being Manesheh, Benyamin (Americans), and Ephriam (England), from which Ephriam did not get the second born blessing from Israyl, even though Ephriam was the second born son.

Do you understand? The flag of the united States is thirteen stars, no more, and the flag of fifty stars denotes ONLY the jurisdiction of Washington, DC. The flag for the United States MUST be different because that is a separate jurisdiction, under the Crown!

That concept of freedom is what the American flag stands for and is, by definition, what an American flag IS. Also, by definition, a yellow fringed battle flag of the UNITED STATES will never have that meaning and, therefore, can never be considered an American flag. It is not an accident that the only other place that the yellow fringed flag legitimately flies is in federal buildings and federal offices like the B.A.T.F. and the IRS which are under the jurisdiction of the Executive branch of the federal government. The President is the supreme commander of the armed forces, and the yellow-fringed flag is a symbol which specifically shows that the Executive branch of government is in control, under military jurisdiction which is the only jurisdiction allowed to the President, wherever it flies. This includes in the so-called courtrooms of America.

The Yellow Fringed Flag denotes a separate jurisdiction being established by the BAR Association and Congress under the President of the UNITED STATES acting as Commander in Chief of the Armed Forces of the UNITED STATES. Evidence of this can be found in Title 4, USC, Chap. 1, §§ 1, 2, & 3; U.S. Attorney General Opinions (34 Ops. Atty. Gen. 483 @ 485); U.S. Army Reg., AR 840-10, October 1, 1979; Adjutant Genl. Papers (1925), March 28, 1924. If you, as an American, can see no evidence of invasion or rebellion, if you are hearing no newscasts of invasion or rebellion, and if you are observing no tanks in the streets then you

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

can find no justifiable reason for a military jurisdiction except to serve the interests of the those in power. Such actions by those in power, the establishment of a court system outside the judicial mandate of the Constitution of the United States of America, can be termed constructive treason.

“Pursuant to U.S.C. Chapter 1, 2, and 3; Executive Order No. 10834, August 21, 1959, 24 F.R. 6865, a military flag is a flag that resembles the regular flag of the United States, except that it has a YELLOW FRINGE, bordered on three sides. The President of the United states designates this deviation from the regular flag, by executive order, and in his capacity as COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF of the Armed forces.”

“Pursuant to the “Law of the Flag”, a military flag does result in jurisdictional implication when flown. “Under what is called international law, the law of the flag, a shipowner who sends his vessel into a foreign port gives notice by his flag to all who enter into contracts with the shipmaster that he intends the law of the flag to regulate those contracts with the shipmaster that he either submit to its operation or not contract with him or his agent at all.” *Ruhrstrat v. People*, 57 N.E. 41, 45, 185 ILL. 133, 49 LRA 181, 76 AM.

This question about the yellow fringed flag actually goes much deeper and is of a much more serious nature than as a simple symbol. Read the following information very carefully. It's important.

Courts of equity can no more disregard constitutional requirements and provisions than can courts of law. *Brandt v. Godfrey*, 172 Misc 768, 16 NYS 2d 51, affd 260 App Div 851, 23 NYS 2d 464.

What did that say? It says that courts of equity are not courts of law and that the two are separate entities. All courts in use by the general public (the common man, if you will) in America are courts of equity. This means that there are no longer any courts of law in use in America! That is what the case law I showed you just above here says.

RESPECT FOR THE LAW?

Everywhere I hear the comment that there is no longer any respect for the law. How can there be respect for the law when there are no longer any courts where the law can be enforced? If you want to understand where this is leading America, just go down to your local equity court room where the yellow fringed flag flies and carefully observe what is going on. Ninety to ninety-five percent and perhaps as high as ninety-nine percent of the people being processed through that equity court are from the bottom twenty-five percent of our economy. These are the individuals who are least equipped to protect themselves, and they are one of the reasons that the Law was written. When you are asked: “Doesn't the individual count anymore?” The answer is no, he doesn't. Only equity counts, or, in other words, only contracts have standing and can be judged in a so-called

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Admiralty/Military court.

This means that individuals have no standing before these types of courts, and this is why a judge hates to see someone representing himself. It is only through a contract between an individual and a lawyer, who is an officer of the court, where the individual acknowledges that he is a corporate person and not mentally competent to represent himself, that the judge and the court are safe from counter suits for violating his rights. On the other hand, only very seldom will a rich, influential person be punished in an equity court. Does that sound like reality to you? Do you suppose that this, too, has occurred by accident? Does this sound like the re-institution of royalty in America? It should sound like that, because it is exactly what has happened.

I hate to repeat myself, and I would not if this were not so vitally important. If the middle class is disappearing, where do you suppose those people who previously were part of the middle class are going? Are they sinking into the class, which cannot defend itself in equity courts? Probably. What happens when we end up with a very thin slice of very rich at the top who never lose in a court of equity, and the rest of the mass of the people are subject to those same equity courts? We will have the same situation we fought a revolutionary war to escape, and the people will have lost the protection of the Rule of Law in America, the law which was written to control those same super-rich Elitist who abuse the people and claim it is their right to do so. This is why the Elite use these sayings: "might makes right" and "the end justifies the means." There can be no other result where equity rules, and law is ignored. The ultimate result of courts of equity is to keep the people in fear and docile. That description fits most of the people I know today.

People are brought into courts of equity, without even knowing they are not in a court of law. Nor is it ever explained to those same people why they are subject to a court of equity: adhesion contracts. The very nature of the deliberate ignorance of the people being shuffled through the equity court's doors proves fraud on the part of everyone, who works in this system. Ignorance of the fraud they commit by the people working in the system is no protection from the Law. This fraud flows from that one word in the Thirteenth Amendment and is the only reason that the provision of UCC 1-207 exists. The very existence of UCC 1-207 and the necessity of using the protections provided by UCC 1-207 are additional proofs of fraud.

There is always a reason for circumstances to exist! Since there is no equal justice in America, all you have to do is identify the reason why not. We have done so. At this point, it should be easy to see that it was not the poor of America, who worked to change our system of justice. As the burden of taxation becomes heavier and as wages continue to decline, the burdens imposed by adhesion contracts

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

and by an unwillingness of citizens to see their families go hungry will force an ever-increasing number of people through the doors of equity courts. Why are more and more people growing and selling dope? It is the only way they can make a decent living! Do not be surprised at an intended result when it comes to pass.

It is interesting to study the time of the Civil War and find how Congress, working against President Lincoln and against the best interest of our nation and in the interest of the International Bankers, destroyed the Constitutional United States Notes, which Lincoln was trying to use to win the Civil War. This left the Federal Government with no option but to borrow money from the International Bankers (which was what was desired in the first place) with which to fight the Civil War. This, in turn, forced the American Citizens to pay interest on Civil War debts instead of using debt-free money as our Constitution specified. Since this has put billions of dollars into the pockets of the international bankers in the years since the Civil War, and since they follow the money rule ALWAYS works, it is not difficult to identify who was really behind the Civil War to begin with.

These Congressmen, who worked to destroy the United States Notes, are the same men who voted for the Thirteenth Amendment. You will also note above where Mr. Colson said: "...the old slavery of villenage in the same nature as the English Feudal Law" (Author's note: Yes, this means English, as in the Bank of England or in other words, the Rothchilds and the International Bankers, i.e., the City of London.

The method used to free the slaves is comparable to the fraudulent nature of the Federal Reserve. I believe you can identify all of this as a definable plan to destroy the Declaration of Independence because the voluntary servitude nature of the Thirteenth Amendment was intended to be a direct, long term attack on the Sovereign people.

We're all in the same boat, folks, and what affects me or you affects all of us, white or black, red or yellow or brown. It is undeniable in my mind that the men who wrote and passed the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments were under the same control as the men who wrote and adopted the Federal Reserve Act and the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Amendments to the Constitution. When you look at how these separate events, in conjunction with the Fifteenth Amendment to the Constitution, work together in overthrowing the basic premise of freedom in America, and at the time in between their enactment, conspiracy is the only answer.

THE EUROPEAN SYSTEM, OF ROYALTY AND PRIVILEGE...

I firmly believe that the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution were written in the manner in which they were in order for the European System to be installed in America. That is, they permit the exploitation of the masses

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

for the benefit of the royalty and the super rich (Author's note: Royalty simply means those with special privileges). Remember this well when you see well known people advocating parts of the European System as being a good idea for America. Our problems actually stem from our failure to stand up for our acknowledged God-given Rights and our failure to rein in the federal government's overstepping of the limits set for it in the Constitution.

God-given Rights! Not from the government and not from man: our Rights come from God and our Organic Law acknowledges that fact! The Constitution grants no rights: it simply acknowledges the existence of those Rights in the Bill of Rights. You **MUST** understand this difference in order to fully understand what is happening in America. When a government ignores the very existence of God-given Rights, they are making a clear statement of ownership of the citizens of that nation. This has been the normal situation throughout the history of the world in almost all of the nations which have ever existed, and it is this system which permits exploitation of the common people by the so-called Elite.

Let me make this very clear. When the only source of privilege (rights) available to the citizens is through the government, then the government obviously owns all of the so-called rights which the citizens may or may not be allowed to enjoy. In other words, the government owns all of the property of the citizens of that nation, and the government may do with their property whatever they wish to do (Author's note: Remember, your Rights **ARE** your most precious property, and you are conceived with these Rights intact, and they must, in America, be voluntarily surrendered by you, if you are a white person). The most basic of all of your property Rights is the Right to exist! And under this type of system, eventually, that right is always at the whim of government.

This actually gives people the status of cattle, and those in charge of the government, the Elite, or, if you prefer, the money kings, may then breed the cattle (extensive, soon to be forced, birth control, and abortion, also soon to be forced at the whim of a bureaucrat in the name of population control), brand the cattle (social security number), milk (tax) the cattle, and slaughter the cattle as they see fit. The slaughter of the cattle occurs when the cattle are no longer fit to produce more than they consume. You can observe this argument going on now in this nation of ours under the guise of "the right of the elderly to terminate their suffering."

Since we are on the subject of God-given Rights and all of the connotations of religion which this brings forth, let me mention a small item which, quite frankly, irritates me to no end. Almost everyone of the Christians with which I am acquainted, carefully, at some point in our conversations, explains to me that the reason they submit to the edicts of Washington, DC, is because the Bible instructs them to obey the law of the land. Hogwash and balderdash! Using that line of rea-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

soning leads one to the inescapable conclusion that we should apologize to merry old England for our temerity in demanding the freedoms which God endowed us with and that we should willingly return to the British Empire, as is being planned for us.

But the real reason this statement irritates me so badly is the simple reason: the Declaration of Independence IS the law of the land! The conclusion to be reached here is that any Christian who claims to believe that the Bible instructs him to obey the law of the land has a moral, binding and unavoidable obligation to see to it that Constitutional limitations are restored to America, and to his children and grandchildren!

When you finally begin to realize the subversion that has been going on to our Declaration of Independence and courts and what the end result of that subversion is, it is easy to identify our true enemy. It is now, as it was in 1776 and always has been: England. More specifically, it is the British Empire which is dominated, controlled and owned by the Rothschilds through the Bank of England and the City of London. It is England which, through the duplicity of our so-called elected officials (actually agents of the banking community, i.e., the FED) tricked us into two World Wars and which started and still dominates the drug trade. Doubt it NOT!

“Still, if you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed, if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not so costly you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you and only a precarious chance for survival. There may be a worse case. You may have to fight when there is no chance of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves.” Winston Churchill

The essence of being a King is the privilege to make law, and this is accomplished by choosing men who can be put in power to support the King and who are willing to do what ever is necessary to keep the King, and thus themselves, in power. Look around America and tell me what you see... A very interesting study is the history of the Great Depression in America. In that history, you will find that the man above, Winston Churchill, was on the walk way around the upper level of the New York Stock Exchange when the market collapsed in 1929. Of course, this was only a coincidence, I am sure.

However, if his presence at that location at the exact necessary point in time was not a coincidence (no one who studies history believes in coincidences), then from this one piece of evidence, you can understand how exactly the money and stock markets are controlled. And unless you are part of the inner circle of the elite, you can begin to understand how dangerous it is to play their game, without access to their information. Judge your own actions accordingly.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

BASIC WORLD TAX CODE

I stumbled across a website containing the Basic World Tax Code and Commentary. You need Adobe Acrobat Reader which is available through a free download. The site is: <ftp://ftp.tax.org/pub/BWTC/english/basica.pdf>

According to the Forward, this 500-plus Tax Code published in 1996 by Harvard University International Tax Program, was designed to HELP those unfortunate 3rd, 4th, and 5th world countries find their economic bearings by adopting OUR fair, efficient and modern tax system. They even use a “mythical” country named Progresca - kinda gives you that homey feeling.

But their warm and fuzzy demeanor turns to doo-doo when you turn to Chapter A - Introduction, and go to page 50. It reads:

E. Finally, a sea change in the attitude of the public must be brought about. They may never get to love their tax collector, but they will pay their taxes because they think that on the whole the system is fair, that other people are paying, and that they damn well better.

WHO SAID HARVARD DIDN'T HAVE A SENSE OF HUMOR?

In plain English are you saying that there are district courts of the United States sitting in judicial districts of the United States in the same situs with the same personnel as United States District Courts in United States judicial districts ?

Other than construction of Code and statute, is there any confirmation of this such as a decision issued in the name of a district court of the United States ?

MEADOR RESPONSE: That's precisely what I'm saying. Congress established Article III district courts of the United States for each of the judicial districts in the several States party to the Constitution. However, in 1948, there was what amounted to a judicial coup de grace effected against the Constitution when they shifted rules of procedure & evidence from “District Courts of the United States” to “United States District Courts”, then proceeded to man United States District Courts in the several States. In other words, judges “built” what amount to private courts with absolutely no constitutional or statutory authority to do so.

In each Federal judicial district, an Article III “district court of the United States” exists by virtue of Congress having legislated them into existence. The legislation has never been repealed, so they exist. However, there are no Article III judges to convene them.

Why is that? Well, here is the key to your riddle: In the 1960's, each of the several States adopted the voter registration act. This is among the “adopted” acts originating with the Council of State Governments. The Act is predicated on the notion that each of the several States is a Federal State, i.e., an “instrumentality of the United States”. This perverted all elected and appointed offices as it fraudulently displaced State “electoral” systems — i.e., only the “citizen of the [geographical] United States” may register to vote. The Fourteenth Amendment

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

is irrelevant to the geographical citizenship.

The voter registration act “poisoned the root of the tree.” Subsequently, the tree and all fruit of the tree are poisoned. Beginning with the first election under the voter registration act, all State and Federal elected officials were fraudulently elected. No act by any of these officials could be “lawful” as none were “lawfully” elected. Consequently, no appointment can or could be legitimate, i.e., no Article III judges and no corresponding State and local judges. They cannot legitimately convene lawful courts because they don’t lawfully hold constitutionally authentic offices. The effect is to default all State and Federal legislation, constitutional amendments, etc., and judicial decisions, since the voter registration act was implemented. In other words, our friend Governor Madsen of Colorado has the right idea — he was elected by half a dozen or so Colorado electors.

However, I’m of the opinion that we can be somewhat more pragmatic in approach. I’ve avoided discussing current initiatives and potential remedies in these forums as I prefer some kind of result before airing it. For conversational purposes, I’ll share what I have pending out of the Eastern District of Kentucky so maybe the rationale can be advanced elsewhere.

In about April 1998 while I was still at FMC-Lexington, at Lexington, Kentucky, I constructed an application for writ of habeas corpus to file in the “district court of the United States” for the Eastern District of Kentucky, Lexington Division. Because it was a pretty lengthy document, I was sending it home in pieces, and because anyone can file a writ of habeas corpus for anyone else, I simply had Gail file it via mail. The clerk deposited the money order in the account of the “United States District Court”; Gail wrote a letter of complaint about putting money in the wrong account and filing the case in the wrong court.

Now we’ll back up: I figured the “private court” out in late fall 1997. To that point, I was calling them legislative or territorial courts — they aren’t either. In December, several of us “attacked” the office of clerk for disclosure of appointment authority (28 U.S.C. 751), and the clerk at Lexington in particular wouldn’t even admit the statutory authority for appointment. He sent the query to the general counsel for the Administrative Office of United States Courts. He didn’t answer. In the meantime, another clerk from another Federal district confirmed to another prisoner that 28 U.S.C. 751 is the authority. Authority for appointing clerks is to “district courts”, not United States District Courts, as such. Definitions wrap back around to sections 451 & 610, so we knew what the law specifies.

When I wrote a query to the Director of the Administrative Office, he elected not to answer. But things were heating up, and at about the time Gail filed my habeas, I received a carefully worded letter from the general counsel of the Administrative Office that tacitly confirmed my conclusion — United States District Courts in the several States are private courts. Of course, you have to know every

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

definition relating to “court” in Title 28 to understand what the letter says, so I had to break everything he said down to “interpret” what he said.

The trial judge the habeas was assigned to elected to dismiss the application for habeas corpus predicated on Gail’s letter — she wanted the money deposited in the right account, and the case assigned to the right court. The good judge “interpreted” these demands as a unilateral motion to dismiss. So he did.

Armed with the letter from the Administrative Office general counsel, I put in a motion for judicial disclosure and wrote to the chief judge of the district, in his administrative capacity, for disclosure of six particulars relating to the United States District Court. In both formats, the judges “confessed” (acquiesced) to the six supported averments which where either explicitly or implicitly supported not just by my research, but by the general counsel letter. They didn’t confess to the crucifixion, but everything short of that.

Most particularly, they confessed to usurpation of power of an Article III district court of the United States, and misappropriation of public funds appropriated for the Article III district court of the United States. Congress appropriates funds exclusively for courts of the United States defined at 28 U.S.C. 610; the courts are paid through the Director of the Administrative Office of United States Courts. United States District Courts situated in the several States are not district courts of the United States, and they are not territorial courts of the United States.

While all this was going on, nineteen prisoners at Lexington, including me, were in the process of securing administrative acquiescence via the Warden, the Director of the Bureau of Prisons, and the Assistant Attorney General over the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice. We attempted to file an original class action habeas through the Supreme Court, but as someone else found out recently, the clerk stands guard at the gate — shipped it back without filing it, alleging we had to comply with rules, etc.

With all this in the mill, I was making some changes to my personal habeas, which Gail was this time setting and sending back in, and in early July, I sent it to the chief judge of the Sixth Circuit with a cover letter requesting that he file it in the Article III district court of the United States for the Eastern District of Kentucky, and assign a circuit judge or the circuit justice to convene the Article III district court. (in the event of necessity, judges of superior courts can move down) I included an affidavit of bias and prejudice under 28 U.S.C. 144 against all judicial officers assigned to the Eastern District of Kentucky — all preside in the private court, therefore have financial and potentially personal liability in civil and criminal forums for doing so.

The circuit judge evidently attempted to dodge the bullet as the circuit clerk sent the application for habeas corpus back to the clerk in the “Eastern District of Kentucky” (did not identify the court) with a cover letter telling him, “Evidently

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Mr. Meador wants this filed in the Eastern District of Kentucky.”

Gail received a receipt for the second money order being deposited in the account of the “United States District Court” for the Eastern District of Kentucky, but we’ve never received file stamped copies of any of the pleadings, we’ve never heard from either clerk, no judge in the Eastern District of Kentucky has attempted to tamper with the case, and the chief judge for the Sixth Circuit is quiet as a church mouse.

In the administrative process, I employed a strategy that seemed to work reasonably well.

We’ve heard from people throughout the prison system that whenever anyone begins getting tough with wardens and other prison administrators that they wind up in the hole, get shipped here and there (diesel therapy — mail never catches up), etc., so I did what I could to avert that possibility. Rather than serving the warden directly, we served three staff members, each receiving two copies of our administrative complaints, with instructions that they respectively serve the three primary principals. All was quiet to the point the 20-day response period was up, then a nice lady in security wanted to visit with me. I thought, “Oh, hell...” But we had things spread out enough both in the prison population and in prison staff that it would have been pretty difficult to make isolated accusations. I told the nice lady I didn’t want to hide a thing — I would share copies of all further initiatives with security so nobody had to worry about something covert.

That hatched another idea: We needed documents pertaining to each prisoner participating in the class, so I constructed the proper requests, and I “made security” our witness — security is responsible for investigating staff and the administration as well as prisoners. In the cover letter to the security officer, I said I would submit complaints for her consideration before attempting to file them anywhere, and if she found no flaw in law or fact, she would be called as a witness at an ensuing probable cause hearing if there was reason to pursue criminal prosecution.

Needless to say, nothing further was heard from security. The records officer refused to give me records I requested, so I intended to Never in my wildest dreams did I expect two months of halfway house with everything that was in the mill. But on August 2, just as things were getting interesting, I crawled in a cab, rode to the bus station, then Gail and I rode the bus from Lexington to Tulsa. Things from that part of the world have been quiet since.

These actions are all ripe, ready to be moved ahead, but since being released Oct. 2, I’ve had two encumbrances: First, we simply don’t have finances to do what needs to be done, and second, I’ve been busier than a cranberry merchant trying to distill research and tend to problems that accumulated in my 16-month absence.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Probably I should have put this into the discussion mix before as some of it might help others being assailed in Federal courts: Given information we've documented concerning the courts, anyone should be able to file an affidavit of bias and prejudice against all judicial officers who preside in any given United States District Court within the several States. Get names of judges and U.S. magistrates from forward materials in local civil rules (the clerk of the court will give you copies of local civil and criminal rules for United States District Courts), and from local telephone books. If they are listed as officers of a United States District Court in a court publication and public notice information such as telephone books, the conclusion that they preside in the United States District Court is prima facie true. The case heading should be as the original complaint or whatever issues, then the "Affidavit of Bias and Prejudice" should name each judge and magistrate in the exhibits that will naturally be attached to the pleading. In order to default the affidavit of bias and prejudice, someone is going to have to prove that the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky or wherever is in fact an Article III court of the United States. If some particular judge has convened the court, and fails to disprove averments in the affidavit of bias and prejudice, an individual judicial complaint should be filed with the circuit under 28 U.S.C. 372(c).

Now, where are we going here? Do you want to get to the Supreme Court? Well, the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, the chief judge of the circuit court, and the chief judge of the district court have administrative as well as judicial capacities. You might want to file an affidavit of complaint against a clerk who files your case in the wrong court, an affidavit of criminal complaint for misappropriation of private and public funds, etc., and an affidavit of complaint against any judicial officer who usurps authority of the court you file in by imposing authority of a private United States District Court.

Since about September, I've been trying to secure regulations for court officers from the Director of the Administrative Office of United States Courts, but his staff has run around Robin Hood's barn avoiding the mandate. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court is responsible in his administrative capacity for appointing and removing the Director. If I don't get the requested regulations in reasonably short order, the Chief Justice is going to get an affidavit of complaint pertaining to malfeasance of office, etc. And if the Chief Justice doesn't carry out administrative responsibility Congress has charged him with...

Get the picture?

This is the reason I've continued to hammer on the message, "We have to know the law..."

If I present a prison security officer with a complaint about probable administrative crime, what effect does that have? If the officer ignores the undisputed evidence, is there a potential for being prosecuted for misprision of felony,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

accessory after the fact, etc.? I became invisible — she and her peers couldn't see me and cracks in the floor at the same time.

My approach to government people is somewhat different from many others. I try to strike an agreement: "I want to comply with the law," I tell them. "However, I have to know what the law is before I know for certain that I can comply with it. Would you agree with that?"

Most of them do. "Well, if we're in agreement on that, let's agree that once we determine what the law is, we will both comply with it. Would you agree with that?"

Each of us should probably consider that position: Would anyone disagree with the notion that to have a civilized society, we need law and order? And would anyone disagree with the notion that in order for everyone to comply with the law, we need to know what the law is?

The First Amendment secures the right to "petition" for redress of grievance. It doesn't specify how the petition is to issue. It might be in political forum, or it might be in administrative and judicial forums. If we know the law, and remedies the law prescribes, we are better equipped for the latter two forums. Finding the means the law prescribes is as important as knowing benefits and obligations the law provides.

Another aspect to the pragmatic as opposed to the purist approach is to address the immediate problem, and employ immediate remedies (remedial), in order to secure a viable position. In other words, it wouldn't be practical to chase everyone out of office at all levels of government all at once. I promise you, I don't know what all those people do in the county courthouse, in city hall, in the State capitol ... and government, for all its ills, has to continue to operate. It still serves some legitimate purposes, and there are some loyal people in government service.

Is this an unreasonable view? Well, when the Jews took the promised land, they took what could be secured and settled, then went forward, they didn't try to take it all at once. God directed them to take the promised heritage in an orderly manner that would preserve what they were taking. The method was intended to preserve the productive capacity of the inheritance. God bless — Dan

I hope this ending to Part XIII has given you a view of how deeply entrenched the corruption in the United States is, and an understanding of what anyone who wishes to correct the problem should be doing. It has nothing to do with voting, but instead with research and work with public officials. You can not change what you support, with taxes or by participation. Yes, we must co-exist with the beast on this earth; that does not mean that we feed him!

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

PART XIV

REALITY...

Here is Reality. No one likes reality. It is much easier to live in an illusion, such as the United States, than face reality. The problem with this is that reality does not change, nor does it forgive. It is a constant, and will reassert itself at some point. With or without your knowledge or understanding. And it does not matter if you are ready for it or not, because if you are living in an illusion, when reality kills you, you will not even be aware of the how and the why. But you will be just as unprepared, and just as dead..

“Tyranny has no enemy so formidable as the pen.” William Cobbett

“None are more hopelessly enslaved than those who falsely believe they are free.” Goethe

“If a nation values anything more than freedom, it will lose its freedom; and the irony of it is that if it is comfort or money that it values more, it will lose that too.” Somerset Maugham

“As nightfall does not come at once, neither does oppression. In both instances there is a twilight when everything remains seemingly unchanged. And it is in such twilight that we all must be most aware of change in the air - however slight - lest we become unwitting victims of the darkness.” Supreme Court Justice William O. Douglas

Here is some reality; food has two purposes: It can either sustain life, or kill you. Food can serve no other purpose than these two. It is impossible.

In this regard, there is no nutrition in canned food. So, given this fact which you can confirm by studying the many books on health and nutrition available, what is the purpose of canned food? Right; profit. It serves no other function. Certainly, it serves no purpose in sustaining life.

Thus, when you buy all of your food in a multi-national profit center known as a supermarket, what, exactly, are you doing to yourself and your family?

Let me list the major components of food found in supermarkets (perhaps you should start paying attention to the labels); processed white sugar (probably the single largest component); processed white flour (probably number two); processed fats; processed plastics (every artificial ingredient is a derivative, as far as I know, of oil, which means plastic; after all, this is by far the cheapest way to create a saleable product); pork (you would be surprised, and shocked, at how much pork is used in foods, most always under different names. For instance, marshmallows are made from a base of pork lard.); and, of course, chemicals. Let us never forget chemicals.

And of the stuff (I don't know what it is, but it is not food, so stuff seems appropriate) not affected above, how about irradiation to prolong the shelf life? Most spices are this way now, and, I am sure, many other foods as well, including

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

most so-called fresh fruits and vegetables. Not to mention what has been done to the meat with hormones and drugs for the animals. I have a couple of friends who took a Geiger counter into a supermarket a while back. They won't eat anything out of one any longer (they didn't believe me, at first).

One of the things most amazing to me is our "belief" that something labeled as food is actually food because of the taste, rather than as a matter of nutrition. I watch people ending their lives in great pain, crippled in mind and body, and recognize that there, except for the Grace of God, go I. And I know that what has happened is because we have trusted others to look after our well-fare, and then allowed those others to do so for their own economic interest. And I also know that those others, the ones at the top of the food chain, so to speak, understand exactly what they are doing, the pain they are causing, and the needless early deaths that are the result of their economic warfare.

So, once you begin to understand, the command to be separate from them is not a racial command; it is for health and for wealth. You can not feed the beast through profits on the food he produces for profit and be either healthy or wealthy. This, my friends, is a scientific fact, which you can confirm with very little study. The problem is that most writers, counselors and advisors will not put it in this way. They will tell you to buy your food carefully, and study the labels, maybe from a health food store (which is in business for profit), but no source I know of will give you a complete picture. First, they probably do not understand most of the picture because they learned enough to make some money so why continue, and second, because this information is not palatable to most, and they do want to offend their customers. It limits sales, particularly of books.

Let me give you another look at Scripture from my view point. At the beginning of Part X, I quoted Scripture, about food. In Scripture, we are given a list of foods we may eat. We can have faith, without further study, that this list of food, without alteration from man, and this includes hybridization, is beneficial for our bodies. Given a few other facts, such as direct from the ground to us and without being in cold storage for 30 or 60 days before it reaches our store and without the addition of chemicals, additives and irradiation.

In other words, natural foods without the profit motive having been added in.

But then we are told of other foods that we can not eat. Oh, we can, of course, if we wish to. Like mushrooms. I know, Scripture says nothing about mushrooms, but it does speak of fruits and other things that are good, and it does not mention fungus, like mushrooms. What can we deduce from this?

Well, if foods that are good for us are beneficial, then other things put on this earth for other purposes are not beneficial for us to eat. That means we can gain nothing from eating them. Nothing. And after having seen the writings on the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

studies done with pork, and how our bodies can not digest pork, I begin to understand. Eating those other things is no different than eating poison, because if there is no benefit, under His Law in the eating, then it is safe to assume, vengeance being His, that there is a decided down side to doing the eating. I think that our reduced life span and the great pain I see many people in as their years advance is all of the warning I need.

These are the things that the churches should be teaching us, and this is the first reason I left the Catholic church. As I began to learn some of the essential facts about health, and how they corresponded so closely with Scripture, I started to wonder what was wrong with this picture. I attended a Catholic school when I was young, went through confirmation, Communion, learned the Catechism well, served Mass, and generally did all of the things that good Catholic boys are supposed to do. Except, I never learned one thing about His Law and the reasons for it. Not one thing. Oh, there was prattle about the Ten Commandments, but no real teaching of the essential nature of the law. (After learning this, when I finally found out that even the Ten Commandments had been altered by the Roman Church, I wasn't really that shocked.)

What can we deduce from this? Religions are a work of man. Not that hard to figure out, really. What is the most wealthy organization on the face of the earth? Probably the Roman Catholic church, or religion, if you will. All of these religions will take one or two pieces of Scripture and concentrate on it, telling you, if you will listen, that this is the key to heaven, and it is the most important part of Scripture. And pass the offering plate, please. (I've asked, but I have never gotten an answer; What, then, is the least important part of Scripture?)

On the other hand, Christianity has nothing to do with Religion. As I said before, the Bible is a book of science; His Science. The science of economics, of nutrition, of government, of nurturing the land, of... well, pretty much of everything that is essential for us to live a long, prosperous life. In other words, Christianity, through Scripture, is a way of life, and not a religion. And this is what your choice comes down to, you can not live a full, clean, prosperous life among the heathen. You must congregate with those of like mind.

So, once again, we find that the answer comes down to you. You must want to learn. You must be willing to study. You must be willing to change, or the studies will stop, and stop fast!

And let me make one point here that is necessary at this time: Your life is going to change. Probably, it is going to change dramatically. There is no way to avoid this truth. If you had the understanding that I do of economics, you would see as clearly as I do this fact of life. The only thing for you to decide is, if you and your family are to be the agents of that change, or if you are going to let other, outside forces bring the change to you. Because change you will.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Are you worried about your children being able to attend college? Why? What is taught in colleges today? What real life skills are taught in schools today? Do your children really need to learn how to prosper in a credit world? A credit world is an illusion; it is an aberration, which does not exist in the real world. It depends upon the predation of the earth and of those who work and produce from the earth for the survival of the system. History has proven time and time again that these types of systems only exist for short periods of time. America is no longer a producer, and we are told time and time again that we can survive as a service and information nation. But this is true only as long as the true producers of real food and real material wealth do not catch on to the lie that is known as the Federal Reserve Note.

The United States is now the largest debtor nation on the face of the earth, by a large margin. The United States has, by far, the largest imbalance of trade in history. This is the reason for the new international reserve currency, the Euro. More on this later. All of these things again bring us to the illusion that is known as the United States.

[APFN NOTE] Treasury Secretary Lloyd Bentsen (Former longtime Senator from Texas) During the Waco hearings had to take the "OATH of TESTIMONY" before the committee after a heated discussion. The first video about Waco provided by Dr. Gregg Sali & Ken Fawcett had a researcher providing documented proof that the Secretary of the Treasury did not take the "OATH OF OFFICE" to the United States of America. He takes an "Oath of Officer" to the "International Monetary Fund" (IMF). This researcher further states that all Treasury takes that Oath. This would include the BATF and the IRS. Thousands of people have seen that video. I have not seen any follow-up to the fact or fiction to the above. APFN@netbox.com

Please be advised that the information above is true. Simply stated, there is NO OATH of Office for "Secretary of Treasury", and the reason why there isn't will become clear after reading the material that follows. What you will find is an appointment as "Governor" of The Fund and The Bank, which is all under the UNITED NATIONS Monetary and Financial Conference dated July 22, 1944. (See: 22 USC 286, 22 USC 286a(a)(b)(c)(d), 22 USC 286c, 22 USC 286d, 22 USC 286e, etc.) The title, "Secretary of Treasury" exists under PRETENSE of name only. From the Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents for the Administration of William J. Clinton for Monday, February 1, 1993, Volume 29 - Number 4, page 113, you will find the following:

Submitted January 28

Lloyd Bentsen, of Texas, to be U.S. Governor of the International Monetary Fund for a term of 5 years; U.S. Governor of the International Bank For Reconstruction And Development for a term of 5 years; U.S. Governor of the Inter-American Development Bank for a term of 5 years; U.S. Governor of the African Develop-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ment Bank for a term of 5 years; U.S. Governor of the Asian Development Bank; U.S. Governor of the African Development Fund; and U.S. Governor of the European Bank For Reconstruction And Development.

No where is there to be found any “appointment” to “Secretary of Treasury”.

Officers of the United States are required pursuant to 5 USC 3331 to subscribe to an Oath of Office, to file an Officer Affidavit pursuant to 5 USC 3332, and an Employee Affidavit pursuant to 5 USC 3333.

Since some of those on your list may not have the ability to receive attachments, I have assembled here a few excerpts from several monograms on related subject matter that will reveal some of the more important elements. The thing to keep in mind while reading the following material is that the ENTIRE governmental structure as ordained and established by the Constitution FOR the United States of America (1787) has been SUBJUGATED and OVERTHROWN economically: (This is incorrect; the fact is that the United States corporate government is the majority stock holder in the IMF. You may want to think about that; a government owning stock in a bank? Remember when I told you that the UNITED STATES was not a government? It is a corporation; corporations are formed for profit. Follow the money. Remember Part II. - David)

Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution for the United States of America declares that, “No State shall make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts.” The emergency, subjugated, compact “state of Washington” is a party to the compact and the officer writing the ticket is the “charging agent” of the compact state. We now have a series of problems arise, as Article IV, Section 27 of the “Constitution of the State of Washington” (1889) states that ALL PROCESS shall be, “The State of Washington,” and all prosecutions shall be conducted in ITS name and by ITS AUTHORITY. The fact that the “state of Washington” is a party to various “compacts” and its use of SDR’s (Federal reserve notes) means that the REAL PARTY OF INTEREST is NOT in the courtroom, and the process is NOT under its AUTHORITY. The Federal Reserve note is valued to SDR’s by international organizations, not by Congress. (Technically correct, but missing the one real piece of information necessary to understand; Federal Reserve notes are valued because everyone has to have them to repay debts, for which their property is held as collateral. When this state of affairs is altered, and when Federal Reserve notes are no longer the reserve currency needed to repay debts, things will alter quickly and radically, and the real value of Federal Reserve notes will become apparent. - David)

The emergency, subjugated, compact “state of Washington”, as the extended territory of the United States, is raising revenue and collecting FORCED CONTRIBUTIONS for and on behalf of FOREIGN PRINCIPALS, and is in fact and law, pursu-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ant to the rule of instrumentality, the ALTER-EGO of “The Fund” (IMF) and “The Bank” (World Bank) - both of which are under the direction and control of the alien, corporate “Governor”, a.k.a., the “Secretary of Treasury” who is paid by the United Nations, through its fiscal depository agent, the Federal Reserve. (Actually, the United States Treasury is now the banking apparatus for the IMF. - David) Additionally, the “charging agent” is receiving emoluments or remuneration from agents of a foreign principal. IF he has an Oath of Office, as required by Article VI, Clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution and the domestic laws made in pursuance thereof, such as 4 USC 101, he would necessarily be in felony breach of that oath. One cannot serve TWO masters. *Cinema 5, Ltd. vs. Cinerama Inc.*, 528 F.2d 1384.

Further, much of the so-called “federal” funding received by the “state of Washington” through the Governor thereof, for and on behalf of the Criminal Justice Training Commission through which all law enforcement personnel must qualify, is administered under the Crime Control Act of 1973 and amendatory acts, by the Attorney General of the United States, Janet Reno, who is the permanent representative of INTERPOL which is based in Lyons, France. Under Article 30 of the INTERPOL Constitution and regulations, agents of INTERPOL are required to renounce their allegiance to their respective Countries and State and are therefore EXPATRIATES. The Attorney General is NOT paid by the United States, but rather receives emoluments or remuneration from “The Fund” and “The Bank”. The alien, corporate Governor of “The Fund” and “The Bank”, Robert Rubin, is the ALTERNATE representative of INTERPOL. See: Memorandum of Understanding, U.S. Government Manual 1996/97, pg. 351.

Because the “Treasury of the United States of America” was DISSOLVED upon the creation of the INDEPENDENT TREASURY, by Act of Congress on May 29, 1920 (41 Stat. Chapter 214, pg. 654), neither Ms. Reno or Mr. Rubin are Officers of the United States of America. They can’t be, because no viable treasury exists, one of the basic requirements of a sovereign nation. They are not paid pursuant to the Constitution for the United States of America and the domestic laws made in Pursuance thereof.

The United States REDUCED its character and capacity to that of an ALTER-EGO and PRIVATE PARTY when it became a voting share stockholder in International Organizations such as The Bank and The Fund — UN operations (22 USC 286e). Other organizations, such as the “Nature Conservancy” (IUCN) organizations, and the individuals who are members of the organizations, associations and corporations, have REDUCED their characters and capacities. An artificial entity is NOT a Citizen and cannot be extended the Liberties, Rights, Privileges, Immunities and Powers of the Citizen, and when working in “collaboration” with or under the direction, control, or financial assistance of such International Organizations — they become AGENTS of FOREIGN PRINCIPALS and POWERS. The Constitution for the United States of America DOES NOT DELEGATE the Power to any

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Public Office to create or grant such entities special privileges, immunities or franchises, nor does the Constitution for the United States of America authorize those in Public Office to INDIRECTLY commit acts which are DIRECTLY PROHIBITED. (No, but the Constitution does directly authorize Congress exclusive jurisdiction in Washington, DC, and they can do whatever they wish. This means that nothing is prohibited, and it can not be said to be un-Constitutional. Further more, this is an accurate picture of the real United States, and if anyone thinks that the King is going to give up this pot of gold without a struggle, or return freedom to those with no understanding easily, well, they have a shock coming. Everyone talking about restoring Constitutional government to America is actually providing support for exactly what they say they dislike so much. I hope you understand what I mean. - David)

Those operating under the United Nations Organizations direction, control, subsidy, or financial assistance, are unlawfully within the domestic jurisdiction of the United States of America and the several States of the Union. Public Law 330, 69 Stat. 624, makes it a FELONY for ANY PERSON to accept or hold a public office or to be employed by any agency of the government who advocates the overthrow of our constitutional and Republican Form of Government in the United States, or belongs to an organization that advocates overthrow. A “de facto” government cannot lawfully contract or obtain dominion over property, nor are commingled “chameleon” like characters and capacities allowed in law and it has been determined that NO OBEDIENCE is due to such entities. Texas vs. White, 74 U.S. (7 Wall) 277. (Whew! A real mouthful, except... It is not them who violated His Laws; it is we who contracted with them. So, who is at fault? - David)

The violation of “The Code Of Ethics For Government Service”, Public Law 96-303, 94 Stat. 855, would be obvious and appropriately applied against those who devised and conjured into existence the various “environmental programmes” and “strategic plans” — IF — they really were in “Government service” of the United States of America. The United Nations is, however, a separate entity conjured into existence through certain known, unauthorized and unconstitutional acts and omissions and by certain corrupt and profligate FRACTIONS whose interests and agenda was adverse and diametrically opposed to the ordained Constitution for the United States of America, and the Laws made in Pursuance thereof, and to the necessary Law of Nations (The so-called Law of Nations is actually International Law under Maritime Jurisdiction, and is really Roman Church Law. - David). All of the United Nations officers, employees and agents are required to “EXPATRIATE” from their nation upon grounds that the United Nations and its sister International Organizations, such as The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, claim EXEMPTION from the LAWS OF ANY NATION OR STATE. There is NO ALLEGIANCE to the People or to the Union of several States of the United States of America by the denizens of the International Organizations.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

The concept that the principal is not bound or obligated by the secret agreements of the agent is as old as the fundamental concept that governments are formed and established only by the CONSENT OF THE GOVERNED. It is obvious that the International Organizations, Corporations, Associations and combinations are of aristocratic form and have been historically and presently known for despotism and tyranny. The Constitution for the United States of America, Article IV, Section 4, ONLY SECURES a "REPUBLICAN FORM" of governance. The Organizations are UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND UNAUTHORIZED. Likewise, the principal that "no man can serve two masters" is applicable as the obvious conflict of allegiance and interest. No officer, employee, or agent of the United States of America is allowed to directly or indirectly act as an agent of a foreign principal, 22 USC 611. Violations are subject to criminal pains and penalties under 18 USC 219, to wit:

"WHOEVER, being an officer or employee of the United States in the executive, legislative, or judicial branch of government or in any agency of the United States, including the District of Columbia, is or acts as an agent of a foreign principal required to register under the Foreign Agents Registration Act of 1938, as amended, shall be fined not more than \$10,000 or imprisoned not more than two years, or both." (See also: 18 USC 951)

It is to be specifically noted that an "Agency" is NOT an integral part of the government, U.S. vs. Strang, 254 U.S. 491, and raises immediate and serious questions and concern as to WHO the "PRINCIPAL" and real party in interest is. It is NOT the "United States" pursuant to the Constitution for the United States of America and the domestic Laws made in Pursuance thereof. NO, it is The World Bank and The Fund who are the true "principal", and it is The World Bank's POLICY that is being implemented by and through the various "intergovernmental" (INTERNATIONAL) agencies in accordance with the "Brady Plan", and pursuant to the "Multilateral Economic Assistance Act of 1989", Public Law 101-167, 103 Stat. 1195, and as specifically declared under the subheading of "Environmental Concerns" found as 103 Statutes at Large, pages 1227 and 1228. Further evidence of direct involvement by The World Bank is found in the "Convention On Biological Diversity", Articles 21 and 39, Treaty Document 103-20, among others.

Furtherance of the scheme is evidenced by the unlawful debasement of the domestic Coin in 1965 under pretense of "scarcity", the disavowing and dishonoring of notes and obligations under Public Law 90-269, 82 Stat. 50, on March 18, 1968, using the same repudiated notes and obligations as a fraudulent security for international letters of credit under Special Drawing Rights Acts such as Public Law 90-349, 82 Stat. 188, June 19, 1968, embezzlement of the intrinsic metals and laying the repudiated debts and loss off on others not signatory parties nor privy to the secret meetings and agreements, all being accomplished and implemented under pretense of such Acts as the "Par Value Modification Act", Public Law 94-564, 90 Stat. 2660, October 19, 1976; and further, while inducing and forcing oth-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ers to aid and abet in the systematic scheme and criminal enterprise, the corporators conspired together and with each other, to breach the domestic duty and perfect obligation to maintain the integrity of foreign and domestic securities and Coin under pretense of Public Law 95-147, 91 Stat. 1227, October 28, 1977; and did in fact continually hold the CITIZENS and THEIR PROPERTY LIABLE and as COL-LATERAL ON THE INTERNATIONAL SPECULATIONS, LEVERAGING, and arbitrary extensions of CREDIT of the corporators of The Fund and The Bank, and their agents.

The Fund and The Bank and its associations and combinations are claimed and admitted to be the “instrumentality”, and are fundamentally engaged in activities which are of a “private nature”, *Osborn vs. The Bank Of The United States*, 6 L.Ed. (9 Wheat) 204. They are NOT exempt from judicial process in the State Courts, nor from liability under the “International Organizations Immunities Act”, 22 USC 288-288f, for TORTS or contractual obligations. (And just what court would you take them to? - David)

THE BOTTOM LINE: The Fund and The Bank, its corporators and agents SOLICIT and COLLECT CONTRIBUTIONS, LOANS, MONEY, OR OTHER THINGS OF VALUE, FOR OR IN INTEREST OF FOREIGN PRINCIPALS AND POWERS. (See: 22 USC 611; 26 USC 6103(k)(4); Multilateral Economic Assistance Act of 1993, Public Law 102-391, 106 Stat. 1633).

NO OFFICER, EMPLOYEE OR AGENT OF THE UNITED STATES CAN ACT AS AN “AGENT OF A FOREIGN PRINCIPAL” WITHOUT CRIMINALLY VIOLATING FUNDAMENTAL DOMESTIC LAW. The Supreme Law of the Land specifically declares and limits the use of force and taxation to “the general Welfare and common defence of the United States.” See: Constitution for the United States of America, Preamble; Article I, Section 8, Clause 1. NONE of the funds solicited or collected through forced contributions of the Internal Revenue Service are returned to the de jure office of “Treasurer of the United States.” (See: Public Law 94-564, 90 Stat. 2660, Legislative History, Senate Report 94-1148, pg. 5967; Reorganization Plan No. 26, 15 Federal Register 148; 26 USC 7804(a)). The funds solicited, collected and contributed by the corporators, and all proceeds of the operation, remain in the International Organization’s exclusive possession and control. (See: Public Law 102-391, 106 Stat. 1633).

The “Secretary of Treasury” is undeniably and admitted to be the “Governor” of the International Bank For Reconstruction and Development (The Bank) and the International Monetary Fund (The Fund) 22 USC 286a, and numerous other international organizations, and whose officers, employees and agents owe their PRIMARY ALLEGIANCE to the respective organizations AND TO NO OTHER AUTHORITY. (See: Articles Of Agreement Of The I.M.F., 60 Stat. 1401, et seq., Article IX; Articles Of Agreement Of The Bank, 60 Stat. 1440, et seq., Article VII; Mendaro

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

vs. *The World Bank*, 717 F.2d. 610; see also Constitution And General Regulations For INTERPOL, Article 30; 22 USC 263a). Under PRETEXT and PRETENSE of “Reorganization” (BANKRUPTCY) the position also includes the exercise of the powers of the President under the “Trading With The Enemy Act” of October 6, 1917, 50 USC 1, as “Alien Property Custodian.” (See: Executive Order 9095, as amended, Executive Order 11281, 31 Federal Register 7215).

The control of the entire “essential economic engine” was relinquished and surrendered to the “Governor” of “The Bank” and “The Fund” under pretense of Reorganization Plan No. 26. (See also: 26 USC 7804(a)). The numerous international agreements were NOT “made under Authority” in accordance with the tenor of the commission as expressed in the Constitution for the United States of America, Article VI, Clause 2. The forced CONTRIBUTIONS through the IRS cannot be vindicated as a “TAX” under the Constitution for the United States of America, Article I, Section 8, Clause 1, nor under the 16th Amendment, nor under the Law of Nations. The Internal Revenue Service is NOT an Agency of the United States. But . . . if you have a Social Security Number, also known as a “Taxpayer Identification Number”, YOU have licensed and contracted to trade with the enemy. Social Security is an INTERNATIONAL agreement, and is controlled by the IMF and World Bank — both UN Organizations. ALL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS, i.e., YOUR LOCAL BANK OR CREDIT UNION — all of them, are under the EXCLUSIVE direction and control of the “Governor” of “The Fund” and “The Bank” — the United Nations. Now, would YOU like to have a bank account or a loan?

They have no money. There are NO “dollars” in their (YOUR) accounts and YOU are NOT being PAID AT LAW for YOUR LABOR. A “dollar” is a specific weight of metal, either silver or gold; it is NOT paper, unless it is redeemable for the silver or gold Coin. Federal Reserve Notes, also called “SDR’s” (Special Drawing Rights) are NOT “dollars”. The fundamental Law of the Land, Article I, Section 10 of the Constitution for the United States of America, as ordained and established, REQUIRES that “No State shall emit Bills of Credit or make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts.”

Where is the silver and gold Coin? It will be appropriate to repeat here that “TYRANNY IS ALWAYS CLOAKED IN COMPLEXITY.” Afterall, we are speaking here of a “systematic scheme” that was given birth in the early 1900’s, with the institution of “elastic currency” and overseas banking and lending, also known as “edge banking”. (This is totally incorrect; see the First Bank of the United States in 1792. - David) Shortly thereafter, more paper monetary obligations were circulating abroad than could be redeemed. This in turn created a balance-of-payment problem and, in large part, gave rise to the “Great Depression” of the late 1920’s. (Again, incorrect. It did lead to the bankruptcy of the United States government. More on this a little later. - David) The created economic condition resulted in the passage of: (1) the “Emergency Banking Relief Act” of 1933, whereby the gold

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Coin was TAKEN from the People; (2) the “Agricultural Adjustment Act” of 1933, whereby the private Federal Reserve banks’ irredeemable (floating) paper was declared to be “legal tender” for all debts, and where marketing boards and price controls were started; and, (3) the “Gold Reserve Act” of 1934, which created an exclusively controlled Fund where the gold was deposited and held for settlement of international balance-of-payments.

The “public lands” were also “withdrawn” from use and settlement during this time and fees were imposed for the use and enjoyment of natural resources (duck stamps, grazing fees, park entrance fees, etc.).

On June 12, 1934, the Office of President was given statutory authority to enter into EXECUTIVE, INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL AGREEMENTS without the consent of the Senate. Franklin D. Roosevelt used this new power to negotiate the London Agreement on Gold. The intent of the London Agreement was to “NATIONALIZE” (meaning to “take”) and then to “INTERNATIONALIZE” the gold and silver Coin. The agreement could not be implemented because it would destabilize the domestic economy of the United States of America. As a result, Roosevelt sought passage of the “Gold Reserve Act” of 1934 to GIVE EFFECT to the London Agreement and other INTERNATIONAL agreements to be made in the future. Section 10(b) of the Gold Reserve Act created the “Exchange Stabilization Fund” under the “EXCLUSIVE CONTROL” of the Secretary of Treasury. Moreover, any operations or transactions taking place under this clause are “not reviewable by any other officer of the United States”. The gold taken (nationalized) from circulation during the mid 1930’s, was deposited in this Fund. Section 10(b) also declares that whatever is deposited (the term deposit has very direct meanings under law, and the property becomes the property of the holder of the deposit - David) in the “Exchange Stabilization Fund” SHALL REMAIN in the Fund, including any interest or other profits made from its use. THIS ACT PERMANENTLY REMOVED THE GOLD FROM CIRCULATION AMONG THE PEOPLE and CONVERTED IT TO THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THOSE ENGAGED IN INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTIONS! (Could that be handy for the international banks and the corporations owned by them? - David)

The “future” INTERNATIONAL agreements did not surface until 1945, when the International Bank For Reconstruction And Development (World Bank) and the International Monetary Fund (IMF) were established as sister International Organizations of the United Nations by the Bretton Woods Agreement. The United States took the gold that it nationalized (expropriated) from the People in the 1930’s, and purchased voting share stocks in the World Bank and IMF. The United States was, and is, the LARGEST voting share stockholder in both the World Bank and the IMF. It is absolutely imperative to remember that when a government becomes a stockholder in any corporation, IT WAIVES ITS SOVEREIGNTY, and operates under and according to the corporate charter of the organization. The United States thus became the “alter-ego” of “The Fund” and “The Bank” under the rule of in-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

strumentality.

By 1965, the balance-of-payments DEFICIT had grown to such immense proportions that Congress had to debase the silver Coin of the United States to pay the international debt. On March 18, 1968, via Public Law 90-269, the United States declared that there were no more funds left for the redemption of their obligations, and a few months later, Congress amended the Gold Reserve Act of 1934, which became the foundation of the "Special Drawing Rights" (SDR) accounts in the IMF.

Special Drawing Rights (SDR's) are used for many things. It has recently been used to provide funding to implement the Uruguay Round Trade Agreement in the United States, and to pay Mexico's debts after it defaulted on its international balance-of-payments. An SDR is a "blank check" written against someone else's account. Technically, an SDR is an "international letter of credit" issued by the Secretary of Treasury (a.k.a. the "Governor" of the Fund and Bank) in whatever amount he determines. The SDR is then deposited in a central bank, such as the Federal Reserve Bank. The Bank then issues the EQUIVALENT in PAPER FEDERAL RESERVE NOTES. The funds received from the Banks are deposited in the "Exchange Stabilization Fund" where they remain at the exclusive disposal of the "Governors" of the G-7, that is, the seven corporate "Governors" of the World Bank and IMF (United Nations). The International Organizations are NOT obligated to repay the SDR, however, the funds taken out and borrowed from the central banks through the "system" become, and are, OBLIGATIONS of the Nation. When an SDR is issued against the United States, the People and businesses feel the effects through INFLATION and the DEPRECIATED PURCHASING POWER of their irredeemable paper money.

As "human resources" and "institutional units", the People are held liable for the entire indebtedness, PLUS interest. Simply said, both the initial funds for the loan and the loan payments are collected through forced contributions from the People of the United States through taxation, fees, and other exactions.

President Clinton used the International Organizations Act to grant IUCN "immunity from suit" on January 18, 1996, via Executive Order #12986. These special privileges and immunities provide the IUCN and its agents with the equivalent of a "Title of Nobility" which is prohibited by the Constitution for the United States of America. It is to be noted that the members of IUCN still use FAMILIAR DOMESTIC front names such as "U.S." Forest Service, "U.S." Fish and Wildlife Service, "U.S." Bureau of Land Management, "U.S." Environmental Protection Agency, "U.S." Park Service, and "U.S." NOAA and National Marine Fisheries Service, etc. Much of the public is deceived as to whom the organizations and agents REALLY ARE and what their REAL AGENDA and program IS. The facts and law of the situation indicate that all of these so-called "U.S. Agencies" are each, DI-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

RECTED, CONTROLLED, FINANCED AND SUBSIDIZED by AGENTS OF A FOREIGN PRINCIPAL, and have NOTHING to do with the “United States of America”, as you and I know it.

As stated in the IUCN’s book entitled, “The Easement As A Conservation Technique””Broadly speaking, the need for an approach like that permitted by CONSERVATION EASEMENTS is occasioned by limited OBJECTIVES OF LAND-USE CONTROL, the achievement of which does not require assumption of full proprietary ownership of the land. THIS NEED ARISES FOR GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WHEN THE OBJECTIVES ARE BEYOND THEIR POWER to impose sufficient restrictions on property WITHOUT COMPENSATION....The United States federal and state constitutions require ‘just compensation’ to be paid to a landowner whose property has been EXPROPRIATED or condemned for public purposes.”

The plans, programs, collaborative projects, etc., are many times referred to as “INTERGOVERNMENTAL” activities. The “Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, Section 8; Money and Finance Act of 1982, Chapter 65; and the International Forestry Act of 1990” -- ALL OF THESE are examples of “INTERGOVERNMENTAL” operations. The media buzzword “intergovernmental” has a definition and meaning that is quite different from what it conveys to the mind of most people. The term “Intergovernmental” is defined in the Vienna Convention On The Law Of Treaties, Part I, Article 2, Section 1(i) as: “‘INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION’ means an intergovernmental organization. “The use of benign words that have hidden meanings are frequently intended to persuade the ignorant to give their tacit consent.

The “strategic plan” of the International Organizations is a SUBJUGATION PROCESS and is more on the order of the “unconventional warfare” operations of the Agency for International Development (USAID), which is misrepresented as being a “U.S.” Agency. The A.I.D. is directly connected to The World Bank and International Monetary Fund and oversees and controls THE BANK’S INTERESTS in the host recipient country (THESE ARE U.N. OPERATIONS). It also operates as a paramilitary support unit for U.N. multinational military operations (see: 22 USC 287d) and is sometimes referred to as the “country team.”

The international systematic scheme was devised and stealthily implemented over the course of the last 100 years or more. This scheme is nothing more or less than a ploy to nationalize, then internationalize and expropriate property and rights to property under the guise of “saving the earth” and “endangered species”. Nationalization and expropriation is AGAINST THE LAW OF NATIONS and the declared Public Policy of the United States. Public Law 88-205, 77 Stat. 386, 387, Section 602(e). The INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS are also prohibited by Congress from seriously impairing the economic stability of the United States, Public Law 472, 62 Stat. 137, or adversely affecting production in the United States,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Public Law 99-190, 99 Stat. 1306, Section 523. ANY ACTIVITY WHICH VIOLATES THE PUBLIC POLICY OF THE UNITED STATES IS CONSIDERED AS VOID AND UNENFORCEABLE. (See: 54 Am Jur 2d, "Money", Section 35).

The "Land Acquisition" program (Act of March 1, 1911, 36 Stat. 961, as amended, Public Law 94-588, 90 Stat. 2949, Section 17) coupled with other Acts such as the "Endangered Species Act" (Public Law 93-205, 87 Stat. 884) have been systematically used as an ILLEGAL "EMINENT DOMAIN" proceeding, and have been wrongfully and fraudulently used to nationalize, expropriate and internationalize large amounts of valuable property belonging to the Citizens and others. The International organizations under the U.N. have unlawfully and fraudulently used these sequestered private and public lands and natural resources as COLLATERAL in the "loan portfolios" of international lending institutions, which are under the direction and control of the alien, corporate "Governor" of The Fund and The Bank, Robert Rubin and his predecessors, Lloyd Bentsen and Nicholas Brady (also "known" as the "Secretary of Treasury"), including, but not limited to, The International Bank For Reconstruction and Development, the Inter-America Development Bank, The African Development Bank, The Asian Development Bank, The African Development Fund, The Export-Import Bank, and their many subsidiary financial institutions.

The "Brady Plan" was devised and implemented to insure and guarantee international lending institutions from losses because of their own cupidity and unsafe and unsound banking practices. Public Law 98-181, 97 Stat. 1153, House Report 98-175, pg. 1906. THE DEBTS AND LOSSES OF THESE INTERNATIONAL AND PRIVATE LENDING INSTITUTIONS (22 USC 286d) WERE PASSED OFF ON THE "U.S. TAXPAYER." As recorded in in the Congressional "Hearing Before The Subcommittee On International Economic Policy And Trade", April 19, 1989, concerning the "INTERNATIONAL DEBT CRISIS: A REVIEW OF THE BRADY PLAN", at page 3:

"In the second place, I don't think the AMERICAN TAXPAYER SHOULD HAVE TO ASSUME THE RISK FOR THE COMMERCIAL BANKS. Under the Brady Plan, if the debtor nations default on their reduced loans, the IMF and the World Bank will offset the losses incurred by the commercial banks. BUT AS WE ALL KNOW, U.S. TAXPAYERS CONTRIBUTE 20 PERCENT OF THE FUNDS TO THE IMF AND THE WORLD BANK. So if the debtor nations default on their refinanced loans, THE U.S. TAXPAYERS WILL HAVE TO PICK UP A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE TAB. The taxpayers did not share in the profits in the 1970's made by the commercial banks on their loans to Third World Countries. Why, then, should the taxpayers have to absorb some of the banks' losses?"

The "Plan" and underlying scheme is meant as a revenue raising measure for FOREIGN PRINCIPALS AND POWERS and to secure international lending insti-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

tutions and organizations from losses. Such activity has been PROHIBITED since the time of Lord Mansfield, 54 Am Jur2d, "Money", Section 35, and is a CRIMINAL ACT under 18 USC 219 & 951. NO OFFICER, EMPLOYEE OR AGENT OF THE UNITED STATES CAN ACT AS AN AGENT OF A FOREIGN PRINCIPAL. The underlying international scheme is not "for the general Welfare and common defence", nor is it meant to pay the debts of the United States and is therefore OUTSIDE of the DOMESTIC revenue raising Powers of Congress, Article I, Section 8, Clause 1.

This is only part of the sordid story. /s/ John R. Prukop

The above is probably, except for a brief that I saw written by John Nelson, the best description of what the United States really is, that I have ever seen, if you look at it from that point of view. And, thus, what it really means to be a United States citizen. The United States is an illusion, and nothing more. It is an integration of self-interest for the profit of a few very rich and powerful men. If this knowledge were general throughout the population, what do you think would happen? Unfortunately, all too few will look.

This description given above is the refined essence of man's government. Any time you give man the privilege of writing his own law, the above is exactly what happens. Greed, corruption, the accumulation of wealth at the expense of others, all of these things together doom any such attempt. This is why every kingdom, every empire, and every so-called government has failed on this earth. The greed becomes so great, and the thirst for ultimate power becomes so overwhelming, that eventually nothing is left. It is all destroyed, and we start over, each time a little dumber than the time before.

And because we are a little dumber each time, the accumulation of power in the hands of the few grows greater with every attempt. Until you have what is planned for today, when every action taken is to be monitored and approved before hand. Where every credit dime possessed by any man or woman on the face of the earth is subject to taxation and confiscation at the whim of any bureaucrat.

If you are a United States citizen, and if you give allegiance to and participate in what is described above, you are helping to create the beast. The beast is not coming to America; the District of Columbia has been at the fore front in creating the beast ever since 1792. This is why so many of the King's allies, like German troops, fought in the Civil War on the side of the north. The south, even if they did not realize it, simply wanted shed of the king and his minions, and it was not allowed. The issue was state's Rights, and the Rights of the People. Once government is moved away from the people, into a central establishment, the destruction of the people, and the government, is only a matter of time. This is why God's government on earth is built on the People themselves, and why we are given the task of enforcing His Law.

Back in the old days," when human sacrifice was common and openly prac-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ticed, the men in charge knew that the god's they were worshipping were not real. However, to the parents standing in the crowd watching their child killed on the altar or thrown into the flaming mouth of Baal, that god is very real indeed. And the men with the power to select that child, or someone else's child instead, had the power of life and death in their hands. This is called rule by fear, and it is very effective. For reference, see the IRS.

It loses its effectiveness when the people lose their fear, or when the people become convinced that no matter what they do, no matter how closely they conform to what they think is the law, that their turn is going to come anyway. At that point, fear is no longer a factor. This is why you see judges talk about and being concerned with the appearance of justice. They know that when the people become fully aware of the arbitrary nature of the law, that it is only a matter of time before the judge's days of privilege and power is done. It is only a matter of time before the people put an end, once again, to another of man's government. That time is approaching in America. The problem that faces us is what do the People of America chose, after the United States government is gone, or a non-factor, in the affairs of America. I fear what that answer is. For reference, see men fighting to save the Constitution, and other men fighting to enforce the Constitution.

Contact the State Comptroller, and/or Treasury (with this State) official under a freedom of information act, and/or privacy act request, and secure a copy of the agreement that must be enforced in order for this State to act as a withholding agent on behalf of the United States.

Dan Meador put this question to test back in 1995 in the State of Oklahoma.

Would that make this State, an instrumentality of the United States?

Herein is the Act of Congress that empowers the United States to enter into equity attachments with the State wherein "Federal Employees" toil on behalf of the American Employer, and or an instrumentality thereof.

66 Statute Chapter 940, page 765 Public Law 587 AN ACT

July 17, 1952 [S. 1999]

Relating to the withholding for State income Tax purposes, on the compensation of Federal Employees. Withholding of State Income taxes by Federal agencies.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States United States of America in Congress assembled, That where-

(1) the law of any State or Territory provided for the collection of a tax by imposing upon employers generally the duty of withholding sums from the compensation of employees and making returns of such sums to the authorities of such State or Territory, and

(2) such duty to withhold is imposed generally with respect to the compensation of employees who are residents of such State or Territory, then the Secre-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

tary of the Treasury, pursuant to regulations promulgated by the President, is authorized and directed to enter into an agreement with such State or Territory within one hundred and twenty days of the request for agreement from the proper official of such State or Territory. Such agreement shall provide that the head of each department or agency of the United States shall comply with the requirements of such law in the case of employees of such agency or department who are subject to such tax and whose regular place of Federal Employment is within the State or Territory with which such agreement is entered into. No such agreement shall apply with respect to compensation for services as a member of the Armed Forces of the United States.

Sec. 2. Nothing in this Act shall be deemed to consent to the application of any provision of law which has the effect of imposing more burdensome requirements upon the United States than it imposes upon other employers, or which has the effect of subjecting the United States or any of its officers, or employers to any penalty or liability by reason of the provisions of this Act. Approved July 17, 1952

When these issues were addressed in 1995 & '96, we were closing ground, but didn't get the banana — I was allegedly “indicted” less than a month after my memorandum proving IRS is Dept. of the Treasury, Puerto Rico & no taxing statute in the Internal Revenue Code reaches the several States was published as legal notice in the Oklahoma City newspaper that sets across the street from the Arkansas-Oklahoma IRS “district” office. Maybe that was the straw that broke the camel's back — “Time for Meador to take a vacation...”

Anyway, the whole Cooperative Federalism scheme seems to revolve around the Buck Act (4 U.S.C. 105-111) and the section that authorizes States to enter compacts (4 U.S.C. 112). You will note that there are no implementing regulations for any Title 4 section; Title 4 in the Code of Federal Regulations pertains to the General Accounting Office for the most part. GAO is responsible for resolving all claims of and against the United States, so maybe it is appropriate that GAO regs are in Title 4 CFR.

Anyway, virtually all State taxes (Sorry; not virtually all: ALL. - David) are predicated on Buck Act authority — income tax, Social Security tax, fuel tax, even sales tax. The Buck Act doesn't apply to the several States, and even if it did, tax promulgated under Buck Act authority, read most liberally, would apply only on insular possessions of the United States which have been properly ceded to the United States. Consequently, State legislatures have made the States respectively vulnerable as when the law is unraveled, none of the State taxes apply to the geographical State except on insular possessions of the United States.

It's almost amusing that the State becomes the Achilles Heel to bring the whole scheme down once the judicial maze is sorted out.

The “compact” section at 4 U.S.C. 112 pertains to agreements for enforcing

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

criminal law of the States respectively. It was originally 1934 legislation, and was classified in Title 18 of 1934 & '40 editions of the United States Code, but was moved into Title 4 thereafter and has been fraudulently used for such things as agreements pertaining to Social Security, etc., since. The whole Organization of States and other such organizations rest on this section in the Code — it was the centerpiece behind the Intergovernmental Compacts in 1935 & '37. /s/ Dan Meador

So the illusion that is the United States extends to the several states, or what people think of as the states, now. There no longer is any taxing authority within the several states because no one is home in the states themselves, and this includes all of the so-called officers of those states. If you stop and think about this, you will see how this will be used to bring America into subjection by an illusion of law; an illusion so far from reality that most will never be aware of what has happened. This change from a number of republics has been going on since 1792, as the King and his minions move to restore the King's authority and privileges.

Sorry, Hank, but the below is totally wrong. We have confirmation from the supreme court administrative personnel that the last Article III non diminished salaried judge died in 1969. True, the courts are article III courts but NOT in a constitutional sense. I have obtained 7 federal judges Form 61. That form makes them civil servants like any other employee of the federal government. Every one including the supreme court judges are NOT, repeat NOT Article III judges. There is no more animal. For proof read the Terry Hatter case where 12 judges sued out as Article III judges. The Appeal court stated that not one of them could claim that, because since 1983 they were under the Social Security Act.

There are a host of cases below. And by the way I have studied and researched this animal for 20 years and hundreds of thousands of hours compared to the man who only spent two or three years? A court can only have article III powers if the judges have non diminished pay, hold no licenses of ANY kind, hold no social security as they all do as stated in the Judge Hatter case, and file no Form 61's, which they all do. The Tacoma Lumber case unequivocally states that NO U.S. District court is formed under the constitution as an Article III court but are "inferior courts" formed under article III and are not constitutional courts in that sense. The Informer

FEDERAL JUDGES ARE PRIVATE

As one who has studied the federal court system for literally thousands of hours over several years, here is what I've learned.

All of the judges on the United States District Courts have been appointed under Art III. However, they have been assigned to courts whose jurisdiction flows only from Art I. All USDC's in the States are legislative courts vested with jurisdiction under Art I. Although the judges were appointed under Art III, Clause 1, they have been "neutered" of the "judicial powers of the US" that flows from Art III.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

There is only 1 USDC that has been vested by Congress with both Art I and Art III powers. That is the USDC for the District of Columbia. See 28 USC Sec. 88, historical notes. I have 4 cases docketed on the “law side” of that USDC where the USA is the defendant.

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction. Their jurisdiction is dependant upon 2 things; what Congress has vested in them and what jurisdiction was invoked by Plaintiff.

There is no such thing as an Art II court in the federal system. Hope this helps. ICE

Subject: Fw: RE; Federal Judges are Private

Just received....any comments...rebuttal? Haven't verified anything, just passing along for general information...

A court case X-Status:

New To All. The Informer gave me parts of the briefs he wrote for a man that subsequently led to the IRS withdrawing the civil case against him after 3 years of not being able to obtain what they wanted under a 2039 summons in court. Mr. Houck is a District court judge and the man's name is XXXX and need not be divulged.

However the man was later indicted but the Informer did not participate in the writing of any of the pleadings.

Judges are not under the united states control and are therefore private.

The Congress (herein after United States), in *Cromelin v United States*, 177 F 2d 275, when asking for dismissal stated; “that the judge and the trustee in bankruptcy were not employees of the United States within the meaning of the Act.” The District court judge agreed and stated; “I hold further that a federal district judge is not an employee of the United States, nor is his trustee in bankruptcy.” On appeal the Fifth Circuit upheld. Therefore, all federal judges are private, thereby supporting the private law scenario supra, but are holding themselves out to the people, such as the cross libelants, as belonging to the United States government which is a crime under 18 USC 219 & 912. In a Constitutional sense the judges cannot exert judicial power, see *infra*.

JUDGES CANNOT EXERCISE ARTICLE III POWER

No federal court in this country has full Article III Power. For the above, and herein included facts, is another reason the IRS controls the “judges” of the courts. An adjudicated fact that supports this reasoning is *Hatter v United States*, 21 Cl. Ct. 786 (1990), wherein 10 federal judges sought relief from Social Security taxes claiming they were Article III judges. The Claims Court dismissed, and they moved to the ‘United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, case No. 91-5039. In the decision, Jan. 16, 1992, to remand back to the Claims Court, the court stated that neither the judges nor the court of appeals or district court judges were Ar-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Article III judges. Ever since the erroneous reading of *O'Malley v Woodrough*, 307 US 277, which attempts to overthrow *Evans v Gore*, 253 US 245. Based on Woodrough's actions, IRS says that the Congress can tax every federal judge, inferring all "judges" were, and have been, stupid enough and uneducated enough to believe it. Thank you Mr. Houck

Footnote 1. "We think the holdings are correct He is in no sense an agent or employee or officer of the United States. The judge is appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate and paid from the United States Treasury, but in trying cases he is a member of the independent judiciary and is not under the control of the United States ...for proving *Evans v Gore* principles are still alive and well by citing it, which disqualifies all you "judges" from Article III capacity. However, a close reading of the case and the relevant facts therein, reveal that judge Woodrough voluntarily claimed his compensation as "gross income" by writing the figure as "income" and then signed the form under penalties of perjury stating that the figures were true and correct. Any constitutional objections or protest, following a voluntary act with no evidence of duress are ill founded. *Chesebrough v U.S.*, 193 US 253. So the reasoning was correct ONLY for that particular stupid "judge" who voluntarily filed a tax form. Already entered is proof that there never was a true Article III Court, see Wright, Miller & Cooper, Federal Practice and Procedure, Jurisdiction, West's Federal 13 B., Organization of Federal Courts, Judiciary Act of 1789, Ch 1 Sec. 3503 pg 9.2.

The supposed "judicial" Powers of all "courts" of this nation, federal, state, county (parish), or municipal are territorial courts, established by "Congress" under the "jurisdiction" of commercial government. The question now arises; if any judge who is to hear this action, being influenced by the colorable law fiction that he (judge) is required to pay any income tax on his guaranteed salary, then he will believe and rule that everyone is required to produce records and pay an income tax. The foregoing, apparently true by the actions of all judges, then said judge(s) have been directly influenced by the IRS (who he is beholdng to) in an adverse manner, proving he is a private administrative judge, Cromelin supra, that violates the spirit of the framers' intent to keep the judiciary free from outside influences. But that only applies to the Supreme Court. But, again, even they pay an income tax.

Footnote 2 "Certain features of the First Judiciary Act deserve special comment. It was argued in Congress that there was a duty to confer on the federal courts the full judicial power granted by the Constitution. This view was rejected by Congress, and indeed at no time in history has the entire judicial power been vested in federal courts." There is a footnote to see Sec. 3526: Entire power never vested.

Footnote 3 "The United States district court is not a true United States court,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

established under article 3 of the Constitution to administer the judicial power of the United States therein conveyed. The resemblance of its jurisdiction to that of free United States courts in offering an opportunity to nonresidents in a geographical sense of resorting to a tribunal not subject to local influence does not change its character as a mere territorial court.” Balzac v. People of Porto Rico, 258 US 298, 312. “In a sense that its jurisdiction is dependent on the will of Congress, the district court is a court of limited, rather than general jurisdiction,…” 36 A C.J.S. Federal Courts Sec. 308.

So once again we find that what we have been told is true, is simply a lie. Once again we find that everything around us upon which we base our “faith” on earthly systems, is false and an illusion.

What else does this tell us? Are you consumed with news of the world, and despair of changing the world? Why? Can you change the world? Does something happening in South Africa affect you? How about Iraq? Does that affect you? Or India? Or Bosnia? Can you effect change there? Then why are you worried about it, and why do you bother spending time thinking about it?

The purpose behind keeping news of the world in front of you is simple; As long as you pay attention to it, your feelings of inadequacy will increase. You will become convinced that you are helpless, and nothing you can possibly do will have any affect. This will include feeling that you can not even control your own life, and so you will stop trying and let outside forces make your decisions for you. Nothing could be further from the truth.

You control your life. You must surrender that control for the benefit of the elite and their minions before they can benefit from your labor. The single largest part of control of your life is self-education. That is the purpose of thinking. When you stop learning, it is because you have stopped thinking. Look at most of the people around you and you will understand exactly what I mean.

The Know Your Customer is the G8 program for policing the world:

The “G8” (formally the “G7”) is a group of leaders from the major industrialized countries who meet regularly to develop international policy in conformity with United Nations Treaties. These leaders periodically issue policy directives that each member country is bound to comply with. One of the “cornerstones” of the G8’s global governance policies is the “Know Your Customer” program which is their “solution” to money laundering and crime in general. They see the international KYC program as a method to control and combat trafficking in illicit drugs, illegal weapons transfers, official corruption, and “trafficking in human beings.”

Wherever you see reference to the “Recommendations,” or the “forty Recommendations,” it is referring to a particular list of international recommendations agreed upon for each member country to comply with. The Know Your Customer program is referred to as the “cornerstone” of the Recommendations. I have

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the Recommendations posted on the FtF web page. hyperlink <http://www.networkusa.org/fingerprint/page1b/FP-40-recommendations.htm> <http://www.networkusa.org/fingerprint/page1b/FP-40-recommendations.htm>

You must read the following in order to understand where KYC is coming from and the ultimate purpose for it's implementation - global control. You must "read between the lines" on each and every statement made below to understand the G8 plan for global government. You must understand the underlying meaning in almost every word. Scott

DRUGS AND INTERNATIONAL CRIME

THE BIRMINGHAM SUMMIT: FINAL COMMUNIQUE - Sunday 17 May 1998

1. We, the Heads of State or Government of eight major industrialized democracies and the President of the European Commission, met in Birmingham to discuss issues affecting people in our own and other countries. In a world of increasing globalization we are ever more interdependent. Our challenge is to build on and sustain the process of globalization and to ensure that its benefits are spread more widely to improve the quality of life of people everywhere. We must also ensure that our institutions and structures keep pace with the rapid technological and economic changes under way in the world.

2. Of the major challenges facing the world on the threshold of the 21st Century, this Summit has focused on three:

To achieving sustainable economic growth and development throughout the world in a way which, while safeguarding the environment and promoting good governance, will enable developing countries to grow faster and reduce poverty, restore growth to emerging Asian economies, and sustain the liberalization of trade in goods and services and of investment in a stable international economy;

To building lasting growth in our own economies in which all can participate, creating jobs and combating social exclusion;

To tackling drugs and transnational crime which threaten to sap this growth, undermine the rule of law and damage the lives of individuals in all countries of the world. Our aim in each case has been to agree concrete actions to tackle these challenges.

COMBATING DRUGS AND INTERNATIONAL CRIME

18. Globalization has been accompanied by a dramatic increase in transnational crime. This takes many forms, including trafficking in drugs and weapons; smuggling of human beings; the abuse of new technologies to steal, defraud and evade the law; and the laundering of the proceeds of crime.

19. Such crimes pose a threat not only to our own citizens and their communities, through lives blighted by drugs and societies living in fear of organized crime; but also a global threat which can undermine the democratic and economic

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

basis of societies through the investment of illegal money by international cartels, corruption, a weakening of institutions and a loss of confidence in the rule of law.

20. To fight this threat, international cooperation is indispensable. We ourselves, particularly since the Lyon summit in 1996, have sought ways to improve that cooperation. Much has already been achieved. We acknowledge the work being done in the UN, the EU and by other regional groupings. We welcome the steps undertaken by the G8 Lyon Group to implement its 40 Recommendations on transnational organized crime and the proposals G8 Justice and Interior Ministers announced at their meeting in Washington last December. By working together, our countries are helping each other catch criminals and break up cartels. But more needs to be done. There must be no safe havens either for criminals or for their money.

21. We have therefore agreed a number of further actions to tackle this threat more effectively:

We fully support efforts to negotiate within the next two years an effective United Nations convention against transnational organized crime that will provide our law enforcement authorities with the additional tools they need.

We agree to implement rapidly the ten principles and ten point action plan agreed by our Ministers on high tech crime. We call for close cooperation with industry to reach agreement on a legal framework for obtaining, presenting and preserving electronic data as evidence, while maintaining appropriate privacy protection, and agreements on sharing evidence of those crimes with international partners. This will help us combat a wide range of crime, including abuse of the internet and other new technologies.

We welcomed the FATF decision to continue and enlarge its work to combat money-laundering in partnership with regional groupings. We place special emphasis on the issues of money laundering and financial crime, including issues raised by offshore financial centers. We welcome the proposal to hold in Moscow in 1999 a Ministerial meeting on combating transnational crime. We agreed to establish Financial Intelligence Units (FIUs) where we do not already have them, in line with our national constitutions and legal systems, to collect and analyze information on those engaged in money laundering and liaise with the equivalent agencies in partner countries. We agreed on principles and the need for adequate legislation to facilitate asset confiscation from convicted criminals, including ways to help each other trace, freeze and confiscate those assets, and where possible, in accordance with national legislation, share seized assets with other nations.

We agree on the need to explore ways of combating official corruption arising from the large flows of criminal money.

We are deeply concerned by all forms of trafficking of human beings in-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

cluding the smuggling of migrants. We agreed to joint action to combat trafficking in women and children, including efforts to prevent such crimes, protect victims and prosecute the traffickers. We commit ourselves to develop a multidisciplinary and comprehensive strategy, including principles and an action plan for future cooperation amongst ourselves and with third countries, including countries of origin, transit and destination, to tackle this problem. We consider the future comprehensive UN organized crime convention an important instrument for this purpose.

We endorse joint law enforcement action against organized crime and welcome the cooperation between competent agencies in tackling criminal networks. We agree to pursue further action, particularly in dealing with major smuggling routes and targeting specific forms of financial fraud.

We endorse the Lyon Group's principles and action plan to combat illegal manufacturing and trafficking of firearms. We welcome its agreement to work towards the elaboration of a binding international legal instrument in the context of the UN transnational organized crime convention.

The origins of the present Group of Eight (G8) leading industrialized democracies lie in the Economic Summit convened by President Valery Giscard d'Estaing of France at Rambouillet in November 1975. President Giscard and Chancellor Schmidt of Germany, themselves both former Finance Ministers, were keen to establish an informal forum to discuss world economic issues, building on the 'Library Group' of Finance Ministers from Britain, France, Germany, Japan and the US (named after their meetings in the White House library), which operated during the early 1970s. This original 'Group of Five' were joined by Italy, Canada and the President of the European Commission in 1976-77. This configuration became known as the Group of Seven (G7).

Here is a lesson; it is THEIR credit. Whatever they want to install as controls of and for that credit is their business, and you can not argue any other way successfully. All of the necessary treaties are already in place for the type of control talked about above. Now that you are hearing about these controls simply means that all of the work is done and it is time to initiate action. It is far to late to complain. Besides, IT'S THEIR CREDIT!

Understanding this is also important in understanding the so-called income tax. (I have an excellent brief on this available separately if you wish to see it. - David) You can not argue the income tax under the Constitution, because the Constitution has no bearing on the subject. It is a tax which is contracted for, and you must argue on that basis. Also, to avoid it, you must use their laws, which are designed to do just that.

To give you a further idea of just how impossible it is to change the world, the following is offered.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

This is, indeed, quite an interesting read, albeit lengthy. You must type or paste in the entire URL, through and including the words "Open Document" to bring up the page. ICE From: Freedom <Roamer@gbso.net>

One document entitled :

Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court, 17 July, 1998, caught my eye. Due to the intricate wording of this treaty, and due to the fact that I am not an attorney, I realize the importance of many things in here might escape my attention. Just from a cursory browse through it, however, as that is all I have had time for so far, there appears here to be sufficient proof for all to see that the implications of One World Government in the future coming to pass are now no longer able to be denied. I pass this on to you in hopes that either you, or people whom you deem more able can break this treaty down into more understandable pieces that we all can understand. I realize that from a Christian perspective this news is not surprising. However, now is no better time to fall to ones knees and ask for the wisdom with which to make the all important everyday decisions that would cause those around us to be fully aware of whom we serve.....

Please print, study and share this outstanding research with as many of your friends as possible. We are all thankful to John Prukop for this report. A true American Patriot! This report is the result of many current postings about Why Waco? Please go to the Message Board and review this information. Soon to be added is the information about Paul Wilshire and his report to Gen. Janet Reno "The Truth Behind Waco". Mr. Prukop helped APFN in the distribution of this report. In the first page of this report Mr. Wilshire makes the statement "The information in this report could get me killed". It did! In just over a month of giving this report to Gen. Reno, Mr. Wilshire was found dead in his Apt. by Washington Reporter Sarah McClendon. Mr. Wilshire was also representing covert agent Gunther Russbacher in what is called "The October Surprise Investigation". Rayelan Russbacher has just filed an E-mail with APFN on this matter. At the time APFN contacted APFN (FAX NETWORK) to help with a 10x10x10 matrix to copy and network "The Truth Behind Waco" report. I provided a copy of the report to the U.S. House Judiciary Committee during the Waco hearings. It was never discussed or reviewed in the final report. Mr. Wilshire's death has never been investigated, all his records and files were taken and no one has received any further information. On several interviews I have heard Sarah McClendon on she has never answered any hard questions about the report. If anyone has any further information on this matter please feel free to post to APFN message board or send to APFN@nebox.com and we will spread the word.

Subject: "Secretary of Treasury" A.K.A. "Governor" of The Fund and The Bank

WARNING: 2nd AMENDMENT WILL BE GONE BY JUNE 99...

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

In a message DoTHB forwarded a message in which Devvykidd writes:

“Americans have the right to defend their family, their life and we know how to do it. We will do it if that’s what it comes down to - that’s what the Second Amendment is all about. You know it, I know it and the bad guys know it.”Devvy Kidd

As an individual who has run for Congress (and is acquainted with several of my own acquaintances) Devvy Kidd obviously knows the jeopardy in which the Constitution (and particularly the 2nd Amendment) is in. What you may not know at this moment is that the Justice Department is on a timeline that mandates the abrogation of the 2nd Amendment by next June.

In writing my latest book, I picked up several key information “sources” within the Clinton Administration. One of them is a terrorist specialist in the Justice Department. He and a high ranking department head in the National Institute of Health have provided me with a good deal of information concerning the National ID Card (which Lamar Smith lied to me about in February, 1997) and the abolishment of the 2nd Amendment no later than June, 1999. The Justice Department source, while actively supplying me with information a few months ago, has now become nothing more than my personal “Deepthroat.” He will no longer supply new information, but will only confirm or deny information I manage to pick up elsewhere.

Two of the posts I sent out containing information he supplied were attached to a memo circulated through the Justice Dept asking for information about who could have leaked the data to an author.

It should be obvious to just about anyone today that the Y2K problem is a manufactured problem. First, no one knows what’s going to happen. If you think about it, it is easy to figure out. You have included all of the elements. The introduction of the Euro is the first step to creating a universal global currency. As you likely know, when Jimmy Carter attempted to have GATT ratified (it had already failed in 1974), the government printing office had already printed thousands of new “dollars,” believing that global governance would be achieved during his term.

The problem with creating a global currency has always been the United States. To create a global currency all that is required is a global panic which collapses not only the economies of the industrialized nations, but their currencies as well. The problem for the globalists has never been its desire or determination to do it, but in creating a catalyst that could not be blamed directly on them.

When J.P. Morgan instigated the Panic of 1908, it came back to his doorstep almost overnight. When the bankers manipulated the stock market throughout the 1920s and then collapsed the market and the economy in 1929, a good many intelligent writers correctly laid the blame on their doorsteps. This time the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

globalists have something else to blame - a computer chip.

They will be blameless this time. The collapse will be blamed on computers that see 2000 as 1900, and through no fault of their own, computers will shut down the economy. There are a few factors that will have great bearing on the severity of the problem: The crisis is being cleverly managed, and the media is doing its part to convince the American people that they will be faced with the loss of electricity, water, gas; and they can expect problems withdrawing funds from banks, etc.

And, of course, those things will happen. Because the crisis will be so severe, the government believes there will be a dramatic increase in crime, so they are also preparing for that contingency as well. (Isn't it nice that our government is so concerned about our welfare?)

To prepare for the crisis, Clinton wrote PDD-39 (which was immediately declared a top secret). As you know, PDD-39 creates a new "local" police force out of FEMA. For the better part of 1998, FEMA "agents" have been receiving small arms training, and now spend more time on the pistol ranges than either the FBI or the BATF.

Of course, since there are so many "radicals" around, Big Brother also realizes that FEMA, the FBI and the BATF may not be enough - even with the American military. Fortunately (according to my Justice Dept source who was responsible for their routing to U.S. military bases) there is not a shortage of UN troops. For the past year they have been coming into the United States in small numbers. They arrive at various military installations. The minute they pass through the front gates of those bases, they simply disappear. And, that is true also of vast amounts of weapons and munitions.

My source routed a trainload of small arms and munitions to one western base. Before the train arrived, it was diverted... and vanished. When he tried to track it, he was told by his supervisor in the Justice Dept that it was none of his business.

(1) the introduction of the Euro-dollar January 1, 1999,

(2) the government's progress,

(3) how prepared the American people are and the people of the United States, regardless of how prepared they think they are, are not prepared for what is planned. Stocking up on food packets and bottled water will not prepare them for what is coming no later than next June.

(4) the behind the scenes machinations of the world bankers.

Here is the real culprit behind Y2K. From documents I picked up for my latest book, *Whatever Happened To America?*, that include confidential White House memos and Clinton documents that were buried in the National Archives, the timeline of world government has been chronicled.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

[Devvy], I know when you were running for Congress, you followed this fairly close. I don't know how much access you have at this time.

What should you know about the problem? The obvious first is that it is going to happen and the world has waited too long to fix the problem. As you state in this posting, the problem, Y2K can be solved with a Band-Aid until such time as all of the nation's mainframes can be updated by simply manually keying the year 2000 into those systems that have not been updated. This would solve the Y2K problem temporarily with only a minor interruption.

The second is that you are probably going to have your life disturbed to some degree and that degree will depend on you and those in your community. In reality, Devvy, it will have nothing to do with any community. The power that is creating the problem requires the problem. Just as that invisible power behind government caused the illegal and fraudulent ratification of both the 16th and 17th Amendments - and the creation of its own central bank in the United States, it has selected not only every President who has sat in the White House since 1912 (at least) - and, it determines precisely what issues will be debated during those election campaigns. Their power extends beyond the elected officials now, and goes directly into the U.S. Supreme Court.

It was because of a fear of overpopulation and a demand from the Rockefeller Foundation that abortion be legalized that the Supreme Court ruled on January 22, 1973 that a woman had a right to an abortion. Unknown to you, but on file in Box 1748 in the National Archives are three Supreme Court decisions which have not yet been rendered because the cases have not yet been filed. The first decision will state, when the government attempts to gain access to the medical databases at State level that "...accessing medical databases does not require a medical license."

This decision, based on the passage of Hillary Clinton's Health Security Act of 1994, was to occur between 1996-98. The second decision which was to grant the government co-ownership of all medical databases was to occur between 1998-2000. And, finally, between 2002-04, the Supreme Court was to rule that all medical databases in the United States will be surrendered to the UN which will become the custodian of all information databases in the world.

Attached to these decisions was the itinerary the government was planning to use to control the free movement of the population of the United States. One thing I would like to stress and that is this: Just remember one thing - those people - patriots or sheep - who will be adversely impacted are also the people who can fix the problem.

Devvy, I am of the opinion that if we are to alter the timeline of the globalists, we need to do it now...or it will be too late. I am not certain exactly what the government has planned for June, 1999 but it is "last resort" act if the current plan to

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

incite a revolt in North Carolina (or Tennessee, etc.) with the militia groups they are doing everything to incite fails. My "source" will not tell me what is planned (he may not even know), but the "plans" he is involved with apparently center on deployments after the incident occurs.

He did tell me that there is a Delta Force team that is "practicing" for a June, 1999 mission which suggest that if the militia groups like the 91st Brigade do not start shooting federal agents, it is likely that the government (in pure Hitler fashion) will use government troops to counterfeit one (like Hitler's burning of the Reichstag in 1933.)

I have been following your writings for some time, and have been greatly impressed with your assessment of the problems this country is facing. Devvy, too bad you failed in your bid to get elected. In fact, I was impressed enough with your determination to fix America that you are one of twelve patriotic Americans to whom I dedicated my new book.

At this time, I see your posts only because I have been fortunate enough to have them forwarded to me by Dot Bibee. Would appreciate it if you would include me on your primary mail list. [hyperlink mailto:BAFFauthor@aol.com](mailto:BAFFauthor@aol.com)
BAFFauthor@aol.com Jon Christian Ryter

[MORE INFO. Jon is talking about the Delta Force - and that is the H.R.T. Squad that is in Andrews, NC right now. They are training hundreds of men - even though they only have a hundred or two there at a time because they rotate them every two weeks. They have been in the mountains since July. THINK!!! Stay Alert! ...Dot Bibee]

How much of the above is absolutely true and correct? I do not know, but I do know Devvy Kidd (her husband is a retired high ranking military officer), and it has been confirmed to me that there is enough truth in the message to cause anyone to initiate preparations for survival. Note the word; survival.

IF WE COULD FACE OUR FEARS

We have a crisis in our country today. The crisis I speak about is not the presidential impeachment hearings. It is not the recent rise in hate crimes. It is not the dangers our children face each day as moms and dads across America drop them off at public schools. It isn't the religious persecutions around the world that seem to be untouchable by the separation of church and state that has been planted in our way of life for the past 30 years. It isn't the crowding of prisons due to victimless crimes; nor is it the rising numbers of deadbeat parents. It isn't the breakup of the family, or the lack of role models in the land.

The crisis isn't campaign finance, or the outrageous amount of taxes we pay each year. It isn't nuclear warheads pointed at different countries around the world; nor is it the rapid destruction of rain forests. It isn't global warming, natural disasters, man-made pollution, or endangered species. It isn't terrorism, war, or sla-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

very. It isn't the federal reserve, international moneychangers, or even welfare reform. It isn't illiteracy, disabled persons, or unemployment. It is not the economy, stupid.

The crisis we are facing in this country is FEAR. It is knowing that something is wrong and refusing to rock the boat for fear of being labeled a dissident.

I believe that it was Winston Churchill that stated, "The only thing men have to fear is fear itself." Today we have come to understand the meaning of those words all too clearly.

Too many times men and women change the subject rather than face controversial issues. Too many times we tend to give our children the benefit of the doubt rather than offer them advice whether they need it or not. Too many times we defend our public officials simply because we voted for them, rather than hold them accountable to do the job we voted for them to do.

For too long we have allowed the government access to our pocket-books without saying a word for fear of being labeled a tax-evader. We fear speaking up for our right for fear of the very people who swore to protect those rights. We fear police who harass and intimidate rather than serve and protect.

We fear arrest at our places of business for failure to I-D eighteen-year-olds who are allowed to die for their country without parental consent but are not allowed to decide whether or not they are responsible enough to drink a beer. We fear arrest for sexual harassment if we ask someone out on a date more than once. We fear being labeled racist if we promote someone of the wrong color, regardless of his or her ability. We fear being labeled stalkers, kooks, or fanatics if we attempt to hold our public officials accountable. We fear being politically incorrect when we speak at a public forum.

Yet we don't realize that the chains of slavery are fastened by FEAR. It is fear that keeps man from actively pursuing his natural tendencies regarding life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. When we fear we are more inclined to accept 2nd best, rather than strive to have the best at any cost.

There was a time when our heroes were admired for the lack of fear they seemed to show. Today, I am ashamed to say societal stature rather than their dissident opinions measure our heroes.

My heroes are still those of the past who uttered such words as "Give me liberty or give me death" or "They that can give up essential liberty to obtain a little temporary safety deserve neither liberty nor safety."

If only we could think like those men and have the courage to draw that line in the sand and stand up for our beliefs and control over our own lives as they did. Maybe then we would be able to tip our hats in appreciation of the officer on the streets without fear. We could call our public officials and tell them "THANK YOU" rather than complain. We could say to our children, "Stand up straight. Show re-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

spect. THAT is the president of the United States of America coming.”

If we could just have the courage to face our fears and look them in the eye and say, “I’m not backing down. No retreat, no surrender,” we might then be able to see a glimmer of hope that our children and our grandchildren will not have to wear the chains of slavery our government has forced upon us in the name of Peace.

While I certainly do not agree with the entire message, the basis is true. Just as we are told in scripture to have no fear, the same is true today. I stopped paying the beast long ago because I knew he was harming my nation and my people. I then prayed for knowledge and guidance. The knowledge was there, and all I had to do was ask. Since then, I have come to understand how powerful it is to seek knowledge, and to ask Him to supply what you need. I have access to more real knowledge now that I need to learn than was ever conceivable by me before asking.

Inhabitant of the Conquered lands wrote: Qualified Taxes The State Agreement

The Legislative authority of the United State Congress is To exercise exclusive Legislation in all Cases whatsoever, over such District (Article 1, section 17), and to the Territory or other Property to the United States: (Article IV, section 3, clause 2).

The Congress of the United State under its legislative authority passed Public Law 587 on July 17, 1952; 66 Statute Chapter 940, page 765. An act (Senate bill 1999) Relating to the withholding for State income Tax purposes, on the compensation of Federal Employees.

This Act was codified in the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. The implementing regulations are found in Title 26 CFR part 301.6365, 301.6362, 301.6361, under what is known as the qualified taxes. This program is applicable only to the Federal District.

The program requires returns based upon a W-4, or 1099. The amazing piece of the puzzle is the definition of the State and Governor. The second part of this puzzle is found in the intentional use of the Annotated code and revised Statutes of the States party to the Constitution to create the fiction of law wherein the State is defined as the District of Columbia.

When you read through the revised statutes, and/or the Annotated Code of this State, you will find the statutory recognition of this State being an insular possession, or Territory of the United States, or the District of Columbia.†

In the Annotated Code of the General Public Laws of Maryland, the State is defined in Tax Property, Title I, DEFINITIONS, GENERAL PROVISIONS., Sec. 1-101. Definitions (u) State:

State means:

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

- (1) a state, possession, territory, or commonwealth of the United States; or
- (2) the District of Columbia.

The tax imposed upon wages is recognized as a qualified tax program upon income by the State. This State, is the District of Columbia. The question then, is how can the wage tax, which is the qualified tax be administered outside the geographical State, which is the District of Columbia?

Simple, by the election of the Governor.

The concept that the Governor may elect to establish this State to be a withholding agent on behalf of the United States applies to a limited and delineated jurisdiction. In fact the Governor in Question is defined as the Mayor of Washington, D.C.

Code of Federal Regulations Internal Revenue Title 26

301.6365.1 Definitions.

(a) State. For purposes of subchapter E and the regulations thereunder, the term State shall include the District of Columbia, but shall not include the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico or any possession of the United States.

(b) Governor. For purposes of subchapter E and the regulations thereunder, the Term Governor shall include the Mayor of the District of Columbia. [T.D. 7577, 43 FR 59375, Dec. 20, 1978]

What needs to be uncovered is this written statement of election submitted by the Governor. The Constitutions of the State's party to the Union, do not authorize officers of the Public Trust to surrender the Sovereignty of the People, or the State to a foreign principal.

The State agreement is based upon the statutory fact of a qualified State individual income tax program for the District of Columbia.

The State agreement would be prima facie proof that the Chief Executive Officer of this State does not know geography. Furthermore the application of the State agreement can only be applicable to employees of the State known as the District of Columbia.

Confused, you should be at this point. The wage tax collected by the withholding agent at the source of income is apparently a deliberate misapplication of the law. What can be done? Apparently the Courts of the United States, and of this State care nothing for the law. Or could it be the Judges cannot read?

One thing can be done. A Freedom of Information Act requesting that the Governor provide the documents made by the office in connection with the transaction of public business with regards to the election known as the State Agreement.î

The importance of exposing this State Agreement would be absolute proof of the existence of this Federally controlled State.î Furthermore, this would be

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

evidence that the income tax is the qualified tax which is an imposition upon persons in the service of the District of Columbia as State employees.

Code of Federal Regulations Internal Revenue Title 26

301.6361.1 Collection and administration of qualified taxes (in part)

(a) In general. In the case of any State which has in effect a State agreement (as defined in paragraph (a) of 301.6361-4) the Commissioner of Internal Revenue shall collect and administer each qualified tax (as defined in paragraph (b) of 301.6361-4) of such State. No fee or other charges shall be imposed upon any State for the collection or administration of any qualified tax of such state or any other State. In any such case of collection and administration of qualified taxes, the provision of subtitle F (relating to procedure and administration), subtitle G (relating to the Joint committee on Taxation), and chapter 24 (relating to the collection of income taxes at source on wages), and the provisions of regulations thereunder, insofar as such provisions relate to the collection and administration of the taxes imposed on income of individuals by chapter 1 (and the civil and criminal sanctions provided by subtitle F, or by Title 18 of the United States code (relating to crimes and criminal procedure), with respect to such collection and administration) shall apply to the collection and administration of qualified taxes as if such taxes were imposed by chapter 1, except to the extent that the application of such provisions (and sanctions) are modified by regulations issued under subchapter E (as defined in paragraph (d) of 301.6361-4). Any extension of time which is granted for the making of payment, or for the filing of any return, which relates to any Federal tax imposed by subtitle A (or by subtitle C with respect to filing a return) shall constitute automatically an extension of the same amount of time for making of the corresponding payment or for the filing of corresponding return relating to any qualified tax.

(b) Returns of qualified taxes. Every individual, estate, or trust which has liability for one or more qualified taxes for a taxable year-

(1) Shall file a Federal income tax return at the time prescribed pursuant to section 6072(a) (whether or not such return is required by section (6012), and shall file therewith on the prescribed form a return under penalties of perjury for each tax which is-

(i) A qualified resident tax imposed by a State of which the taxpayer was a resident, as defined in 301.6362-6, for any part of the taxable year;

(ii) A qualified nonresident tax imposed by a State within which was located the source or sources from which the taxpayer derived, while not a resident of such State and while not exempt from liability for the tax by resident of such State and while not exempt from liability for the tax by reason of a reciprocal agreement between such State and the State of which he is a resident, 25 percent or more of his aggregate wage and other business income as defined in paragraph

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

(c) of 301.6362-5, for the taxable year; or

(iii) A qualified resident or non resident tax with respect to which any amount was currently collected from the taxpayer's income (including collection by withholding on wages or by payment of estimated income tax), as provided in paragraph (f) of 301.6362-6, for any part of the taxable year; and

(2) Shall declare (in addition to the declaration required with respect to the return of the Federal income tax and in the place and manner prescribed by form or instruction thereto) under penalties of perjury that, to the best of the knowledge and belief of the taxpayer (or, in case of an estate or trust, of the fiduciary who executes the Federal income tax return), he has no liability for any qualified tax for the taxable year other than any such liabilities returned with the Federal income tax return (pursuant to subparagraph (1) of this paragraph (b)). Such declaration shall constitute a return indicating no liability with respect to each qualified tax other than any such tax for which liability is so returned.

(T.D. 7577, 43 F.R. 59365, Dec 20, 1978)

301.6361-4 Definitions.

For purposes of the regulations in this part under subchapter E of chapter 64 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to collection and administration of State individual income taxes-

(a) State agreement. The term State agreement means an agreement between a State and the Federal Government which was entered into pursuant to section 6363 and the regulations thereunder, and which provides for the Federal collection and administration of the qualified tax or taxes of that State.

(b) Qualified tax. The term qualified tax means a tax which is a qualified State individual income tax, as defined in section 6362 (including subsection (f)(1) thereof, which requires that a State agreement be in effect) and the regulations thereunder.

(c) Chapter and subtitle. References in regulations in this part under subchapter E to chapters and subtitle are to chapters and subtitle of the internal Revenue Code of 1954, unless otherwise indicated.

(d) Subchapter E. The term subchapter E means subchapter E of chapter 64 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, relating to collections and administration of State individual income taxes as amended from time to time.

(T.D. 7577, 43 F.R. 59365, Dec 20, 1978)

What appears is a constructive misapplication of the qualified tax. This program of income taxation is based not upon Title 26, of the United States Code, but upon the Internal Revenue Code of 1954.

What is hard to understand, let alone tolerate is the blatant willfulness of the Officers of the Public Trust to willingly, knowingly, and intentionally deprive Ameri-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

cans of the fruits of their labor, their property under not the color of law, but a constructive fraud in the apparent misapplication of rules, regulations, and ordinances.

301.6362-1 Types of qualified tax.

(a) In general. A qualified tax may be either a qualified resident tax within the meaning of paragraph (b) of this section , or a qualified nonresident tax within the meaning of paragraph (c) of this section.

(b) Qualified resident tax. A tax imposed by a State on the income of individuals, estates, and trusts which are residents of such State within the meaning of section 6362(e) and 301.6362-6 shall be a qualified resident tax if it is either.

(1) A tax based on Federal taxable income which meets the requirements of section 6362 (b), (e) and (f), and of 301.6362-2, 301.6362-6, and 301.6362-7; or

(2) A tax which is a percentage of the Federal tax and which meets the requirements of section 6362 (c), (e), and (f), and of 301.6362-3, 301.6362-6, and 301.6362-7.

(c) Qualified nonresident tax. A tax imposed by a State on the wage and other business income of individuals who are not residents of such State within the meaning of section 6362(e)(1) a qualified nonresident tax if it meets the requirements of section 6362(d), (e), and (f), and of 301.6362-5, 301.6362-6, and 301.6362-7.

[T.D. 7577, 43 FR 59366, Dec. 20, 1979]

The income tax is a program, as described, and is limited to, and inclusive of the employee in service of the State, which is the District of Columbia. Simply amazing.

In early 1997, we secured the agreement between the chairman of the Oklahoma Tax Commission and the Commissioner of Internal Revenue for Oklahoma, then people in several other States secured corresponding agreements. The fraud extends to each of the several States through these agreements; Geri Powers broke through on research leading to this discovery. However, the agreements are fraud for another reason: IRS is not the “delegate” of the Secretary so far as the several States are concerned, so is not authorized to enter these agreements.

The key to understanding this is in definitions of “delegate” at 26 U.S.C. 7701(a)(12), rules and regulations at 26 U.S.C. 7805. Note in 7805(a) that, “Except where such authority is expressly given by this title to any person other than an officer or employee of the Treasury Department, the Secretary shall prescribe all needful rules and regulations for the enforcement of this title...” IRS is not an agency of the “Treasury Department”.

The definition of “delegate” at 7701(a)(12)(A) provides that, “The term ‘or his delegate’ ..,” means the Treasury Department or any other official of the United States. Then 7701(a)(12)(B) stipulates that, “Performance of certain functions in

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Guam or American Samoa..,” in relation to chapters 1, 2, and 21 of the Code, “also includes any officer or employee of any other department or agency of the United States, or of any possession thereof, duly authorized by the Secretary ... to perform such functions.”

IRS is successor of the Bureau of Internal Revenue, Puerto Rico. IRS operates under T.D.O. #150-42 (1956), as amended by T.D.O. #150-01 (1986), only in insular possessions of the United States, and for some purposes, the District of Columbia.

The General Accounting Office is general agency of the Treasury, which is independent of the Secretary of the Treasury, and GAO is responsible for determining all obligations of and to the United States. See notes following 5 U.S.C. 5512, and the referenced cites in the Statutes at Large. Agreements for collection of State-qualified tax, where they exist, must be through the Office of Personnel Management, or withholding agents of the various Federal entities; see 5 U.S.C. 5512 through about 5520, to figure it out. I haven't had time to follow the regulations, etc., for particulars.

To further this line, by consulting definitions in virtually all “uniform acts” adopted (not enacted) by legislatures of the several States, you will find that definitions of all of them apply to D.C. & insular possessions of the United States, not the several States. Among the more significant, Codes of civil & criminal procedure, and the Uniform Commercial Code. In a manner of speaking, they are uniformly bogus. These uniform acts provide wheels for Cooperative Federalism, and they are supplemented by administrative agreements such as the fraudulent agreement between State tax commissions and the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

But the problem for the state bozos is that the only real power to tax that they have is through the federal government, and if they stop, they shut off the golden goose. Elsewhere in these papers I have shown some of the work by several men on finding out where the real money is being hidden by these people, and the vast amounts of money that they now control while continuing to tax the people. Of course, it is very necessary to continue to tax as heavily as possible, because that is the only way you confiscate enough wealth to prevent an uprising from occurring, whether it is done with ballots or guns.

WORLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONFERENCE and the MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS FOUNDATION F.D.A. ISSUING FOR COLLUSION WITH MONSANTO

I have spent several days lecturing at the WORLD ENVIRONMENTAL CONFERENCE on “ASPARTAME marketed as ‘NutraSweet’, ‘Equal’, and ‘Spoonful’”. In the keynote address by the EPA, they announced that there was an epidemic of multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus, and they did not understand what toxin was causing this to be rampant across the United States. I explained that I was

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

there to lecture on exactly that subject.

When the temperature of Aspartame exceeds 86 degrees F, the wood alcohol in ASPARTAME coverts to formaldehyde and then to formic acid, which in turn causes metabolic acidosis. (Formic acid is the poison found in the sting of fire ants). The methanol toxicity mimics multiple sclerosis; thus people were being diagnosed with having multiple sclerosis in error. The multiple sclerosis is not a death sentence, where methanol toxicity is.

In the case of systemic lupus, we are finding it has become almost as rampant as multiple sclerosis, especially Diet Coke and Diet Pepsi drinkers. Also, with methanol toxicity, the victims usually drink three to four 12 oz. Cans of them per day, some even more. In the cases of systemic lupus, which is triggered by ASPARTAME, the victim usually does not know that the aspartame is the culprit. The victim continues its use aggravating the lupus to such a degree, that sometimes it becomes life threatening. When we get people off the aspartame, those with systemic lupus usually become asymptomatic. Unfortunately, we can not reverse this disease.

On the other hand, in the case of those diagnosed with Multiple Sclerosis, (when in reality, the disease is methanol toxicity), most of the symptoms disappear. We have seen cases where their vision has returned and even their hearing has returned. This also applies to cases of tinnitus.

During a lecture I said "If you are using ASPARTAME (NutraSweet, Equal, Spoonful, etc.) and you suffer from fibromyalgia symptoms, spasms, shooting pains, numbness in your legs, cramps, vertigo, dizziness, headaches, tinnitus, joint pain, depression, anxiety attacks, slurred speech, blurred vision, or memory loss — you probably have ASPARTAME DISEASE!" People were jumping up during the lecture saying, "I've got this, is it reversible?" It is rampant. Some of the speakers at my lecture even were suffering from these symptoms. In one lecture attended by the Ambassador of Uganda, he told us that their sugar industry is adding aspartame! He continued by saying that one of the industry leader's sons could no longer walk - due in part by product usage! (Remember what I said about they do it to themselves? - David)

We have a very serious problem. Even a stranger came up to Dr. Espisto (one of my speakers) and myself and said, "Could you tell me why so many people seem to be coming down with MS?" During a visit to a hospice, a nurse said that six of her friends, who were heavy Diet Coke addicts, had all been diagnosed with MS. This is beyond coincidence.

Here is the problem. There were Congressional Hearings when aspartame was included in 100 different products. Since this initial hearing, there have been two subsequent hearings, but to no avail. Nothing has been done. The drug and chemical lobbies have very deep pockets. Now there are over 5,000 products

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

containing this chemical, and the PATENT HAS EXPIRED!!!!

At the time of this first hearing, people were going blind. The methanol in the aspartame converts to formaldehyde in the retina of the eye. Formaldehyde is grouped in the same class of drugs as cyanide and arsenic—DEADLY POISONS! Unfortunately, it just takes longer to quietly kill, but it is killing people and causing all kinds of neurological problems.

Aspartame changes the brain's chemistry. It is the reason for severe seizures. This drug changes the dopamine level in the brain. Imagine what this drug does to patients suffering from Parkinson's Disease. This drug also causes Birth Defects.

There is absolutely no reason to take this product. It is NOT A DIET PRODUCT! The Congressional record said, "It makes you crave carbohydrates and will make you FAT". Dr. Roberts stated that when he got patients off aspartame, their average weight loss was 19 pounds per person. The formaldehyde stores in the fat cells, particularly in the hips and thighs.

Aspartame is especially deadly for diabetics. All physicians know what wood alcohol will do to a diabetic. We find that physicians believe that they have patients with retinopathy, when in fact, it is caused by the aspartame. The aspartame keeps the blood sugar level out of control, causing many patients to go into a coma. Unfortunately, many have died. People were telling us at the Conference of the American College of Physicians, that they had relatives that switched from saccharin to an aspartame product and how that relative had eventually gone into a coma. Their physicians could not get the blood sugar levels under control. Thus, the patients suffered acute memory loss and eventually coma and death.

Memory loss is due to the fact that aspartic acid and phenylalanine are neurotoxic without the other amino acids found in protein. Thus it goes past the blood brain barrier and deteriorates the neurons of the brain. Dr. Russell Blaylock, neurosurgeon, said, "The ingredients stimulates the neurons of the brain to death, causing brain damage of varying degrees. Dr. Blaylock has written a book entitled "EXCITOTOXINS: THE TASTE THAT KILLS" (Health Press 1-800-643-2665). Dr. H.J. Roberts, diabetic specialist and world expert on aspartame poisoning, has also written a book entitled "DEFENSE AGAINST ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE" (1-800-814-9800). Dr. Roberts tells how aspartame poisoning is escalating Alzheimer's Disease, and indeed it is. As the hospice nurse told me, women are being admitted at 30 years of age with Alzheimer's Disease. Dr. Blaylock and Dr. Roberts will be writing a position paper with some case histories and will post it on the Internet. According to the Conference of the American College of Physicians, "We are talking about a plague of neurological diseases caused by this deadly poison".

Dr. Roberts realized what was happening when aspartame was first marketed. He said "his diabetic patients presented memory loss, confusion, and se-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

vere vision loss". At the Conference of the American College of Physicians, doctors admitted that they did not know. They had wondered why seizures were rampant (the phenylalanine in aspartame breaks down the seizure threshold and depletes serotonin, which causes manic depression, panic attacks, rage and violence).

Just before the Conference, I received a FAX from Norway, asking for a possible antidote for this poison because they are experiencing so many problems in their country. This "poison" is now available in 90 PLUS countries worldwide. Fortunately, we had speakers and ambassadors at the Conference from different nations who have pledged their help. We ask that you help too. Print this article out and warn everyone you know. Take anything that contains aspartame back to the store. Take the "NO ASPARTAME TEST" and send us your case history.

I assure you that MONSANTO, the creator of aspartame, knows how deadly it is. They fund the American Diabetes Association, American Dietetic Association, Congress, and the Conference of the American College of Physicians. The New York Times, on November 15, 1996, ran an article on how the American Dietetic Association takes money from the food industry to endorse their products. Therefore, they can not criticize any additives or tell about their link to MONSANTO.

How bad is this? We told a mother who had a child on NutraSweet to get off the product. The child was having grand mal seizures every day. The mother called her physician, who called the ADA, who told the doctor not to take the child off the NutraSweet. We are still trying to convince the mother that the aspartame is causing the seizures. Every time we get someone off of aspartame, the seizures stop. If the baby dies, you know whose fault it is, and what we are up against. There are 92 documented symptoms of aspartame, from coma to death. The majority of them are all neurological, because the aspartame destroys the nervous system.

Aspartame Disease is partially the cause of what is behind some of the mystery of the Dessert Storm health problems. The burning tongue and other problems discussed in over 60 cases can be directly related to the consumption of an aspartame product. Several thousand pallets of diet drinks were shipped to the Dessert Storm troops. (Remember heat can liberate the methanol from the aspartame at 86 degrees F). Diet drinks sat in the 120 degree F. Arabian sun for weeks at a time on pallets. The service men and women drank them all day long. All of their symptoms are identical to aspartame poisoning. Dr. Roberts says "consuming aspartame at the time of conception can cause birth defects". The phenylalanine concentrates in the placenta, causing mental retardation, according to Dr. Louis Elsas, Pediatrician Professor - Genetics, at Emory University in his testimony before Congress.

In the original lab tests, animals developed brain tumors (phenylalanine breaks down into DXP, a brain tumor agent). When Dr. Espisto was lecturing on

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

aspartame, one physician in the audience, a neurosurgeon, said, “when they remove brain tumors, they have found high levels of aspartame in them”.

Stevia, a sweet food, NOT AN ADDITIVE, which helps in the metabolism of sugar, which would be ideal for diabetics, has now been approved as a dietary supplement by the F.D.A. For years, the F.D.A. has outlawed this sweet food because of their loyalty to MONSANTO.

If it says “SUGAR FREE” on the label— DO NOT EVEN THINK ABOUT IT!

Senator Howard Hetzenbaum wrote a bill that would have warned all infants, pregnant mothers and children of the dangers of aspartame. The bill would have also instituted independent studies on the problems existing in the population (seizures, changes in brain chemistry, changes in neurological and behavioral symptoms). It was killed by the powerful drug and chemical lobbies, letting loose the hounds of disease and death on an unsuspecting public. Since the Conference of the American College of Physicians, we hope to have the help of some world leaders. Again, please help us too. There are a lot of people out there who must be warned, please let them know this information.

Just think; In that “supermarket” where you buy your “food” there are over 5000 products with Aspartame in them. And this is just one product made from plastic. There are hundreds more, only the effects from them are not as immediate. I assure you, they are just as severe over time.

I also need to point out that most of the “drugs” (they are DRUGS people!) prescribed by doctors are based on plastics as well. And if you will look at the information above, you will see where people actively worked to stop notification going to people of the harm caused by Aspartame. I assure you that many of the people who actively worked to stop this warning drink lots of diet pop. I do not understand why this is, but it is a function of His Law, and I have seen it in action before. They literally do it to themselves, and it is because of His Law.

Foreign Correspondent: Inside Track On World News

By International Syndicated Columnist & Broadcaster

Eric Margolis: [hyperlink “mailto:margolis@foreigncorrespondent.com”](mailto:margolis@foreigncorrespondent.com)

Remembering Ukraine’s Unknown Holocaust by Eric Margolis

LOS ANGELES - As Britain’s socialist government cleared the way for a gaudy show trial of that Great Satan of the left, Chile’s Gen. Augusto Pinochet, the 65th anniversary of this century’s bloodiest crime was utterly ignored. Leftists now baying for Pinochet’s head don’t want to be reminded of the Unknown Holocaust.

In 1932, Soviet leader Josef Stalin unleashed genocide in Ukraine. Stalin determined to force Ukraine’s millions of independent farmers - called ‘kulaks’- into collectivized Soviet agriculture, and to crush Ukraine’s growing spirit of nationalism.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Ukraine's nightmare had begun in 1932. Faced by resistance to collectivization, Stalin unleashed terror upon Ukraine. Moscow dispatched 25,000 fanatical young party militants - earlier versions of Mao's 'Red Guards' - to force 10 million Ukrainian peasants into collective farms. Secret police units of OGPU began selective executions of recalcitrant farmers.

When Stalin's red guards failed to make a dent in this immense number, OGPU was ordered to begin mass executions. But there were simply not enough Chekists (secret police) to kill so many people, so Stalin decided to replace bullets by a much cheaper medium of death, mass starvation.

All seed stocks, grain, silage, and farm animals were confiscated from Ukraine's farms. Ethiopia's communist dictator, Mengistu Haile Mariam, used the very same method in the 1970's to force collectivization: the resulting famine caused one million deaths.

OGPU agents and Red Army troops sealed all roads and rail lines. Nothing came in or out of Ukraine. Farms were searched and looted of food and fuel. Ukrainians quickly began to die of hunger, cold, and sickness.

When OGPU failed to meet weekly execution quotas, Stalin sent henchman, Lazar Kaganovitch, to destroy Ukrainian resistance. Kaganovitch, the Soviet Eichmann, made quota, shooting 10,000 Ukrainians weekly. Eighty percent of all Ukrainian intellectuals were executed. Ukrainian Nikita Khrushchev helped supervise the slaughter.

During the bitter winter of 1932-33, mass starvation created by Kaganovitch and OGPU hit full force. Ukrainians ate their pets, boots, belts, bark, and roots. Cannibalism became common; parents even ate infant children.

The precise number of Ukrainians murdered by Stalin's custom-made famine and Cheka firing squads remains unknown to this day. KGB's archives, and recent work by Russian historians, shows at least 7 million Ukrainians died. Ukrainian historians put the figure at 9 million, or higher. Twenty-five percent of Ukraine's population was exterminated.

Six million other farmers across the USSR were starved or shot during collectivization. Stalin told Churchill he liquidated ten million peasants during the 1930's. Add mass executions by the Cheka in Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania; the genocide of 3 million Muslims of the USSR; massacres of Cossacks and Volga Germans. In total, Soviet industrial genocide accounted for at least 40 million victims, not including 20 million war dead.

Kaganovitch, and many senior OGPU officers (later, NKVD) were Jewish. The predominance of Jews among Bolshevik leaders, and the frightful crimes and cruelty inflicted by Stalin's Cheka on Ukraine, the Baltic, and Poland, led the victims of Red Terror to blame the Jewish people for both communism and their suffering. As a direct result, during the subsequent Nazi occupation of Eastern Eu-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

rope, the region's innocent Jews became the target of ferocious revenge by Ukrainians, Balts, and Poles.

While the world is by now fully aware of the destruction of Europe's Jews by the Nazis, the story of the numerically larger holocaust in Ukraine has been suppressed, or ignored. Ukraine's genocide occurred 8-9 years before Hitler began the Jewish Holocaust, and was committed, unlike Nazi crimes, before the world's gaze. But Stalin's murder of millions was simply denied, or concealed by a leftwing conspiracy of silence that continues to this day. In the strange moral geometry of mass murder, only Nazis are guilty.

Socialist luminaries like Bernard Shaw, Beatrice and Sidney Webb, and PM Edouard Herriot of France, toured Ukraine during 1932-33, and proclaimed reports of famine were false. Shaw announced, 'I did not see one under-nourished person in Russia.' New York Times correspondent Walter Duranty, who won a Pulitzer Prize for his Russian reporting, wrote claims of famine were 'malignant propaganda.' Seven million people were dying around them, yet these fools saw nothing. The New York Times has never repudiated Duranty's lies.

Modern leftists do not care to be reminded their ideological and historical roots are entwined with this century's greatest crime - Stalin's mass murder machine - the inevitable result of enforced social engineering and Marxist theology. Had Germany won the war, today's reformed Euro-Nazis would take the same amnesiac approach to Hitler as modern European socialists do to Stalin.

Western historians delicately skirt the sordid fact that the governments of Britain, the US, and Canada were fully aware of the Ukrainian genocide and Stalin's other monstrous crimes. Yet they eagerly welcomed him as an ally during World War II. Stalin (they did more than that; they actively supported him with food and arms during the 30s. - David), whom an adoring Roosevelt called Uncle Joe, murdered four times more people than Adolf Hitler - and a decade earlier. Roosevelt and Churchill colluded with and helped save history's most murderous regime. Time to face this ugly fact.

None of the Soviet mass murderers who committed genocide were ever brought to justice. Soviet Eichmann Lazar Kaganovitch died peacefully in Moscow a few years ago, still wearing his Order of the Soviet Union, and enjoying a generous state pension.

And the Russians were disarmed. Now you understand what is planned for America if they ever manage to disarm us.

SHOT IN THE DARK ACTIVISTS CLAIM

CHILDHOOD VACCINATIONS DO MORE HARM THAN GOOD

There's a bizarre yet common custom practiced among certain cultures that has come under criticism as being unnecessary and potentially life-threatening. The procedure starts when scientists prepare a concoction of bacteria and viruses

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

that are manufactured using a host of materials including aborted fetal tissue, dead animal flesh and formaldehyde. Samples of this toxic material are collected and shipped to specially designated stations. Parents bring their young children to these facilities where this strange virulent mixture — by order of state and federal mandates and under threat of penalty — is injected directly into the child's bloodstream. Contrary to the way it sounds, this isn't some 1984-ish plot or a witch doctor remedy. It's the common practice of childhood vaccinations. In our culture now, it's seen as a cornerstone of preventive care and a necessary part of a child's medical treatment, needed to protect young bodies from a host of illnesses and disease. Vaccination shots are administered every year in schools, hospitals and health centers across the globe in nearly every part of the civilized world. In the United States, more than 80 percent of children are immunized by the time they reach school age.

Despite the widespread acceptance and implementation of vaccines, there are many people who question the need and, moreover, the safety and ethical implications of such practices, and suggest that not only are vaccinations unnecessary, but are causing chronic health and mental problems.

One such organization is the Charlotte-based group, People Advocating Vaccine Education (PAVE). Its founders, Lisa and A.A. Jillani, said they started the group two years ago after they realized their oldest daughter had been "vaccine damaged" following a series of vaccination shots in 1994. About six months after receiving these inoculations, the Jillan's say, their daughter started exhibiting odd behavior and her grade school teachers noticed little "red flags" in her conduct.

"It was things like her gross motor skills, neurological tics, and a diminished attention span," Lisa Jillani said. Eventually their daughter was diagnosed at the Watkins Center as having sensory integration dysfunction.

"They couldn't adequately explain to me what that was so I began reading, and all of her symptoms kept popping up as a mild form of autism," Jillani said. "Autism is actually a spectrum disease; you can have full-blown classical autism or something as simple as a learning disorder, and I believe our daughter is on the lower end of this autism spectrum as a direct result of her vaccination shots."

Long before they started PAVE, Lisa Jillani said the seed of doubt concerning vaccinations had already been planted. Previous to giving birth to her first child, Lisa read about a young couple — one a professional athlete, the other a model — and how they chose not to have their children vaccinated because they considered it unethical, unhealthy and unnecessary.

"My first thought after reading that was I wonder what they know that I didn't. I started to think maybe vaccinations really weren't 100 percent safe."

However, not realizing there was other information available on the subject, Lisa went against her intuition and took her daughter to the doctor for her

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

scheduled rounds of inoculations simply because that's what was expected. But after getting four of the five required sets of shots, Lisa said she couldn't bring herself to submit her daughter any longer to a process in which she had such strong doubts.

"It was such a traumatic experience I just decided I'm not going to do this anymore," she said. It was then that she began to research the topic in earnest, and eventually came across several sources of information that confirmed her worst fears concerning the adverse effects of inoculations.

She first came across a 1994 book, *Vaccines: Are They Really Safe and Effective*, by Neil Miller who, among other things, said vaccinations are a form of genetic assault and claimed that the introduction of toxins directly into the bloodstream can potentially cause long term and unforeseen damages. "It was enough for me to know that I definitely needed more information before I ever used vaccinations again," Lisa said.

Miller's book led Lisa to a host of other sources including a series of studies conducted in the mid-90s that linked vaccinations to numerous chronic diseases (everything from autism, MS, diabetes, SIDS, asthma and inflammatory bowel disease). Dr. Andrew Wakefield, in a 1998 study that appeared in *The Lancet*, stated that the MMR (Measles, Mumps and Rubella) vaccine causes autism and inflammatory bowel disease. Dr. Vijendra Singa, in a 1996 study, associated vaccines with autism and multiple sclerosis. Numerous studies have also cited a connection between Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) and vaccinations, including a 1994 study by Dr. Viera Scheibner. In addition, both medical researcher Barthelow Classen, in a 1996 study that appeared in the *New Zealand Medical Journal*, as well as Harris Coulter in his book *A Shot in the Dark*, linked vaccinations to diabetes; and Dr. M.R. Odent indicated there was a connection between asthma and vaccinations.

A growing number of parents, concerned citizens and doctors are questioning a medical practice that for a long time has been taken for granted and gone largely unchallenged.

After becoming convinced that the anti-vaccination movement wasn't just some fringe society comprised of crazies, Lisa expressed her trepidation to husband A.J. Initially he didn't take it very seriously and laughed it off, but that attitude started to change when he began to look into the issue himself, and even more so after seeing the surprisingly strong reaction by the medical community after he and Lisa expressed their reservations.

"What finally did it for me was when the pediatrician we had been seeing for three years threw us out of his practice," A.J. says. "Suddenly he sends us this certified letter out of the blue saying I don't treat unvaccinated children, you'll have to find another doctor."

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

By the time Lisa became pregnant with her second child, the Jillanis had become convinced that vaccination was an unnecessary and dangerous tradition.

Now, with their youngest daughter almost three, the Jillanis said she hasn't received any vaccination shots, nor has she been sick. "We're completely comfortable and confident in her health," Lisa said.

The Jillanis are part of a growing number of parents, concerned citizens and doctors who have begun to question a medical practice that for a long time has been taken for granted and gone largely unchallenged. Although the medical community admits there are some minor risks associated with the use of vaccines, such as fever and soreness, only recently have far more dangerous side effects and consequences been discussed on such a wide scale within both grassroots organizations as well medical studies and books.

The basic goal behind organizations like PAVE isn't to discourage others from vaccinating, but to encourage people to look into the matter for themselves in order to make a more informed decision regarding their health and the health of their family. An integral part of this philosophy is the assertion that the fundamental theory supporting vaccinations is flawed.

Today's medical science uses the same basic methodology in implementing vaccinations that was originally discovered in the 1700s. Vaccinations were first attempted in England in 1718 when healthy people were inoculated with a tiny amount of material from smallpox sores. Those who survived the inoculation became immune to smallpox. Toward the end of the 1700s, British physician Edward Jenner discovered that he could immunize patients against smallpox by inoculating them with material from cowpox sores — a far milder disease. The same basic principles are used today. Modified or weakened forms of bacteria or viruses are injected into the bloodstream which trigger or stimulate the body's immune system to build a defense mechanism that continuously guards against the disease.

But what the Jillanis and others who subscribe to their views maintain is that unless you're an immune-compromised child or adult, your body can naturally fight off most diseases without the aid of vaccinations. Furthermore, they say that by introducing these artificially manufactured viruses into the body you are corrupting the body's natural defense system and putting millions of people at risk.

"When a virus is injected into your bloodstream, it's already bypassed several of your body's natural defense systems," A.A. Jillani said. "If you were to come into contact with a bacteria in a natural setting, it is first introduced into the body through the throat and nasal passages, but with a vaccine it's injected directly into the system."

"Basically you're chronically sick all your life," Lisa Jillani said. "You may not feel bad, but your body will always see that virus in your bloodstream. If you

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

got the measles naturally, it would only be in the bloodstream for the length of the illness, but once you're injected with it, the body will detect that germ for the rest of your life."

This theory also touches upon the growing acceptance and popularity of Eastern or alternative medical practices. More people have started putting emphasis on their spiritual well-being and the value of a balanced, harmonious lifestyle including exercise and a sensible diet as a way to promote health and fortify the body as opposed to some chemical or pharmaceutical created in a lab.

Judith Coates, a homeopathic physician in Charlotte, said she was inspired to pursue the field of homeopathic medicine after its practices cured her from a deadly illness she suffered from as a result of a swine flu vaccine in 1976.

Coates said she received the swine flu vaccination because of governmental "propaganda" that there was going to be an epidemic. Within days of the shot she became violently ill. She said she was just one of 589 documented cases that occurred as a result of the vaccine. Coates added that many people who got the vaccine died within 10 days, but their cases were never documented.

Coates says a substantial number of her patients are vaccine-damaged children and adults. Usually by the time they come to her for help they've exhausted all the traditional medical avenues and have progressed to advanced stages of whatever disease or illness they suffer from.

"I never get anyone because they have blisters on their fingers, they're dying," Coates said. "The biggest problem with vaccine-damaged people — adults or children — is the disease that is identified doesn't follow the traditional pattern, it has a lot of deviations, so it is very difficult to diagnose."

Not all critics of vaccinations are within the alternative medical field. Some scientists and doctors who practice within traditional channels have begun to question vaccine's safety as well.

Clarence Norris, MD, who has been a practicing physician in Charlotte since 1994, is one of the very few local doctors who will speak out against vaccinations.

"I may lose my license but I think people should be aware of the risks and be allowed to make their own individual choices," Norris said.

Norris said he first began to question the validity of vaccination while living in Texas during the mid-80s and the two-year-old son of a neighbor died of SIDS following a series of vaccinations.

"I'm not downing the medical field but the American Medical Association (AMA) is going to do whatever they can to protect their interests," Norris said. "It's a money thing. And even if it costs you your life, you will be part of the package that goes down. Most physicians are afraid for their licenses."

Organizations such as the NC Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as well as the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) dispute

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

this position and maintain that vaccinations are an absolute necessity.

According to the CDC, the vaccines and bacteria that cause vaccine-preventable death still exist, and it has only been through the use of vaccines that we have been able to dramatically reduce the number of people who get infectious disease. Furthermore, if we were to discontinue their use the result would be widespread epidemics, illness and death.

Diseases that are almost completely eradicated or well under control such as polio, measles and pertussis would experience a substantial resurgence, resulting in dramatic costs in terms of both human lives as well as doctor's visits, hospitalizations and missed work.

DANGEROUS TRADITION

It was during the 1950s, following the introduction of an injectable polio vaccine introduced by American physician Jonas Salk, that vaccines became widespread and drug companies started to export vaccine policies globally. Technology within this area of science continued to evolve and by the 70s other vaccinations were available including one to help combat measles. By 1980 a worldwide vaccination program was said to have resulted in the global eradication of smallpox, and in most developed countries immunization was said to have essentially eliminated such diseases as diphtheria and neonatal tetanus. The number of cases of Hemophilus influenza type b meningitis in the US is said to have dropped 95 percent among infants and children since 1988, when a vaccine for the disease was first introduced. In an attempt to continue these global successes, the World Health Organization has set the year 2000 as a target date for the immunization of all children.

Despite this evidence of medical science at its most effective, opponents of vaccines say that this particular version of history is more about a successful public relations campaign than an accurate account of the facts. Most anti-vaccine groups take issue with just about every medical milestone attributed to vaccinations, including the assertion that vaccines were responsible for the near global elimination of polio.

According to the Jilanis, over the past 15 years the vaccine has caused all cases of polio in the US itself. Furthermore, no cases of non-vaccine-induced polio among US residents have been detected in the last decade.

Alan Phillips, an independent investigator and author on vaccine risks and alternatives indicates in his report, *Dispelling Vaccination Myths*, that after years of steady decline caused by the body's natural defense system, vaccinations caused substantial increases in polio. According to Phillips, ever since the polio vaccine was introduced by Salk in 1954, the rate of polio steadily increased. Phillips also indicates that in 1985, the Center for Disease Control (CDC) reported that the vaccine caused 87 percent of the cases of polio in the US between 1973 and 1983.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

The CDC asserts that in 1996, as a result of global immunization efforts to eradicate polio, there were only 3,500 documented cases of polio in the world, as compared to between 13,000 and 20,000 which were reported each year in the US alone prior to the polio vaccine.

Furthermore, the CDC insists that cases of polio that groups like PAVE attribute to the vaccine itself are actually caused by importation of the virus from countries such as India. It has only been the use of vaccines, they say, that has prevented it from spreading into the US population.

The pertussis (whooping cough) vaccine in particular has been singled out as being the main culprit in many SIDS deaths. It's asserted by the National Vaccine Information Center (NVIC) that "hot lots" of this vaccine — those that appear to be associated with more injuries and death than others — have been responsible for thousands of cases.

A study by Viera Scheibner, Ph.D., called, *Vaccination: 100 Years of Orthodox Research Shows that Vaccines Represent a Medical Assault on the Immune System*, found peak incidences of SIDS occurred at the ages of 2 and 4 months, precisely when the first two routine immunizations are given. In the mid-70s Japan raised their vaccination age from 2 months to 2 years after a rash of SIDS deaths and lawsuits from grieving parents; their incidence of SIDS dropped dramatically.

The CDC denies the existence of "hot lots" and insists that the newer pertussis vaccine that has been available since 1991 is associated with fewer mild and moderate adverse reactions when compared with the older vaccine that received negative publicity during the 70s. As a result of this publicity and the public concern over the pertussis vaccine, the CDC indicated that several countries drastically reduced their use of the vaccination, which resulted in a resurgence of the disease in several countries including 13,000 cases and 41 deaths in Japan between 1974 and 1979.

Although many of the benefits of vaccinations continue to be challenged, the Jillanis say the real issue isn't even that of the vaccine's effectiveness, but rather the lack of scientific proof supporting their safety and longterm consequences.

"The medical community has no science behind what laboratory engineered viruses do to the body," A.A. Jillani said. "You are taking this incredible risk of introducing viruses into 100 percent of the population. You drastically multiply the problems associated with that particular virus."

Some say it's America's quick fix propensity (also evidenced in the skyrocketing popularity of over the counter drugs to treat everything from depression to stomach aches) and the promise of immediate and permanent protection that explain our willingness to subject our children and ourselves so willingly to vaccinations. That quick-fix mentality has continued despite the fact that inocula-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

tions' initial promise — a single shot would provide lifelong protection — has proven to be false. Now, booster shots for such diseases as diphtheria and tetanus are routine in order to maintain adequate protection. For example, it was discovered that a single injection of measles vaccine, first licensed in 1963 and administered to children at the age of 15 months, did not confer protection through adolescence and young adulthood. As a result, in the 1980s a series of measles epidemics occurred on college campuses throughout the US. This prompted health officials to recommend that a booster dose of measles vaccine be administered at 4-to-6 or 11-to-12 years.

“It's short term thinking,” A.A. Jillani said. “America is an instant results society. If vaccines interrupted the diseases permanently it would be another matter. But they interrupt it in the short term and you only move certain diseases from childhood into adolescence or adulthood.”

Furthermore, critics say that the protection provided by vaccines aren't worth the harmful side effects that emerge later. But because these consequences oftentimes do not manifest themselves until years after the vaccination, the cause and effect relationship is often diminished.

“To me it's not even a concern if these products are working,” A.A. Jillani said. “My concern is do I want to take my chances with whooping cough, chicken pox and measles now, or brain damage, cancer, autism, asthma or diabetes later. There will never be an official cause and effect relationship attributed to this unless the kid drops dead in the doctor's office immediately after receiving a shot.”

SKEWED SYSTEM

While short of an X-Files type of conspiratorial plot to inject the children of the world with an insidious solution, vaccination critics say the government has established a system that is relatively impervious to recourse. There are two main federal organizations in the US that deal with the consequences and compensation of immunization-related illness or death:

The Vaccine Adverse Effects Reporting System (VAERS), and the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program (NVICP), which is part of the US Dept. of Health and Human Services (HHS).

These two organizations — both started in 1986 — work in tandem and were designed to provide both compensation to individuals or families of individuals who've been injured by childhood vaccines, as well as a centralized surveillance system to which adverse vaccine events can be reported.

By law, people injured by vaccines must apply for compensation with the NVICP before suing drug companies or physicians. The NVICP has paid out over \$900 million to the families of vaccine injured or killed children since the program officially went into effect in 1988. They have received over 6,000 petitions, including over 700 for vaccine-related deaths, and there are still thousands of

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

total death and injury cases pending.

Despite the assertion that the NVICP was established to help the public, the Jillanis say it actually acts as a shield to protect drug companies and doctors. They maintain that it's structured to make it so taxing on individuals in terms of financial restraints, time limitations or fortitude, it becomes extremely difficult to win a case and collect for damages. Although the NVICP has awarded millions over the past decade, more than 60 percent of the cases are rejected.

VAERS receives about 11,000 reports annually, some one percent of which are deaths from vaccine reactions. But many say this is merely the tip of the iceberg and such statistics don't reflect an accurate portrayal of vaccine reactions because VAERS is a voluntary, self-policed system.

Another criticism facing VAERS is the disease or injury table which they consult in order to determine if injuries meet the criteria which enable people to receive compensation. According to program figures, the average time for successful applications is three and a half years.

One woman who knows first-hand the nightmares of facing NVICP and the VAERS restrictions is Robin Miller of Statesville, whose son died in 1994 after a yearlong period of convulsions and sickness following his first round of vaccination shots when he was two months old. Since her son's death she's been engaged in a legal battle with NVICP. Her case is now going into its fourth year.

"He was sick immediately after the shots and had a high fever," Miller said. "About a week later he started having convulsions and he was never the same after that."

In trying to diagnose her son's symptoms, Miller said doctors went from one extreme to another, prescribing countless medications and performing endless tests, but never acknowledged the possibility of vaccine damage, despite the fact that her own pediatrician had indicated that was a possible cause of the child's death. Miller continues to haggle with NVICP over the medical issues, which she says is an overwhelming experience.

"It's almost impossible," Miller said. "They make it so difficult. Even since I filed my case they've changed the rules again."

Big Brother Is Vaccinating You

Since January 1995, it's a Class 1 misdemeanor if a person violates the rules adopted by the local board of health concerning vaccinations. Starting in 1939 with the diphtheria vaccine, North Carolina law has required vaccinations. Throughout successive years other vaccines have been made a requirement by NC law, the latest being the hepatitis B recombinant vaccine in 1994. Although vaccines are legally mandated and are required for many necessities such as enrolling your children in schools, camps, etc., there are actually three exemption possibilities in most US cases. You may be exempt from vaccinations under medi-

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

cal, religious or philosophical reasons, yet these exemptions are usually downplayed. This writer was actually pulled out of class as an undergraduate student at UNCC because I had not yet received my measles booster shots, but was never told about an exemption option.

A call placed to the Health Center at UNCC also revealed that students may be exempt if their classes are all after 5pm; if you have less than four credit hours; if all classes are off-campus; or if you attend weekend classes only. Also, students who were enrolled in the NC University system prior to 1994 may be exempt from second measles shot.

There is also an immunization registry being coordinated which will establish an immunization tracking record and link all 50 states. Currently the North Carolina Immunization Registry uses a statewide monitoring system to help ensure the timely immunization of infants and children. The purpose is to give parents, health care providers and child care facilities timely access to complete immunization data. It will also allow officials to evaluate the immunization status of parents and identify children who are past due for immunization, as well as help communities assess their immunization coverage and identify areas of under-immunization.

While the debate over the safety and effectiveness of vaccinations is sure to become even more heated as the popularity of alternative medicine grows, advances within the system continue to be made. There are currently over 250 new vaccines being developed, many of which focus on sexually transmitted diseases and even one which would temporarily prevent pregnancy.

While these advances may seem like a godsend to most, the Jillanis and an increasingly growing number of others say they're even more cause for concern. The Jillanis indicate that the increase of additional "mandatory" vaccinations and developments such as an immunization registry based on "implied consent" with no provisions to opt out are alarming signs of governmental control run amok and blind adherence to a system at the expense of children.

"The bottom line is true science is being suppressed so as not to compromise the immunization programs," A.A. Jillani said. "But anytime that [the idea that vaccines are harmful] is presented or suggested to government agencies or vaccine companies it's shot down. There's a billion-dollar treatment behind every chronic illness that is caused by vaccines, so it is not the medical community's concern what is causing them. My point is, let's err in favor of the kids rather than the vaccine companies."

<http://www.creativeloafing.com/charlotte/newsstand/current/aneews.htm>

Pennsylvania Parents for Vaccine Awareness. The information here is not to be construed as medical or legal advice. The decision whether to vaccinate is yours and yours alone. Investigate BEFORE you vaccinate.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

During the last years of his life, my father suffered severely from Gilliam Barae (Sorry, I know that is not the correct spelling. - David). It was years before I found out that it was probably caused by the “free” swine flu shots that he and my mother had been given. All in all, it was a terrible price to pay for a “free” shot. Of course, we should all realize that there is nothing free about the shots; the drug companies don’t give away drugs. They have simply arranged for the payments for the drugs to be made through collections from the so-called tax payers. All in all, under the guise of law, it is an amazingly efficient system for the accumulation of immense wealth on the part of the behind-the-scenes owners of the drug companies while systematically eliminating the elderly.

<http://www.uhuh.com/reports/headsup/state98.htm> I think you will find this site of interest. I certainly did. It is titled The State of the Union, 1998, and while the author has no knowledge of the background of the Constitution, he does have some good insight into other background.

<http://www.cyndislist.com/internet.htm> You might want to check out this site, not for information on laws, but general information on the net. It has more about viruses than any other site, for instance, that I have ever seen.

It is a FELONY to promote a New World Order, or to provide funding to international organizations promoting principles or doctrines of the one world order.

-Public Law 471, chap 456, Title 1, Sec. 109, 1954

Nominated for quote of the year is the statement made by Representative Dick Armey, who when asked if he were in the President’s place, would he resign, responded: “If I were in the President’s place I would not get a chance to resign. I would be lying in a pool of my own blood hearing Mrs. Armey standing over me saying, ‘How do I reload this damn thing?’”

PART XV

WHATEVER HAPPENED TO AMERICA?

In a way, this story is the saddest part of the entire riddle. It does not take much study to find that He has never left us, but that we left Him. By chasing after a government of man, we have once more brought upon ourselves the curse of Scripture for seeking a King. A king other than Christ.

America is still here, waiting for us, just as Christ is. All we have to do is decide to join Him, and give our allegiance to His Law and to Him, instead of to other men. Once we decide to do that, we will once again receive His Blessings.

<http://www.involved.com/ewolfe/caged/jpnm-1.htm> caged patriots This is an interesting site only so far as you need to see the absolute arbitrary nature of the so-called law enforcement. This man was working to make everything right again. It does not matter what you do; at any time, in their system, you can be arrested, charged and imprisoned. There are so many laws on the books that no

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

one can be safe. It is impossible.

Why? Fear, for one, but it is also true that there is tremendous profits being earned in the prison business. Workers sent to prison are now used as outside labor, at Davis-Bacon wages. For instance, the federal prison in El Paso farms out its prisoners to work on the nearby military bases doing repairs and landscaping, and for the local governments. (I assure you, over the passage of time, this will change, and you will see the prisoners being farmed out elsewhere as well, in sweat shops and production lines for factories, much like the slave labor camps of Nazi Germany.) The prisoners are paid minimum wage. The prison is reimbursed the full amount of the Davis-Bacon wages plus all FICA taxes. The difference is called profit. In addition, the prison is paid approximately \$35,000 per year to house the prisoners, out of tax money. The cost for keeping a prisoner in these prisons is not more than \$5000/year. The difference is called profit. This is why prisons are the hottest stocks on the stock market now.

I have seen the term farming the taxpayer. I wonder what that means.

“There is no way to rule innocent men. The only power any government has is the power to crack down on criminals. Well, when there aren’t enough criminals, one makes them. One declares so many things to be a crime that it becomes impossible to live without breaking the law.” Any Rand, “Atlas Shrugged”, Ch III, “White Blackmail”

<http://www.america-collins.com/> Charles Collins Mr. Collins is a very interesting man. He is from Florida, quite wealthy and I believe he is honest. I have had the pleasure of meeting him, and I spent a brief time visiting with him when he was running for the Republican nomination for President in 1992. He understands the Federal Reserve and other problems in America and would do whatever is necessary to change what is going on.

After his bid for President failed, I had another brief encounter with him. What he had to say was very interesting. There was no doubt that the Republican party itself was the immediate cause of his failure to take his message to the people of America.

Everywhere he went, he was received with cheers and crowds of people, all liking the message he was delivering. The only place he could not be heard was any event hosted by the republicans, like the National Convention. At the Convention, his supporters were stopped when they attempted to hand out fliers, and he was escorted out by armed guards.

This message is just in case you have any lingering doubts about working with the Republicans to change what is going on. If you insist on staying within the United States, then take a look at this man’s web page.

BAD NEWS ON POWER GRID

I do not know how long this message will be displayed for you to look at,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

but the essence of the message is simple. There are over 7800 power generating public utility companies nationwide, and none of them are y2k compliant. In fact, none of them are even close to being compliant. Use this information as you wish. But take the following message into account when you do, please.

[MILITARY]: NON-CITIZENS FILL RANKS IN U.S. MILITARY

[COMMENT: Remember the story of the Trojan Horse? by Allan B. Colombo (colombo@raex.com)

COLUMBIA, S.C (January 8, 1999 5:35 p.m. EST)

As he walks his mammoth training base, Col. Jack Carter notices something different about his new recruits. Many of them are from Germany, Mexico, Russia - even Jamaica. The new faces under the Kevlar helmets symbolize a subtle but significant shift in America's armed forces - one that is helping fill depleted ranks, and raising new concerns about the makeup of tomorrow's military.

Throughout the armed forces, non-citizens with legal U.S. status accounted for about 7,500 of the new recruits in 1997, according to the Navy Times.

In the Army alone, the number of immigrants donning fatigues has risen from 2,200 in 1995 to 3,100 last year. Immigrant soldiers, signing up at a time when all the services are struggling to find new members, are making the difference between a difficult and a disastrous recruiting year.

They are also raising sensitive questions about whether the Pentagon is creating a new caste system in the military - in effect, heading toward a foreign legion protecting U.S. citizens. (I don't think this is exactly the slant I would put on this. - David)

"I don't think (there's) any effort to recruit foreign nationals," says Carter, chief of staff at Fort Jackson, the Army's largest training base here. "But many show up on our doorstep looking for opportunity."

For now, the percentage of non-citizens joining the Army is still relatively small. In 1998, the figure was 4.2 percent, up just slightly from 1997.

Army commanders often praise the work ethic of immigrants, noting that many are motivated and patriotic as new converts to American democracy. For example, Carter says about 10 of his base's recent "soldiers of the week" are foreign nationals. (Want to run the question about whether these new recruits would fire on Americans past them, or do you figure this has already been done? - David)

Many newcomers also view the U.S. military as benevolent peace-keepers - doing good on the world stage. "I recruited in the Philippines for a number of years, and they see us as the good guys," says Frank Shaffery, chief of plans and policy for Army recruiting at Fort Knox, Ky. He says they often see the armed forces as "something that is structured, something with security, and something that has a positive image in their life." (This is pretty similar to the British army during the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

days of the building of the immense fortunes of the City of London financial district, when that army was the enforcement tool used, in conjunction with the British navy, world-wide. - David)

Still, the idea of immigrants shouldering M16s doesn't thrill everyone. The stellar reputation of the Army's foreign recruiting pool, in fact, was damaged last month when it was revealed that one, Egyptian Ali A. Mohamed, went from Army grunt to terrorist in a few short years. Mohamed, who served as a supply sergeant at Fort Bragg, N.C., was recently charged with assisting Osama bin Laden, the Saudi dissident accused of master-minding the deadly embassy bombings in Africa.

While Mohamed's case appears to be an aberration (Don't even bet on it! - David), military officials point out they don't target illegal immigrants to fill job vacancies. Nor do they place legal immigrants in sensitive jobs such as intelligence or Special Forces.

Nevertheless, without this new recruiting base, notes former Army training battalion commander Tom Wall, the services would not have made their quotas. Last year, for example, the Navy fell some 7,000 sailors short of its goal. (Yet officers and soldiers who complain about the new army and other military chains of command through the U.N. are not allowed to extend their enlistments. I wonder why? Look, the United States military has always recruited in a foreign nation; America (see Supreme Court decisions about different jurisdictions). Now, they are simply extending their recruitment procedures to other nations, and if this does not go a long way to explaining to you that there really is a difference between the United States and America, I do not know what it will take. - David)

One other concern about the growing presence of immigrant soldiers is that they will exacerbate socioeconomic divisions in the military. Lyle Hendrick, a former Special Forces officer who runs a corporate security business, says it's a difficult balance.

New immigrants, he says, "sometimes make better soldiers than U.S. teenagers. They value American citizenship much more than people born into it and are much prouder than people given citizenship by birth."

But Hendrick says there is cause for concern because foreign nationals are filling the ranks that U.S. teens are increasingly rejecting. "Is the country ripe to become a French Foreign Legion?" he asks. (Maybe the teens are smarter than most give them credit for being. - David)

Retired Army Gen. Bruce Blount, who once commanded Fort Jackson, agrees. He says if the percentage of immigrants continues to increase, it raises the question of a whether the country has recruited a mercenary force. "It gets further and further away from the image of the American public."

The rise of new immigrant soldiers raises other questions as well. Would

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

they be willing, for instance, to go to war against their former homelands? (How about the real question? Would they be willing to go to war against America? - David)

Most experts don't believe that would be a problem, citing the case of Japanese-Americans who fought valiantly for the United States in World War II.

The military mentality is a bandit and raider mentality. Thus, all military represents a form of organized banditry, where the conventional mores do not prevail. The military is a way of rationalizing murder, rape, looting, and other forms of theft which are always accepted as part of warfare. When denied an outside target, the military mentality always turns against its own civilian population, using identical rationalizations for bandit behavior. Page 133, *The DOSADI Experiment* by Frank Herbert.

I do not know how many of you have had the opportunity to read any of the books written by Frank Herbert, but it is an education in itself to do so. Provided that you have some basic knowledge to begin with. For instance, in the book quoted above, *Dosadi* is a planet completely enclosed within a God Wall. Interesting, when you know a little about the earth's true His- Story.

The more famous books written by Herbert are the *Dune* series. In them, as you read, you will see many little tidbits. Some are more revealing than others. For instance, the saying he uses for the people of the main planet: Never forgive; Never forget. This is engraved in stone above the main entrance to the largest Synagogue in New York City. Actually, the largest Synagogue in America, and the saying is firmly embedded in every Jew's heart. It is plain, from reading his books, that Frank Herbert is a Jew, and I would dearly love to have access to the source of his knowledge. Dearly love to!

<http://www.ptialaska.net/~swampy/> I highly recommend this site for you. You will find a lot of information here. My comments on the following will follow the end of this section. However, I will comment right now that the man who wrote this paper, and it is good, was not aware of the new research into the real intent and meaning of the Constitution.

INTRODUCTION

Our Republic is now celebrating the 200th birthday of the Bill of Rights to our Constitution. Through the wisdom of a few free - thinking men, we have come incredibly far in 200 years. Our nation has been blessed with prosperity more than any other in world history. The technology in this country compares with no other. Our leadership in world politics and economics has no rival. Yet, all this has happened outside the "house" our predecessors on this continent designed and built.

This fantastic and majestic political building, which our forefathers constructed with their lives and sacred honor, has fallen into disuse and now sits

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

empty. When it was new, it was the most beautiful mansion in the world. There was nothing else like it for it was built on a foundation called the “common law.” The walls were shaped in liberty by a unique arrangement referred to as the separation of powers and its roof was made of transparent material to let in the light of the Law. So all encompassing, that it is adaptable to any people regardless of color, race, creed or religion.

It didn't crumble overnight. What took place was the result of a delusion for people would never give up liberty knowingly - only through deception. Gradually the deceptive rot took hold and, one by one, the citizens of the house called a “Republic” moved out for a third rate structure called a “democracy.”

Napoleon said; “History is a fable agreed upon,” because he knew that history repeats itself, especially when the history lessons have not been learned or remembered. Thus our history lessons have fallen into disrepair. Our forefathers founded this nation because they believed they had a God-given Right to walk away from enslavement to the King. Yet, the very bondage they walked away from has opened the door for the most subtle slavery this world has ever known. So subtle is this slavery that the citizens are entrapped by their own ignorance through offers of enticements called economic benefits. Acceptance of these benefits sets into operation rules and laws that operate outside the Constitution and thus we have the largest and most unmanageable bureaucracy that has ever existed. A bureaucracy bogged in debt because it has taught its people that government is the provider and problem solver instead of “one people,” the subjects that used to live in that special mansion known as the Republic, lighted in Law.

The people's freedom has been lost more because of what they haven't done than what they have done. In the pages that follow, you are going to discover why you are an economic slave and what you can do about the U.S. of A. the Republic. Yes, you can move back into that mansion known as the Republic for that is what this treatise is about, finding your key to liberty. Always remember that you are the only one that can take back your liberty. No one else can do it for you. You can and you must act independently of the masses. You and the Law are capable of awesome accomplishments in liberty. That is why Thomas Jefferson's statement in the Declaration of Independence is as important today as it was in 1776,

“... it is their [your] right, it is their [your] duty ... to provide new guards for their [your] future security. ... and such is now the necessity which constrains them [you] to alter their [your] former systems of government.”

One man with the Law is a majority.

DIVINE RIGHT OF KINGS

Human enslavement has taken all sorts of forms since the beginning of time. The most insidious form is when one individual, such as a king, claims that God gave him the right of enslavement. This is called The Divine Right of Kings. At the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

root of this assumed right is basic feudal slavery. The divine right the King of England claimed was the right to have absolute authority over every one of his subjects so they could not leave his political-religious jurisdiction. That is, the king's subjects did not have the right to expatriate, according to his assumed divine right over them.

The American Revolution of 1776 was the result of individuals who believed that the King did not have the right to prevent the people from leaving his political-religious jurisdiction. The Revolution was fought over liberty of choice. Our Constitution is the political document that resulted from that struggle and it guarantees our liberty to choose the political domain we want to be controlled by without compelled performance. Therefore, if we want to move from one political jurisdiction to another, we are guaranteed that right - called expatriation. We are guaranteed the right to change our political territory any time we desire.

Few are aware today that their political choice has been made for them, and it is a political choice that has taken away their absolute rights under the Constitution and its first ten Amendments, the Bill of Rights. They are unaware that they were given at birth an economic privilege of an alternative political domain - allowed by the Constitution, but operating outside of it. An alternative domain that operates with the same Divine Right of Kings as did the King of England. Thus, the Constitution is operating in an economic capacity rather than a political one.

When we ponder why our nation is in the midst of an economic crisis like we have never seen before, we cannot understand it is the result of our ignorance. Ignorance of how our silence has given our federal government and its political subdivisions (called "States") permission to tax its people without representation and confiscate their property when they do not go along with the Codes and laws - especially the tax laws. Ignorance that has allowed our federal government and its political subdivisions to compel us to perform to laws that are destroying our business by exacting a fee - like a protection racket - for what should be a right.

Instead, our absolute rights are now relative privileges, handed out like food in a concentration camp. Instead of being able to stand as an individual for what you believe, every special interest group has become our conscience. Laws and Codes by the hundreds are feudalizing the will to produce from the soul of each person by making him pay for the failures, inefficiency and greed of others - called limited liability. And still more laws are teaching citizens of all ages that someone else - Uncle Sam - is responsible for us from cradle to grave.

COMMUNALISM RAISES ITS UGLY HEAD

The world has always been filled with people with good intentions. Unfortunately, it seems that the majority of those well-intentioned individuals end up trying to convince the rest that their idea is the best. The extreme in some countries results in a dictator, while in the United States there developed

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

democracy with its ever present special interest groups dictating the conscience of the masses. Yes, more problems are caused when good intentions become compelled performance. As many are aware, “the road to hell is paved with good intentions.” The result is always a loss of individual liberty of conscience.

In the beginning, America was a free Republic with vast unsettled wilderness open for anybody who had the courage to take up its challenges. Thus, America became the melting pot for religious and social ideals and experiments. Of the many social theories espoused throughout Europe then, there were three theories that fit the mold for America, all three were communitarian (communistic) in nature. The first communitarian idea was set up by the religious sects made familiar by the Puritans, Quakers, Shakers/1, Rappites, Zorities, etc.. The second communitarian idea was established by Robert Owen of Great Britain who was born in 1771, and the third communitarian idea was of Charles Fourier of France who was born in 1772. Both Owen and Fourier experienced the vast upheavals that accompanied the French Revolution from the onslaughts of Napoleon. As a result of the slaughter, Owen and Fourier came up with communitarian plans to transform the crises-warped society of the 19th century into a more humane order.

In 1812, Robert Owen published a paper titled: “A New View of Society”.

His treatise discussed the formation of the human character, and he proposed ways of changing society from what he called the poor working classes:

“... the society of the poor were trained to commit crimes’ the later resulting in punishment. The rest of the population was instructed to believe, or at least to acknowledge, that certain principles are unerringly true, but to act as though they were grossly false. The result was filling the world with folly and inconsistency making society a scene of insincerity and counter action. In this state the world has continued to the present time; its evils have been and are continually increasing and if we longer delay, general disorder must ensue.”

Owen suggested that the governing powers of all countries should establish rational plans for the education and general formation of the characters of their subjects. Plans must be devised to train children, which would be taken from their parents at the age of two years, to prevent them from acquiring false-hoods and deception, and their labor must be usefully directed upon the communitarian view rather than the individual. One of his favorite phrases was “train the young collectively.”

Owen deplored private property and he blamed the world’s problems of ignorance and selfishness on it. He also disliked commercial competition. “It creates civil warfare, it exploits the many and gives to a few favorable individuals which is injurious to the mass.” Owen said, “Without equality of condition, there can be no permanent virtue or stability of society.” Owen laid plans for Associations of All Classes of All Nations with a purpose of “founding as soon as possible,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

communities of United Interest.” Owen wanted to terminate the distinction between the rich and the poor, thereby creating a millennium. Owen proposed not only a national system of education, but also public works projects designed to guard the unemployed against the mis-educative effects of enforced idleness. He was determined to set up a commune he envisioned, and he decided America was the ideal location.

Owen’s ideas were put to the test when he established his commune called “New Harmony” in 1825. In a letter to a Quaker leader, William Allen, Owen reveals more of his ideals:

“The United States, but particularly the States west of the Allegheny Mountains, have been prepared in the most remarkable manner for the New System. The principle of union & cooperation for the promotion of all virtues & for the creation of wealth is now universally admitted, to be far superior to the individual selfish system & all seem prepared or are rapidly preparing to give up the latter & adopt the former. In fact, the whole of this country is ready to commence a new empire upon the principle of public property & discard private property & the uncharitable notion that man can form his own character as the foundation & root of all evil.”

Owen had a lot of problems from the start. A major problem was poor production. The low level of production was caused by the lack of trained and competent foremen, supervisors and skilled craftsmen. His plan for equality was failing from the start because those who were trained could go work in the open market and receive more pay. The first Constitution that was drawn was short lived because of a crisis of morale. The land of milk and honey that Owen promised did not materialize. Equality for all was running into trouble.

“No one is to be favored above the rest as all are to be in a state of perfect equality,” wrote a wife of one of the members of the society, but she said;

“Oh if you could see some of the rough uncouth creatures here, I think you would find it rather hard to look upon them exactly in the light of brothers and sisters... I am sure I cannot sincerely look upon these as my equals and that if I must appear to do it, I cannot either act or speak the truth.”

Social distinctions and religious differences had never been as sharp as they became in the months following this brief experiment in forced and premature social unity. As the problems mounted, Owen and the people disbanded one Constitution and drew up a new Constitution.

In April, 1827; the New Harmony experiment came to a end. However, Owen’s influence in communitarianism continued to spread from the east as far west as Texas.

In addition to Robert Owen’s ideas, Charles Fourier was developing and spreading similar concepts. Fourier differed from Owen in that the former believed

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

in religion and private property/2, where the latter had an opposite view.

Fourier's work was largely conditioned by an unfortunate event that took place early in his otherwise uneventful life. His father, a wealthy merchant, died and left a fortune of nearly a quarter of a million francs. However, the whole of Fourier's inheritance was lost in the French Revolution. Because of this event, he set himself to invent system of society that would prevent the recurrence of revolution, preserve his own petit- bourgeois class, and abolish the appalling conditions of labor prevalent everywhere. (Has a familiar "New World Order" feel.)

Charles Fourier never set a foot upon American soil, but his theories did. Albert Brisbane was a young American of liberal education and at the age of eighteen, he went to Europe to study social philosophy. Eventually Brisbane found what he was looking for in Fourier's treatise on "Association/3," and he promoted Charles Fourier's ideas and wrote extensively upon the subject.

However, if we can organize the townships rightly, so that unity of interests, concert of action, vast economics and general riches will be attained, that in spreading these rightly organized Townships, and rendering them general, a Social Order will be gradually established, in which peace, prosperity and happiness will be secured to all. The great and primary object which we have in view is, consequently, to effect the establishment of one Association, which will exhibit practically the great economics, the riches, the order and unity of the system, and serve as a model for, and lead to the founding of others.

Even though there were other social experimenters, Owen and Fourier had the greatest influence on the leaders of the U.S.A. and the corporate special interest groups. This influence figured heavily in the formation of the Limited Liability Act of 1851, the Civil Rights Act of 1866, and the 14th Amendment of 1868. It was these legislative Acts that opened the door of the house called Democracy/4 that everyone moved into by ignorance.

DEMOCRACY AND COMMUNISM

It is interesting to note that Karl Marx and Friedrich Engles were devoted students of Robert Owen. Communism of the Bolsheviks was nothing new. It was incubating and maturing in non-violent form right here in the (u)nited States of America almost 100 years before Russia ever knew about it.

Today communism is believed to have been defeated as the world has turned to democracy. However, is there any difference? In the case of *Smith v Allwright/5*, the courts said, "the United States is a constitutional democracy." In other words, the court said the United States (as distinguished from the (u)nited States of America, a Republic) is a democracy that is allowed by the Constitution, but operating outside of it. (For reference, see Part II. He missed the real base and meaning of democracy. - David)

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

This court case is substantiated by the following:

“What is futile is to puzzle ourselves as to whether the American or Russian use of `democracy’ is the true or correct one.”/6

“... the first step in the revolution by the working class, is to raise the proletariat to the position of ruling class, to win the battle for democracy.”/7

“A government of Russia could not terminate its existence either by dissolution or by merger, for it was a corporation formed under our laws, and its corporate life continued until the law of its creation declared that it should end.”/

8

Here we see the real meaning of democracy and its communal governing system. A democracy is the opposite of a republic. More on this latter. However remember, unknowingly you have been participating in a communal government to the loss of absolute liberty, but it can be restored!

PRIVATE LAW AND PUBLIC MUNICIPAL LAW

Let’s understand the meaning of private law versus public municipal law. Private law, also called non-positive law and local law, is a term that is used to describe the principles and regulations that an individual uses to direct his or her own life. It is also called the “law of conscience.” That is, it is your personal philosophical and religious belief system that you use to control your own life and decisions. For example, if you state that you believe that abortions are not proper, then you are verbalizing a part of your private law. If you express that you believe that it is not proper for you to own a gun, then you are again expressing a part of your private law.

Private law’s only area of function outside your own conscience is in the area of contracts. In other words, a person will always use his personal principles of conscience in negotiating any agreement with another individual. An example of this would be the merchant who works out a contract with a company to provide items for sale in a store he owns. His reason for contracting with this particular company is because he believes the items they manufacture should be in every household for health reasons. The merchant’s personal beliefs or conscience are involved in this contract as in any contract.

Private law operates outside of the Constitution under the rights of private contract as stipulated in Article I, Section 10. Article I, in its entirety, expresses all the private law that is allowed in the operation of government of the several states of the union. Section 8 and clause 17 of this Article states that any other private law that is necessary for operation of government for the commercial benefit of the several states of the union can be legislated. It must be remembered that Article I is not entirely private law. There is some public municipal law there. This public municipal law is for the establishment of public services for private benefit, i.e., “Post Roads and Post Offices,” and the Public Laws of Obligation of Contracts,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

etc..

It must be understood that private law, as referred to in the Constitution, operated in the private sector as a part of negotiating bilateral contracts. Private law was never meant to operate in the public sector as a basis for controlling public policy. Our founders made that very clear. In the next section on Roman civil law you will be shown how private law was made into public policy by entrapment to produce compelled performance.

Public municipal law (also referred to as positive law and general law in contrast to private law) is the expression of all the laws that limit government and maintain the separation of powers of the “states in this union.”/9 Public municipal law is an expression of the people limiting government for their own personal benefit and liberty. Remember, the people are the government. What powers the people do not delegate for the administration of government are kept by them. The Public Laws are laws that assure the people of maintaining their private rights of bilateral contracts separate from any government intervention. The only time that public municipal law is used actively for private purposes, in a legal sense, is when a private right has been violated and the public municipal law is used in the court to address the wrong and correct it.

“The individual may stand upon his constitutional rights as a citizen. He is entitled to carry on his own private business in his own way. His power to contract is unlimited. He owes no duty to the State or to his neighbors to divulge his business, or to open his doors to an investigation, so far as it may tend to incriminate him. He owes no such duty to the State, since he receives nothing there-from, beyond the protection of his life and property. His rights are such as existed by the law of the land long antecedent to the organization of the State. ... He owes nothing to the public so long as he does not trespass upon their rights.”/10

As early as 1782, Jefferson told Monroe that it was ridiculous to suppose that a man should surrender himself to the state. This would be slavery, and not the liberty which the Bill of Rights has made inviolable, and for the preservation of which our government has been changed. [Changed from the Roman civil law to the Common Civil Law/11 - see section on Roman Civil Law.]

Jefferson continued and said, that liberty would be destroyed anytime there is:

“... the establishment of the opinion that the state has a perpetual right to the services of all its members.”/12

The term “that liberty” to which Jefferson refers is Public Law for private purposes and “that liberty” is self-evident and comes before the State and is opposite to “the Blessings of Liberty” in the preamble of the Constitution - which is commercial./13

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ROMAN CIVIL LAW

Those who have studied U.S. History from the traditional standpoint do not realize there is a lot more to U.S. History. There is probably more about the history of the (u)nited States of America/14 that you have not been told than what you have been told. Take for example our federal government. The provisions for setting it into operation were written into the Constitution, but its present look and function are a far cry from what our founding fathers intended. What has happened to make such a difference from the original intent? In world history, religion has always been a key center for accumulating wealth while ignorance and superstition promote religion. Religion has been used by everyone from Kingly dictators to preachers to persuade people to give up everything from gold and land to their own lives. Wealth meant power and the power to get wealth was religion. The Roman Church discovered this early and became a “storehouse” for the money and property the people were persuaded to give in exchange for limited liability - go directly to heaven instead of hell. As the people became more educated and saw what was really behind the power of religion, the Roman Church fell under greater and greater criticism. This led to the development of a banking system to handle and control church wealth and take the critical focus off the church. In a nutshell, this was how the church’s influence has always figured so heavily in the administration and control of world politics. The bank learned from the church about limited liability. If you could get people to borrow money beyond their ability to pay back, you could get them to keep performing on the debt (liability) without ever demanding it back, thereby, loaning out that same credit to more than one individual or company. This meant that the bank was limiting the liability of the borrower so he was not fully responsible for the debt as long as he continued to perform by paying the interest. This way real money (gold) became credit (paper money) by loaning to more than one person. Being involved in this sort of commerce was called “private commerce.” With the churches control over wealth, this private commerce became standard practice in world trade upon the sea - private international or admiralty/maritime law became known as Roman civil law as it began to figure heavily in the politics of every city and country it touched through international commerce.

Among the many things that were important to our fore-fathers, the one thing that stood out was to establish a government free of any relationship or influence of the private Roman civil law operating in and controlling public policy. It was the oppression of the Roman civil law, as the king and parliament dictated, that was at the foundation for seeking expatriation from England under the king’s assumed divine right. The Roman civil law (also referred to as “admiralty-maritime law”/15 or the “law of the sea” as well as “private international law”) was the result of private church law operating for commercial purposes in the public sector. The amalgamation of church law and civil government was derived from three

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

ingredients; Greece, Rome and Christianity (This is incorrect. The correct term would be Religion, as in the Roman Religion, which is entirely pagan in nature. More on this later. - David). The political theory derived from the first two of these ingredients was tempered to accommodate the third. Its originators and apologists were the first Christian Emperor, Constantine, and the first historian of the Christian Church, Eusebius of Caesarea. Through his writings, Eusebius had once and for all established the new way to interpret history, and his followers applied the same political philosophy for over 1000 years.

Starting with Constantine, religious belief had come to be as important, for the state, as religious practice. Constantine was, among other things, a “teacher of knowledge about God.” The unity of a threatened empire was seen to depend on a unity of religious belief among its subjects. So it was that in a theocratic society it was increasingly hard to be sure where things temporal ended and things spiritual began.

“Where a necessary qualification for citizenship was Orthodoxy in religious belief, it was natural that the canons of the church councils which had defined that belief should also be the law of the land. Justinian had decreed that ‘the canons of the first four councils of the church ... should have the status of law. For we accept as holy writ the dogmas of those councils and guard their canons as laws.’ But some emperors thought themselves empowered to do likewise and to legislate on ecclesiastical or even doctrinal matters. Hence there came into existence the collections known as *nomocanones* in which the laws of the church and the laws of the state were set down side by side and compared, though the former always precede the latter ... The *nomocanones* and the commentaries of the canonists advertised the fact that church and state went together. The two were interdependent and it was generally believed that the one could not exist without the other... In the last and apparently hopeless years of the empire’s existence, there were various schools of thought about what had gone wrong. By far the most prevalent explanation was that God was punishing the people for their sins. This was the favorite theme of sermons in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries ... The only hope of salvation lay in a return to the faith and practice of the pure, unadulterated Orthodox faith ...”/16

Yes, history is being repeated even now as you read this. Guilt and self righteousness compels the alteration of public policy in more bizarre ways by the pressure of the special interest groups of the trust - and the inquisition is being repeated.

Church law first got involved with commercial ventures when the Roman Church started funding the Roman Army during the time they were fighting Greece. From there it was an easy transition to becoming directly involved in the civil government of Rome and then converting the Roman Empire, what was left of

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

it, into their own commercial state. When the Roman Church set up their own state they became a commercial enterprise. It was from that point on that Church law, controlling civil government, became known as Roman civil law.

In simple terms, Roman civil law is a perversion of private law. That is, the conscience of private law was never meant to operate in forming public policy of government. Private law was always a part of establishing bilateral contracts and could be used in government only for setting up private commercial relations between government and corporations called "licenses." But the conscience of private law could never operate without bilateral contracts unless it was through a trust.

With the spread of commerce, the church's influence and wealth grew. Around 596 A.D., Pope Gregory began a process of moving Roman civil law into England. Up until that time it had not been a part of the English economy, but Pope Gregory was determined to have his inspiration of Roman law and economy supreme there.

He [Pope Gregory] was inspired with the idea of converting England not to Christianity, [for the British branch of the Catholic Church was already there] - but to the discipline of Rome./17

Moving Roman civil law into England was strictly using a commercial venture of the mercantile Church to take over the economy and the country, and enslave its people to the private or conscience law of the Church. It was the authority and conscience of the Roman Church that dictated the Statutes, Codes and laws through the King and Parliament for controlling human behavior that resulted in the best economic and commercial advantage for the Church. Anyone who was not controlled by Roman civil law at that time was considered to be pagan. That is, if you were operating free of the Roman civil law - under the common law - you were a heathen as far as the Roman Church was concerned. It was their intent to enslave everyone possible to the Roman civil law for a commercial advantage. By the way, this Roman civil law was referred to as "Black Letter Law."/18

To see how this law is acknowledged, look up the books in which your state's Constitution and Statutes are published. What many have found is that the titles to the first volumes, that cover the Declaration of Independence and the U.S. Constitution and the state's Constitution, are printed differently than the titles to the volumes that cover the consolidated Statutes and Codes of the state. We are aware that in many states (possibly all) you will find the titles to the volumes that begin the state Statutes will be printed in black gothic letters. This confirms the fact that the "black letter law" - Roman civil law - is the basis of state Statutes that dictate public municipal policy via private laws of the trust. It was this Roman civil law that had taken over all Europe and England and our founding fathers wanted nothing of it in the "commercial law system of the American states." It represented

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

to them the most insidious form of slavery of both body and mind, that is, slavery by entrapment through one-sided or implied contracts the individual never was aware he was getting into until he was hit with compelled performance.

Thomas Jefferson expressed this disdain of Roman civil law being introduced into English common law in 1760 by Lord Mansfield./19 In fact, it was this decision that sparked the American revolution. After this date, Jefferson wanted nothing to do with the common law of England because of the way it had been polluted with Roman civil (ecclesiastical) law by Mansfield./20

In a letter to Dr. Thomas Cooper in 1814, Jefferson goes into minute detail to show how the private ecclesiastical law [Roman civil law] got mixed with the common law of England. He outlines the fact that the common law was in England 200 years before Christianity (This is incorrect. Once again, the author is confusing the roman Church with Christianity. - David). In describing when Christianity was possibly included into the common law, Jefferson said:

“If it ever was adopted, therefore, into the common law, it must have been between the introduction of Christianity and the date of the Magna Carta. But of the law of this period we have a tolerable collection by Lambard and Wilkins, ... But none of these adopt Christianity as a part of the common law.”/21

Yet the common law of England did become polluted with the compelled performance of private church law and Jefferson’s understanding of the problem marked out the path for the new commercial system of the American states to be protected from the slavery of ecclesiastical authority dictating public commercial law (policy).

In truth, the alliance between Church and State in England has never made their judges accomplices in the frauds of the clergy; and even bolder than they are. For instead of being content with these four surreptitious chapters of Exodus, they have taken the whole leap, and declared at once that the whole Bible and Testament in a lump, make a part of the common law; ... And thus they incorporate into the English code, laws made for Jews alone, and the precepts of the Gospel, intended by their benevolent Author as obligatory only for their conscience; and they arm the whole with the coercions of municipal law. Also, in doing this, they have not even used the Connecticut caution of declaring, as is done in their blue laws, that the laws of God shall be the laws of their land, except where their own contradict them./22 (As to the laws made for the Jews alone, I assume the author is referring to the people known as the Israylis, which is an entirely different subject. For the Jews alone, he would be referring to the Talmud. For reference, see the book *The Talmud Exposed*.î - David)

Unfortunately, because Jefferson saw the tyranny of private, ecclesiastical law dictating public commercial policy and compelled performance, he was attacked by the “do gooders” as being a heretic. In reality, he saw so clearly the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

need for separation of powers and how Public Law would be vital for private use to protect individual rights of the minority. Thus he stood vehemently on the ground that private law has absolutely no place in dictating, public policy. Those who opposed his views totally missed his solid Christian principles based on liberty of conscience. "The common law protects both opinions [both his and theirs], but enacts neither into law." Those that did not thoroughly understand this were the first to promote their private conscience (religious) opinions into Public Law (policy) - the rope of compelled performance hanging us today.

"All honor to Jefferson - to the man who, in the concrete pressure of a struggle for national independence by a single people, had the coolness, forecast, and capacity to introduce into a merely revolutionary document, an abstract truth, and so to embalm it there, that today and in all coming days, it shall be a rebuke and a stumbling block to the very harbingers of reappearing tyranny and oppression."²³

One of the most important aspects of the common law before 1760 was that it did not recognize unilateral contracts where there was no full disclosure and no meeting of the minds. The right to the private law of contracting was basic to the common law. However, those common law contracts always meant that all parties involved understood all the facts and clauses and all parties had to agree by endorsement in order for the contract to be valid. Everything was spelled out. No hidden implications or strings attached.

Roman civil law relies entirely on unilateral or implied contracts. This is where one party agrees by the simple act of accepting the benefit(s) the civil government has to offer. In other words, the individual has something offered to him that he accepts - usually an economic or mercantile benefit. The act of acceptance, with or without a signature of acceptance, comes with strings of compelled performance attached. This is because the very act of voluntary acceptance (by your silence) implied your endorsement. The implied endorsement creates a constructive trust/²⁴ arrangement with the civil government for your assumed benefit. This means the trust becomes the third party who can dictate the Statutes, Codes and laws by its legislature and we are compelled to align our lives with them, because of our silent volunteering. After accepting some benefit under Roman civil law and you discover the hidden strings that you do not like, too bad, you are bound to perform or suffer the consequence of those holding the strings. If you wrong the trust that you are involved with, you are assumed guilty and the burden of proof is up to you to clear yourself. Your job, under the Roman civil law, is to jump even when you didn't have to. Their job - the civil administrator and their courts - is to tell you how high. The Roman civil law is a perversion of private conscience law because it is placing the private conscience of one or a few over the private consciences of the masses. And it is done without full disclosure of bilateral contracts. This allows government to always become a

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

superior entity to the citizen by binding him in constructive trust arrangements. This is why there is no separation of power, only one power and that is government. The people are subservient because they are involved in a constructive trust that controls their conscience and they are not even aware of it.

Take a look at the illustration of “The Great Seal Of The State Of California.” This seal is a dramatic representation of how the Roman civil law is the basis of the franchise of the “several states of the union” granted by the people of the Republic. Each state has its own corporate seal and most use much of the same symbolism. Remember, under Roman civil law the corporate state is a diocese of the National Church of the 14th Amendment trust.

Note first the seal contains a woman seated on a rock wearing a Roman military uniform holding both a shield and spear. This woman is the Goddess Minerva/25 from Roman mythology. This represents the authority of the Roman civil law founded on the rock (church) of private law of the woman (or law of changing conscience or “e-motion” that is not absolute law), the mother of all private law. The shield itself has the indications of Roman symbols denoting further private authority in the public sector. Across the top are 31 stars that represent the 31 states in existence at the time California was incorporated as a state. This also shows the relationship with the other “several states of the union” who also based their civil law from the Roman law. The word: “eureka” means: “I’ve found it.” It was an expression that has been said to have originated with Archimedes, a Greek mathematician and physicist. He used the expression when he discovered a method of detecting the amount of alloy mixed with the gold in the crown of the king of Syracuse. Archimedes also invented the Archimedean screw or “water snail” which, when rotated, would move water uphill. Because of the symbolism of the seal, it most likely represents the moving of the law of the sea [admiralty/maritime law] uphill and over to dominate the substance of the law we know as the land. Also it could be saying the same thing by expressing the fact that the substance of absolute law - gold/real property - is taken over by the emotion of private law. Note also the sailing ships in the water. This represents the law of the sea [admiralty/maritime law] as the vehicle for private commercial Roman civil law in the state. In the left lower area of the seal is a miner digging and behind him is a sluice box. This represents the labor and industrial control by the private Roman civil law. There is also grain in the foreground as a symbol of the control of the land and its substance called “food.” The bear represents the fact that the Republic is still there - the California Republic is called the “Bear Republic.”

FEDERALISM

There is no doubt about it! There is an economic advantage to individuals cooperating for business purposes and our founders recognized that fact. What they did not want was the compelled performance of entrapment by the implied

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

contracts under the private Roman civil law operating within and between the states. Theirs was to be civil law based on the principles of the general common law/²⁶ and its full disclosure bilateral contracts. It thus became referred to as: “System of commercial law in the American states./²⁷” Under our unique type of law, the government was to have no direct contact with the people - unlike the Roman civil law. The federal government was there basically to oversee the economic cooperation between the several states of the union - who were foreign to each other - to provide for their common defense and to work out the commercial business of the several states of the union as they relate to each other and world trade, this being based on public municipal law not private law. (When you understand the unstated relationship with the King, this makes sense. - David)

The common law principles that our forefathers brought with them were the basis of public municipal law. This means the laws are bilateral in nature based on a two party agreement where there is a meeting of the minds with full disclosure. Nothing is implied or hidden where one could be entrapped into compelled performance by a third party trust. The public municipal law was law that did not allow the private commercial government to have any relationship with the individual citizen and his right of contract. This was true separation of power.

Private law, which the Roman civil law thrived on, was conscience law of one “person” (trust) over another without their knowing how it happened. There was no liberty of choice as to its terms. The terms of the contract or agreement (also called an offer) are always based on the personal beliefs of the Roman civil government. The offer is always unilateral where your acceptance is totally signified by your silence. Everything the individual got involved in, under Roman civil law, had implications that obligated him or her because of benefits being accepted by continued silence. There were always strings attached that were considered a benefit. The agreement never has definite limits. What is agreed on is only implied or constructed upon the circumstances. The implications of a unilateral offer and acceptance would always create a third party constructive or implied trust. This trust, being the third party, was always there to oversee and to exact what it thought it was due through compelled performance to the rules of the private trust that bound the persons who had private business dealings. There is no separation of powers. In other words, there is no way to have a true bilateral general common law contractual relationship because of the government having you in a trust relationship making your position inferior, not superior. You become the trust and therefore part of the government, while at the same time, the government becomes you and part of the trust. You end up being your own enforcer as a volunteer. This is why the IRS keeps telling you that taxes are voluntary. Your identity is lost in the trust relationship due to purely moral ideas developed outside the legal system (because of a movement away from Law) because it finds its chief reliance is on the power of the magistrate.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

In order to have a separation of powers, each power must have and keep a separate and distinct identity. That is, the people function as sovereigns. The government operates only by the powers the people, as sovereigns allow, and those powers - Public Law for private use - protects the identity of the people apart from the civil government. Roman civil law does not allow this.

The federal government that was set up in the beginning was public commercial law, but it was based entirely on public municipal law for private use. The federal government had no direct contact with the people because the people had not contracted away their Law and its separation of powers into a constructive trust of private conscience. The state is forbidden to interfere with the peoples' lives by the constitutional mandate of Article I, Section 10 which refers to there being no "Law impairing the Obligation of Contracts." The individual owed nothing to the state, thus the state could not interfere with personal and individual contracts between individuals. Federalism, without Roman civil law as its base (public federalism), could not come in to Intervene with private contracts between two parties. However, when federalism is based on Roman civil law (private federalism), where both your identity and the government's are confused by the constructive trust arrangement, they are constantly a part of the contracts - they are the administrators of your conscience via the charitable trust. Under the Roman civil law, you are considered an incompetent [unable to handle your private affairs] so the trust is involved as a third party in all your private business affairs.

Under public federalism in the beginning, business and economic associations were formed for various advantages. There was no compelled performance because all relationships were based on bilateral contracts with full disclosure and understanding by the parties involved. When a dispute arose between parties in a state, the courts ruled on the contract pure and simple - no Codes involved, no implications to be explored. Likewise, when disputes arose between parties from different states, then the federal courts were the referees for helping solve the problem and the ruling was upon the contract (with jury assistance if demanded) without Codes, Regulations or revised Statutes drummed up by a third party overseer.

So in contrast today, the substance of private federalism is purely the private law or conscience of a private charitable trust - private Roman civil law of the 14th Amendment with vested interest called "government" - moved into the public arena by voluntary (silent) acceptance of 51% of the population/28. Anytime a civil relationship is established, it is based on implied and indefinite trust principles. The result is a government that has created a third party administrative bureaucracy that spends its time making and readjusting Codes and revised Statutes that dictate public policy. This is in order to continue the compelled performance of the citizen (beneficiary) to service the public debt and thus promote the economic benefits of the government trust. The federal government

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

has become a massive public charitable trust which is using in excess of 2000% of every dollar for administration and the “ship of state” is not staying afloat.

In fact feudalism (private federalism) is apt to appear whenever the strain of preserving a relatively large political unit proves to be beyond the economic and psychic resources of a society./29

“I can ... fight this Frankenstein which the New Deal has created and which is rapidly gobbling up every vestige of right which the people have and enjoy today I feel it necessary that the Congress take some steps against this bureaucratic invasion, not only of the people’s rights, but of the right of Congress and of every other legislative and judicial branch of our Government. ... You are reducing them [the American people] to the status of a serf.”/30

Take a look at the Titles Of United States Code. The last time we looked, there were at least fifty different Titles. Of the fifty, only twenty-two are public municipal law for private purposes. The rest are simply private law. That’s right! Private law that has destroyed individualism and the family unit, creativity and the individual incentive to produce. Private law that has siphoned off all the wealth and natural resources of the wealthiest nation in the world, all for assumed economic benefit. What a shame!

TWO FEDERALISMS

The United States Constitution begins: “We the people of the United States.” This phrase in referring to laws the commercial government of the United States used to assure a “commercial law system in the American states,” without operation of Roman civil law, except anywhere the tide ebbed and flowed. That is, the Roman civil law was left to operate where it always had, as a part of the admiralty-maritime law of the sea in the seaports.

Only the individual, as “one people” - declared in the Declaration of Independence - has the power to determine a Republican form of government as stated in Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution by calling on Public Law for private purposes. This is why the Declaration of Independence was written first. It was the basis of the “one people” sovereignty which then set up the Constitution.

Before the beginning of the nation and the signing of the Declaration of Independence in 1776, the Roman civil law was well entrenched in the colonies. This is because it was the basis of the admiralty-maritime laws that governed commerce upon the seas internationally as well as ports of call. When our founding fathers were planning on a new nation, they understood the advantage of public commercial law for the economic benefit of the American states. However, they did not want any of that public commercial law to be adulterated with the private Roman civil law (as referred to previously) with its unilateral contracts. Therefore, they met behind closed doors to develop a dual federalism that would assure that “commercial law in the American states” would prosper without the compelled

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

entrapment of private Roman maritime law that would inevitably continue internationally. (In essence, what they wanted was to have their cake, the King, and eat it too. Strip the King of most of his power to mandate. - David)

Indeed, the main task was to get those old centers to surrender certain prerogatives; and the effect at reassuring them led to lingering ambiguities in our use of the term “federalism.” In itself, this has to do with treaties (foedera) or alliances - the neutral use at, e.g. Jefferson Papers, 1:311. But there was an emphasis, in the 1780s, on the ties that connect those under treaty - on union and united force, as in the term “federal [i.e. covenant] theology.” Federalists were, therefore, thought to stand for federal power over against the states. But in explaining their position, Madison and Hamilton labored in the Federalist Papers to show the states they had nothing to fear from this central (federal) power. Thus federalism has come, in modern parlance, to mean the division or dispersal of central power. Those who opposed a Bill of Rights at the Constitutional Convention - including, at first, Madison himself, who drafted and steered through the final bill - were assuming that the individual was already protected by the states’ bills; that the central government could not reach the individual except through the states, which had put impenetrable barriers around individual rights./31 (What is important to know as you read this is that Hamilton was the force behind the First Bank of the United States, which was proven in later years to be a front for the Rothchilds. - David)

Thus our forefathers clarified the “federalism” confusion by establishing two Federalisms that would exist side by side. One would be the private federalism that had come in with the international trade under admiralty-maritime laws based on Roman civil law. The other would be the public federalism of the new “commercial law in the American states.” This federalism would be based on the general common law and its sovereignty of the individual citizen being maintained by public laws for the private use of the individual to conduct his business. [See Table 1. Dual Federalisms Compared]

For Table 1, you must go to the site and examine it. This Part is entirely too long to be reproducing charts and the like in it. Besides, while the chart is interesting, it is not really important to our needs in this discussion. The following case sites do, however, give some good insight.

a. A case in admiralty does not, in fact, arise under the Constitution or Laws of the United States.” American Ins. Co. v. Canter, 1 Pet. 511, 545 (1828).

c. This includes the State of the District of Columbia,. D.C. is considered a state in international law. See Geoffrey v. U.S., 133 U.S. 258; 105 S.Ct. 295.

The uniqueness of our Constitution allows this dual federalism. It allows the individual the liberty to function within the public laws and the separation of powers or it allows for the individual to bind himself or herself by unilateral trust contract

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

arrangements.

Thus the word “federal” in the American states refers to the dual federalism as distinguished in, *Swift v. Tyson*/32 or *Erie Railroad v. Thompkins*/33. We must remember the state courts handled federal questions in the beginning of the nation. As commerce between the states grew, *Swift v. Tyson* was designed to protect the people of the several states from the Roman civil law that was operating under admiralty jurisdiction outside the Constitution where the tide of admiralty-maritime law ebbed and flowed with international trade. The dual federalism was termed by our founders as the “New Order For The Ages.” Today we hear our leaders using the term: “New World Order,” however, it is being used to create the old world order and its inquisitions under Roman civil law [based on the IRS 1040 form properly known under the government title of “Recapture Property” (Postliminy = Latin for “bring home the property”)].

Remember, there are two kinds of taxes, direct and indirect. Direct taxes are used to produce revenue for a constitutional government - public federalism. Indirect taxes are used for controlling human behavior and wealth.

It is wonderful how preposterously the affairs of the world are managed. We assemble parliaments and councils to have the benefit of collected wisdom, but we necessarily have, at the same time, the convenience of their collected passions, prejudices and private interests: For regulating commerce, an assembly of great men is the greatest tool on earth. - Ol’ Ben Franklin strikes again.

THE 14TH AMENDMENT

We have reached the point where we must bring in the whys and wherefores of the 14th Amendment, for it is the key that has unlocked the destruction of the American economy and your individual liberty. Even so, our government is still bent on exporting its principles to the world as the “New World Order.” In reality, the supposed “New World Order” is not new. It is nothing more than old world order of Roman civil law in a new disguise, continually making and adjusting public policy.

The 14th Amendment [purportedly] became law - private Roman civil law that is - in 1868, but the stage was set years, and in some ways decades, before. Of the various factors in the history of the U.S. that built the momentum to bring in the 14th Amendment, probably one of the first was that the Constitution made it plain that every citizen had the right to contract away his personal and absolute rights. That is, anyone could literally bind themselves away from the absolute rights under the “Bill of Rights” any time they wanted to, by private contract. They could operate outside the Constitution by contract if they desired, because the law was theirs. However, in the opposite vein, they could walk right back into their constitutional government anytime. This was called the right of expatriation (more on this a little later).

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Another factor contributing to the bringing in of the 14th Amendment had to do with both slavery and the corporations before and during the Civil War. In fact, the Civil War figures very prominently in the 14th Amendment because it was used as a cover for control maneuvers going on in the corporate back rooms of our nation - especially in the north. On the other hand, the slave issue was used as a con before, during, and after the war.

In 1851, an Act was passed called the "Limited Liability Act." This Act provided protection for owners of ships whose cargo and/or ship was lost at sea. The ship owner and investors were required to purchase maritime insurance, so if a loss was encountered, it would be easier to deal with if the loss was spread around. From this, the inland corporations saw an opportunity to advance if, some way, they too could have the benefits of maritime limited liability operating in their behalf. They saw limited liability as a way to take more risk to advance their profits, making the corporation King. Keep in mind during that time of our nation's history, the north had become the industrial center while the south had remained the agricultural center dependent on slaves as the basis of labor. Because the social issues of slavery had been making more noise, what better time to turn the problem of physical slavery into a tolerated economic slavery by bringing in the law of the sea over the land. And if a war results from the slave issue, what better way to help strengthen industry in the north than to use the stimulus of war.

By pushing the problem of slavery, the real issue of economic control by private corporate structure could be advanced unnoticed - the first phase of a "bait and switch" tactic. So with the culmination of the Civil War and the northern industrial base primed, the slaves were now free of being chattel property. At this point, corporate big brother made a calculated move. Since the freed slaves, as well as the rest of the citizenry, were ignorant of how their freedoms were maintained, it was a perfect time to activate the second part of the bait and switch maneuver. That was to set a law into motion with a lot of Congressional fanfare that appeared to assure the freed slaves that they had all the civil rights of everyone else. Thus came about the "Civil Rights Act" of 1866, which was private or non-positive law. The basic problem with the Act was that it had no jurisdiction over the slave at all, but the lawmakers sure made it look that way. You see, it was private law that only affected those who were in contractual relations with the private corporate structure of the United States government. None of the freed slaves had any type of license with the United States government so it did nothing other than play on their ignorance and made them think that it did something. It also affected few of the rest of the population for the same reason. All it ended up to be was a law that had few citizens in its jurisdiction. However, the Act had more indirect affect on the future freedoms of everyone as we look back. For those it did affect - those holding licenses or

under contract (including federal employees) with the United States

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

government - it did two primary things. First, it took away absolute property rights (in personam)/34. Second, it replaced them with personal property rights (in rem)/35 regardless of race. That is, the "Civil Rights Act" of 1866 moved anyone in its jurisdiction away from real property law and established them in personal property law outside the protection of the general common law and the Constitution with its separation of powers.

The only problem with the "Civil Rights Act" of 1866 was that it did not have enough jurisdiction over the majority of the population. Therefore Congress began another maneuver under the influence of private corporate special interest. It began to make the Public think the Act was not permanent enough, that there was the potential that another Congress could be impressed to remove the civil rights. Therefore, the only way to assure permanent civil rights was to make an Amendment to the Constitution.

The same Congress, shortly afterwards, evidently thinking it unwise [and perhaps unsafe] to leave so important a Declaration of Rights to depend upon an ordinary Act of legislation, which might be repealed by any subsequent congress, framed the 14th Amendment .../36

What an assumed noble reason. Assure civil rights by adding an Amendment to the Constitution. Who would be against civil rights? After all, isn't that what this country was all about? So we now have the 14th Amendment. It is extremely unfortunate that as we look back at the racial cover that was used to get the Amendment into law, we continue to see, even today, the same use of racial issues to cover an undercurrent of corporate private law being used in the public sector for exploiting the population.

It [the 14th Amendment] is a set-back to proper government. This operation of the 14th Amendment runs counter to the ideals expressed in the Preamble to the Constitution itself. It does any thing but promote domestic tranquillity. They [the Republican Party] knew what they intended by the vague terms of section one of the Amendment. They knew that it could be interpreted so as to extend far beyond the Negro race question. They desired to nationalize all civil rights; to make the Federal power supreme; and to bring the private life of every citizen directly under the eye of Congress ... This result was to be obtained by disenfranchising the whites and enfranchising the blacks ... It meant the death knell of the doctrine of State's rights - the ultimate nationalization of all civil rights and the consequent abolition of State control over the private rights and duties of the individual. It meant the passing over of the police power of the State, into the police power of the national government, thereby giving Congress undefined and unlimited powers whereby it would be enabled to enter fields of legislation from which hitherto it had been barred ... The States of this Union were never sovereign. Neither is the Federal Government sovereign. Sovereignty is now and has always

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

been inherent in the American people ... This would be a different matter if the Fourteenth Amendment presented to the courts only questions of law, but this is not the case. As a rule, when the Supreme Court declares a State law unconstitutional under the Amendment, what it really does is not to decide a question of law, but a question of governmental policy. The primary purpose of the adoption of the 14th Amendment was to elevate the Negro to a plane of equality with the white people and to protect him in his newly given rights. In its attempt to carry out this ideal, Congress was effectively restrained by the Supreme Court. Consequently, as related to the Negro race, the Amendment is negative and non-automatic. It has failed of its purpose because there is no Federal power to enforce it, and because the Negroes have not been qualified to gain for themselves the ideals which it seeks to enforce. When they do become so qualified, they will have no need of the 14th Amendment. One of the immediate purposes of the adoption of the 14th Amendment was to assist in destroying the power of the Democratic Party in the South, and in its place, build up Republicans. This result was to be obtained by disenfranchising the whites and enfranchising the blacks. It was a nationalization of all civil rights./37

So, in 1868 Congress passed the 14th Amendment which accomplished primarily two things:

First, it made each individual primarily a federal citizen of the municipal corporation of the District of Columbia.

Second, it combined the Senate and the House in their function so they are now operating for the benefit of private commercial law. Until the 14th Amendment, the House functioned for private commercial benefit and the Senate functioned for non-commercial public municipal law benefit - the benefit of the individual under republican law.

Third, it made each person responsible for the public debt by making them beneficiaries of the "public trust" the 14th Amendment established.

The 14th Amendment was also private non-positive law (local law) because it was enacted to set up a voluntary trust relationship that any citizen of the states could participate in if desired. Thus, the Amendment was instrumental in shifting citizenship of each American from being primarily a state citizen to being a citizen of the private corporation of government. However, this Amendment was a sleeper, so to speak. That is, it could still only exercise jurisdiction of those who chose voluntarily to participate.

Interestingly, Congress knew that it was making an Amendment that was based on private non-positive law and was therefore conditional. That is, the people had to have a choice whether they wanted to participate or not in what the 14th Amendment was offering, otherwise it would have been totally and completely unconstitutional. Therefore, one day before the 14th Amendment was passed,

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Congress passed 15 Stat. 249-250. This Statute provided for a person to remove him or herself from the jurisdiction of the 14th Amendment public trust if they so desired.

The 14th Amendment set in motion a process of taking private corporate law of a few, namely big business, and moving it into the public sector to control the masses for their assumed benefit. The actual benefit was for the corporations. The assumed benefit lay with being a member of the public trust and, therefore being able to receive benefits from the trust, benefits in the form of whatever care the national government would come up with to provide for you from cradle to grave. Those benefits have come at a severe price since 1868. That price is the loss of our absolute liberty under the Constitution and the general common law. In exchange, we have only received back relative rights with assumed economic benefits. In reality, the benefits have been curses!

When our founding fathers wrote the Constitution, it was far simpler to enumerate the few powers that were to be given to the national government than to try and list all the powers the individual citizen would keep. So it was that when the Bill of Rights (the first ten Amendments) was completed, Amendments nine and ten distinctly stated what powers “one people” would reserve.

Amendment IX - “The enumeration of the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage other retained by the people.”

Amendment X - “The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, not prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people.”

So, it was that among all the powers “retained by the people,” one of the most important was the power to contract for services or trades with another person or persons without interference from anyone - in or out of the government (see Article I, Section 10) and not have the government interfere in any way. As discussed previously, contracts are also referred to as “private law.” This right to contract (use private law) meant that two people could come to a meeting of their minds and agree between themselves for virtually anything they would both settle on and the government could not interfere. For example, let’s suppose that person “A” has developed a skill through special professional education or on-the-job training. As a non-14th Amendment citizen, he or she has the liberty to offer their services for sale without the interference of civil licensing authority. In other words, the licensing authority and their policing powers have no jurisdiction over a person who is not a citizen of the 14th Amendment public municipal trust. Here is the secret of the true liberty of choice - as in medicine for example. With this true liberty of the laws of the Republic, therapies that are only available outside the United States could be an option in each state. Remember, you are dealing with a political choice. Making your choice to function in the law of the Republic means

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

the government cannot compel you to be regulated by private law of the democracy.

Yet, there is one very important facet of the power to contract or use private law under the Constitution. That is, if contract/private laws come into dispute in the courts, the contract will be ruled on outside the Constitution. You read correctly! Contracts, or private agreements, will always overrule the Constitution and the Bill of Rights. In other words, specific private agreements (called contracts) governing individual circumstances between two or more persons will always overrule broad general clauses found in the Constitution. This is because it is illogical to allow someone to take a clause out of the Constitution, that was not a part of their original agreement, and use it to weasel, twist and squirm his way out of the contractual provisions while retaining the financial gain the private contract may have given him in the first place. In the words of Supreme Court Justice Felix Frankfurter, "Equity is brutal, but we are merely enforcing agreements." What he means is that when you go to court to dispute a contract or private law agreement that you had with someone else, the courts are there to enforce the contracts, as brutal as that may be, apart and separate from the Constitution.

With the passage of the 14th Amendment in 1868, the stage was set for private law to be used outside the Constitution to financially enslave the masses and destroy the republican union. The stage was also set to move Roman civil law into operation within the boundaries of the [u]nited States of America contrary to what our founding fathers ever intended. Note the words of concern in George Washington's "Farewell Address" to the American People.

"The unity of government which constitutes you one people ... is a main pillar in the edifice of your real independence, the support of your tranquillity at home, your peace abroad, of your safety, of your prosperity, of that very liberty which you so highly prize. ... it is easy to foresee that from different causes and from different quarters much pains will be taken, many artifices employed, to weaken in your minds the conviction of this truth, as this is the point in your political fortress against which the batteries of internal and external enemies will be most constantly and actively (though often covertly and insidiously) directed, it is of infinite moment that you should properly estimate the immense value of your national union to your collective and individual happiness; that you should cherish a cordial, habitual, and immovable attachment to it; accustoming yourselves to think and speak of it as of the palladium of your political safety and prosperity, watching for its preservation with jealous anxiety; discountenancing whatever may suggest even a suspicion that it can in any event be abandoned; and indignantly frowning upon the first dawning of every attempt to alienate any portion of our country from the rest, or to enfeeble the sacred ties which now link together the various parts. One method of assault may be to effect in the forms of the Constitution alterations (14th Amendment) which will impair the energy of the system, and

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

thus to undermine what cannot be directly overthrown.” [Bracket information added]/38

So now we are seeing the results of “Constitution alterations” in 1868. Alterations that have “covertly and insidiously” removed the “national union”, known as the U.S. of A. the Republic, and substituted economic slavery of compelled performance.

Yet the beauty of the our Republic and the constitutional government our forefathers set up can be demonstrated from the way President James Madison responded to a bill that he vetoed on February 21, 1811. It shows how forces of private religious conscience were always trying to force their private law on the public.

“Because the bill exceeds the rightful authority to which Governments are limited, by the essential distinction between civil and religious functions, and violates, in particular, the article of the Constitution of the United States, which declares, that “Congress shall make no law respecting a religious establishment.” The bill enacts into, and establishes by law, sundry rules and proceedings relative purely to the organization and polity of the church incorporated, and comprehending even the election and removal of the minister of the same; so that no change could be made therein by the particular society, or by the general church of which it is a member, and whose authority it recognizes. This particular church, therefore, would so far be a religious establishment by law - a legal force and sanction being given to certain articles in its Constitution and administration ... as the injunctions and prohibitions, contained in the Regulations, would be enforced by the penal consequences applicable to a violation of them according to the local law. Because the bill vests in the said incorporated church... would be a precedent for giving to religious societies, as such, a legal agency in carrying into effect a public and civil duty.”/39

So it was not until the [purported] passage of the 14th Amendment that the continual push of private law into the public sector won out. At that point, private conscience law of the Roman church became the national conscience by way of the 14th Amendment trust of the District of Columbia.

Now notice this: In Wheaton’s Elements Of International Law, 6th edition, page 304, the existing rule as to freedom of religious worship is thus laid down:

“A minister resident in a foreign country is entitled to the privilege of religious worship in his own private chapel, according to the particular forms of his national faith, although it may not be generally tolerated by the laws of the state where he resides.”

“The laws of Rome do not tolerate any other form of public religious worship than such as conforms to the teachings of the Roman Catholic church; but the right of any foreign minister at the papal court to hold religious services under his own

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

roof, and in accordance with the forms of his national or individual faith, has never been questioned or interfered with. This the Russian, the Prussian, the American, and other representatives of foreign powers in Rome, have always exercised [and still enjoy unmolested] the freedom of religious worship in the several chapels connected with their respective legations. These chapels, of course, are open to all compatriots of the different ministers desirous of joining in their religious services.”/40

The national faith, referred to, applies to the 14th Amendment citizenship. It is a citizenship based on the unilateral charitable social security trust of conscience (religion) of the District of Columbia. Because it is based on a unilateral charitable contract, it cannot be tolerated in the laws of the state where one resides - meaning the laws of the Republic of the [u]nited States of America. The Laws of the Republic and its separation of powers is not governed by the law of conscience or religion. That is, the Constitution mandates that the Republic will not recognize the establishment of a religion, the conscious beliefs of one or a thousand individuals, as a basis for Public Law. Here is the prescribed separation of power. It is governed by the public municipal law of the Constitution of the [u]nited States of America. Religious beliefs are a private matter within each person and are not intended to be enforced on anyone else in the Republic. This has been the very downfall of every civilization. Somebody wants to enforce their conscience - religion - upon everyone else - democracy: the exact cause of the American Revolution of 1776 and the mess of the nation today. (Not exactly, but very close. Economics, for the benefit of the few was the proximate cause of the Revolutionary War. - David)

The “Statute of Charitable Uses” (charitable trusts) was enforced in the 13 original colonies by courts of the Star Chamber/41 enforcing “Writs of Assistance”/42 (such as demands of the conscience of the IRS) and was the cause of the American Revolution. This is because the Statute was based on the parliamentary democracy which received its law based on the king’s conscience - divine right of kings. The “Statute of Charitable Uses” (trusts) never had any force in the (u)nited States until the coming of the 14th Amendment to re-institute the courts of the Star Chamber enforcing “Writs of Assistance.”

For an example of the private conscience law of the church being moved into public policy, look at this:

“The Cathedral Church of Saint Peter and Saint Paul, also known as the National Cathedral, seeks to serve the entire nation as a house of prayer for all people. The concept of such a cathedral dates back to 1791 when Pierre L.’ Enfant specified “a great church for national purposes” in his plan for the city.”/43

So let’s take a look at the exact text of the 14th Amendment so we can see what is taking place.

Amendment XIV (1868) Section 1. “All persons born or naturalized in the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the law.”

Section 2. “Representatives shall be apportioned among the several States according to their respective numbers, counting the whole number of persons in each State, excluding Indians not taxed. But when the right to vote at any election for the choice of electors for President and Vice-President of the United States, Representatives in Congress, the Executive and Judicial officers of a State, or the members of the Legislature thereof, is denied to any of the male inhabitants of such State, being twenty-one years of age, and citizens of the United States, or in any way abridged, except for participation in rebellion, or other crime, the basis of representation therein shall be reduced in the proportion which the number of such male citizens shall bear to the whole number of male citizens twenty-one years of age in such State.”

Section 3. “No person shall be a Senator or Representative in Congress, or elector of President and Vice-President, or hold any office, civil or military, under the United States, or under any State, who, having previously taken an oath, as a member of Congress, or as an officer of the United States, or as member of any State Legislature, or an executive or judicial officer of any State, to support the Constitution of the United States, shall have engaged in insurrection or rebellion against the same, or given aid or comfort to the enemies thereof. But Congress may by a vote to two-thirds of each House, remove such disability.”

Section 4. “The validity of the public debt of the United States, authorized by law, including debts incurred for payment of pensions and bounties for services in suppressing insurrection or rebellion, shall not be questioned. But neither the United States nor any State shall assume or pay any debt or obligation incurred in aid of insurrection or rebellions against the United States, or any claim for the loss or emancipation of any slave; but all such debts, obligations and claims shall be held illegal and void.”

Section 5. “The Congress shall have power to enforce, by appropriate legislation, the provisions of this article.”

First, let’s notice the italicized part of Section 1. Two important facts are derived from this part. One - this Amendment deals with trust law. The phrase “and subject to”/44 is language that is used for trusts which are nothing more than private contractual arrangements.

Two - Section 1 states that you are now to be firstly and primarily a citizen of the United States and secondly a citizen of the State, while outside the 14th

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Amendment, and under the full rights of the Constitution, it is just the opposite.

Next, notice the italicized part of Section 4. According to this, the “validity of the public debt” and all its facets “shall not be questioned.” Whether Amendments to the Federal Constitution have been properly ratified is (usually) a political question.^{/45} A political question means that it is voluntary. The court will never question your choice, but will enforce that choice. This is why Section 4 of the 14th Amendment says “the public debt shall not be questioned.” When one is a beneficiary of the public debt when you have volunteered (politically) for it. It is like suing yourself, it is impossible. Another U.S. Supreme Court decision also verifies that you can reject the benefits of a trust (the public debt) if you realize you are not the beneficiary.^{/46} In other words, is it your will to be a part of the economic benefit of the legislature? If not, then what evidence do you have to show that you have declined to be a beneficiary? This is where your “Declaration of Independence” comes in.

The 14th Amendment is private unilateral contract law being used in the public sector to dictate public policy. Everyone born since 1868 has, by accident of birth, become subject to the 14th Amendment. “Subject to” is accomplished through the constructive trust created under the Roman civil law offer and acceptance principles and all its ramifications, including being citizens primarily of the United States government and not of the state in which you live. Plus, you also have the additional benefit of being part of, and responsible for, the public debt of the trust. The 14th Amendment does not say that all persons are subject to, it says “and subject to” which is the first clue to revealing that each citizen does have a choice as to whether or not they want to be “subject to.”

The 14th Amendment citizenship is one which a citizen keeps unless he voluntarily relinquishes it and which, once acquired, cannot be shifted, canceled, or diluted at the will of the Federal Government, the states, or any other governmental unit.

Allegiance in this country is not due to Congress, but to the people, with whom the sovereign power is found ...

“It was subsequently acknowledged by several members of this Court that a central purpose of the Citizenship Clause was to create an independent basis of federal citizenship, and thus to overturn the doctrine of primary state citizenship.”/
47

SEPARATION OF CHURCH AND STATE

Within the 14th Amendment charitable trust, there is no separation of church and state. Organized religion today is in bed with the government and they are “one flesh” with it. A majority of the public interest of churches today centers on the social issues the government is developing policy over, while the churches are oblivious to the fact that the government is operating as a charitable church

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

trust. That is, government is nothing more than a political church trust for charitable purposes.

The reader must understand that what a man believes in his conscience is his religion. It matters not whether he or she belongs to an organized denomination. It does not even matter if they believe in one God, fifty Gods or no God, their personal belief is their conscience and religion. The conscience or belief of a man is changeable. It is conditioned according to where he or she was born, raised and educated. Conscience is being influenced every day by what one encounters, therefore the conscience is not absolute but rather abstract. What one man would decide regarding some incident or happening may not be the same as what another would decide.

The 1st Amendment of the Constitution was for the purpose of preventing religion from becoming government policy.

Amendment I. (1791) "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

However, this Amendment has been misunderstood according to the court cases that have dealt with it. What the first Amendment is about (literally) is to prevent an individual's personal religious - conscience - from being legislated into law as public policy. The first Amendment said the government was not to interfere with one's right to express his conscience by making any public policy based on it.

"... the term "religion" in this Amendment refers exclusively to a person's views of his relations to his Creator, though often confused with some particular form of worship, from which it must be distinguished;..."/48

"First Amendment gives freedom of mind same security as freedom of conscience."/49

Because of its abstractedness and changeableness, religion has no place in the law. The Law deals only with absolutes. Law is based on the unchangeable just as the laws of the universe express themselves through unchangeable principles - movements of the earth around the sun and seasons of year, etc.. Law is man's right to be free to follow the dictates of his own conscience without harm or interference to himself or others. Roman civil law, as discussed earlier, is the opposite, it dictates what the conscience of an individual should be obligated to by way of the civil government's Codes and laws.

Since the 14th Amendment, religious conscience has been allowed to become public policy. That is, contrary to the first Amendment, a man or a few men's religious ideas are now constantly becoming, or changing, public policy because of the formation of the public charitable (church) trust of the 14th

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Amendment operating outside the confines of the Constitution. Any organization that is incorporated with a non-profit status will fall into the category of a “church” and is involved in public policy of the 14th Amendment church. They are benefiting as a beneficiary of the trust. This means that all income received comes from the trust because of the privilege of existing in the abstract non-profit corporate status. Parallel to this, all profit corporations are churches as well because of their relationship with the 14th Amendment trust. Under the 14th Amendment, individual “persons” are put on the same level as corporations - also called “persons.” The “state” becomes the conscience of every member of its charitable trust and the conscience of the trust is the one who has the greatest amount of influence or money - viz., special interest groups - to sway (viz., lobby) the legislators. If you are involved in trying to influence and shape legislative law - abortion, gun control, vitamin supplements, etc. - you are involved in special interests attempting to dictate public policy by way of the private religious conscience church known as the 14th Amendment charitable public trust of the United States - the federal government. (Brings a whole new meaning to corporations, doesn't it? And where would you go to worship the Almighty dollar? Also brings cities into a different focus, and explains why they are also corporations. - David)

Non-profit groups, small or large, are dead to the law of the Republic. In other words, the “person” is considered an artificial creation of the state or a reincarnated group of legally dead people acting as one corporate person. The jurisdiction in which these “persons” exist is a religious jurisdiction. The only courts that “persons” of the 14th Amendment have access to are legislative courts also called ecclesiastical courts, because they operate in a papal fashion - dictating the conscience of the church (Pope - 14th Amendment charitable trust) as law.

Take a look at the word diocese, decease and decedo. The words demonstrate the jurisdiction, the state of existence and the movement of the persons in the 14th Amendment church trust.

Diocese, n. [OF. diocise, fr. L., Gr. dioikesis housekeeping, province, diocese, deriv. of dia through + oikein to manage a household, fr.oikos a house.]/ 50 Province is also the district over which the jurisdiction of an archbishop extends. Hence Provincial Courts, the ecclesiastical courts of the two archbishops.

A territorial division, or colony, of a country.

Duty; power; responsibility; thus it is the province of the court to judge the law, that of the jury to decide the facts./51

Province, in ecclesiastical geography, usually denotes that union of several dioceses which constitutes an archbishopric; it is often conterminous with several states with an entire country, or with several countries./52

Decease, n. [OF. deces, fr. de + cedere to withdraw.]/53

Decedo (decedent) I. to move down duly, withdraw, retire, ‘clear out’ (with

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

idea of making way for another). a. to retire (in favor of another), to give up rights, possessions, etc. b. to give place, yield to. c. Of living beings: to depart (from life), to die. d. Of things: to abate, subside, cease. II. to go away; go wrong, depart, swerve. 2. Transf. Of duty, faith, etc./54

Because an individual is dead to and departed from the light and life of the law - given up his or her own conscience for another's, viz., the trust - they have descended down from being an absolute sovereign into a lessor law of servitude to the conscience authority of a territory, a territory overseen totally by policy dictated by the conscience of a few controlling the masses for their assumed best good. The person is considered an incompetent under the 14th Amendment. That is, you are incapable of managing your own affairs and have agreed to all of this by your silence - a silence of ignorance. Silence on your part is assumed as acceptance of the economic benefits you were offered at birth by the operation of the 14th Amendment trust law.

EXPATRIATION

On July 27, 1868, one day before the 14th Amendment took effect, an "Act" of Congress was passed. This Act was 15 United States Statute at Large,/55 known as the "Expatriation Statute." Though this Statute is no longer included in the United States Code, it has not been repealed and is still in effect./56 This Statute is extremely important because it is the public municipal law the individual can use for private purposes to remove him/herself from the private trust law operating in the public sector. That is, a private individual, who has found himself or herself bound by private law that is being used in the public sector to promote public policy of compelled performance which he did not have a choice in, can access the public positive statute law to move back under the liberty and protection of the Republic and its separation of powers.

The preamble of 15 United States Statute at Large is unique in that Congress laid the legal discussions to rest before the Statute took effect to assure it would not be tampered with legally in any way. It stands as written and is there for the citizens to use as Public Law for the private purpose of moving themselves from one political or territorial jurisdiction to another. This means there is a way out at anytime of any United States government policy or law, including those of its political subdivisions, that is based on private law. Whenever you find yourself bound by any compelled performance you had no choice in, you are operating in the jurisdiction of the United States government and its political subdivisions where there is no republican form of government and its separation of powers. By applying Public Laws for your private benefit, you can break that dictatorial jurisdiction anytime you choose.

The insidiousness of the 14th Amendment is that even though it is private contract law of a trust, it is not a bilateral contract where both parties sign the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

document after a meeting of the minds. The 14th Amendment is “quasi contractual.” That is, it is not a true contract as recognized in the general common law, rather it is called an “adhesion” or “unilateral” contract where only one party binds himself. In this case, a person agrees to the private trust law merely by his silence. If a person does not speak up to let his choice be known, the trust will assume he or she is a part of and beneficiary of it. They will assume that you have gifted your life to the trust for the benefits they have to offer.

Under the 14th Amendment, the citizen [who does not make his choice known for or against the trust relationship], is assumed to be a beneficiary because he or she has not stated otherwise. As a beneficiary, you are an outlaw as far as the Constitution is concerned. You are operating outside of the Constitution. While operating outside the Constitution you only have relative rights under the Bill of Rights and the Constitution because private contract law takes priority over constitutional law.

PUBLIC POLICY AND THE DEMOCRACY

As long as you are under private trust law operating as public policy, you are under the conscience of the few who influence and make the public policy of the trust for the benefit of its members. These groups are known as “special interest” or “political action” groups. This is why the news reports almost daily that some poll has been done to see how the people feel. Under the 14th Amendment public trust, majority rules. This is why you hear the word: “democracy” all the time. It refers to the 14th Amendment public trust that everyone is a part of because of their silence. It tells you that “mob rule” and “communalism” are the order of the day; it tells you that if a special interest group can create enough waves of influence, the trust will be compelled by popular demand to accept the new policy the special interest group has been promoting. If you are a part of the democratic trust, you have to go along if you do not know your options.

Private law is conscience, ecclesiastical and religious law. They are equal to each other. Under the 14th Amendment trust, there is no true religious liberty because the individual is part of the conscience of the trust and the few that make its rules called “Codes.” In fact, there are no true freedoms at all as listed under the Bill of Rights. Try publicly saying much against the IRS and their prima donna attitude and see how absolute your liberty of speech is. As alluded to earlier, the free citizen of the soil of each “state in this union” is not affected by the private law of another individual or group trust unless they choose to bind themselves by silence. Silence is slavery under Roman civil law principles. Unless one stands to claim his sovereign rights, he does not have any. Each person must exercise a choice to be free or enslaved. The public municipal law will uphold your right of choice, but you must make a choice, which the law can uphold.

Yes, if your are a beneficiary of the trust you are living under an

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

administrative democracy (parliamentary democracy) - a communal association - where there is no separation of powers and your private rights are subject to the will of the majority. You have no absolute rights, only relative rights. The Codes and revised Statutes are for the general good of the association. Few citizens of the (u)nited States realize the "Republic for which it stands" is a house with no one living in it.

With or without the check of a dictator, power has been passing from the legislature to the civil service or bureaucracy, which alone feels competent to manage the complex and technical business of the state./57 Anglo-Saxon countries are taking a place alongside of the countries of continental Europe with a body of administrative law and its administrative courts, at least in embryo. The popular conception of liberalism is undergoing a great change. Liberty lingers on as a name, but a name used to designate almost the opposite of nineteenth century liberalism; for the new liberty consists mainly in legislative restrictions which keep one man from exploiting another while the state exploits both./58

Now take a look at how your own federal government defines the difference between a republic and democracy. The following was taken from U.S. Government Training Manual, No. 2000-25 dated WAR DEPARTMENT, Washington, November 30, 1928 and prepared under direction of the Chief of Staff. Under which do you live?

DEMOCRACY: A government of the masses. Authority derived through mass meeting or any other form of "direct" expression. Results in mobocracy. Attitude toward property is communistic- negating property rights. Attitude toward law is that the will of the majority shall regulate, whether it be based upon deliberation or governed by passion, prejudice, and impulse, without restraint or regard to consequences. Results in demagogism, license, agitation, discontent, anarchy.

REPUBLIC: Authority is derived through the election by the people of public officials best fitted to represent them. Attitude toward property is respect for laws and individual rights, and a sensible economic procedure. Attitude toward law is the administration of justice in accord with fixed principals and established evidence, with a strict regard to consequences. A greater number of citizens and extent of territory may be brought within its compass. Avoids the dangerous extreme of either tyranny or mobocracy. Results in statesmanship, liberty, reason, justice, contentment, and progress. Is the "standard form" of government throughout the world. A republic is a form of government under a Constitution which provides for the election of an executive, and a legislative body, who working together in a representative capacity, have all the power of appointment, all power of legislation, all power to raise revenue and appropriate expenditures, and are required to create a judiciary to pass upon the justice and legality of their

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

governmental Acts, and to recognize certain inherent individual rights. (Please note how carefully this conforms to what existed at that time as the United States of America, and how it reinforces man's right to make law. - David)

Take away any one or more of those four elements and you are drifting into autocracy. Add one or more to those four elements and you are drifting into democracy. Superior to all others. Autocracy declares the divine right of kings; its authority can not be questioned; its powers are arbitrarily or unjustly administered. Democracy is the "direct" rule of the people and has been repeatedly tried without success. Our constitutional fathers, familiar with the strength and weakness of both autocracy and democracy, with fixed principles definitely in mind, defined a representative republican form of government. They "made a very marked distinction between a republic and a democracy and said repeatedly and emphatically that they had founded a republic."

A French diplomat, politician and statesman by the name of Alexis de Torqueville made the following observation about the democracy of the United States when he visited here in the early part of the eighteen hundreds:

"The tyranny of public opinion," de Torqueville argued, "could prove more burdensome than the tyranny of any monarch. Democracy (communalism) does not guarantee efficient government; it does provide freedom for the pursuit of one's own interest, subject always to the tyranny that comes from the majority insisting that its values (religious conscience) and ideas should be safeguarded."

Torqueville saw the new state power as rather like that of the parent, except that the parent prepared the child for manhood; the democratic state was interested in perpetuating childhood in man. It would provide for his necessities, facilitate his pleasures, and direct his industry.

"What remains," Torqueville asked, "but to spare them all the care of thinking and all the trouble of living."/59

LOSING THE LAW

Between 1868 and 1933, the 14th Amendment had little affect upon the general population. This was because the people still controlled the substance of their law. That is, the only people affected by the 14th Amendment relation during this time were those that held licenses and contracts with the government of the United States or were in its employment. It was not until June 5, 1933 that the 14th Amendment took on a whole new power. On that date H.J.R. (House Joint Resolution) 192 was passed and the American people voluntarily gave up their Law because they voluntarily gave up their gold.

That is correct, the people voluntarily gave up their Law. To read the history just after that time and talk to people who lived through it, they will tell about the government agents who came around to confiscate the gold that was in the possession of the people. It appeared from what took place that the people were

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

forced to give up their gold. However, that is not what could have happened. Going along with the “Public Policy” of HJR 192 was actually a voluntary act - “and is mutable at will.”/60 Thus the individual was a victim of his own ignorance about the Law. By accepting the offer of the private credit, the population was automatically bound over to the private trust, now having gone public because the whole population was moved wholesale into the trust by their silent or negative acceptance. When 51% of the population volunteered for the private trust it became a Public Trust.

To understand issues that proceeded the 1933 event, we must go back to 1834 when the U.S. Supreme Court declared in *Wheaton v. Peters*/61 that there was no federal common law. In other words, the federal government was not set up under the common law as a “state in the Union,” such as Pennsylvania, Virginia, New York, etc.. These states were based upon the substance of the common law and its allodial land titles. Allodial means there are no overlords upon the land, therefore, man is his own King upon the land. The gold and silver that came from the allodial land were public money used for private trade between the citizens of the states. This meant there were no third parties involved in the trading contracts because there was no private enterprise trust (as the 14th Amendment) dictating public policy. Trade among the states, at that time, involved two party contracts called free enterprise. The commercial trade taking place between the states was mostly in its infant stages and was regulated by the common law. Yet, the common law of each colony was foreign to each of the other colonies without any standard of trade. Most of the commercial (political commercial/62) trade involved international trade which was regulated under admiralty/maritime law outside constitutional mandates.

With the growth of commerce between the states, there became a need to try and standardize some form of commercial law. Each state had its own laws of commerce, as based on the common law, and this created great problems when it came to which state’s laws were to be enforced when disputes arose. A federal circuit court judge, by the name of Joseph Story, was a pioneer in trying to form some sort of standard in commercial law that would appeal not only to the federal courts, but also to the state courts.

When Story was appointed to the supreme court of the united States he became the principle advocate in the landmark decision of *Swift v. Tyson*,/63 establishing a general federal (civil commercial/64) common law so as to create uniformity in commercial disputes involving negotiable instruments in federal and state courts./65 The decision was based, in part, on the fact that gold and silver coins, as the substance of the common law, were being transported between states in commerce. As a result of the substance of the common law being used in commerce, a jury trial was possible in the federal circuit courts. The court proceedings were strictly operated under authority of Article III, Section 2 of the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Constitution.

Justice Story/66 had been aware of Robert Owen's communal concepts in 1833 and the influence it could have on the loss of gold as a fixed standard in trade. Owen was instrumental in promoting ideas of how to move private communal commerce into the public sector. To accomplish this, the law would have to be changed in order to obtain the maximum financial stimulus for commercial growth. For a man like Story, who knew the relationship of gold to the Law, he could read the handwriting on the wall. With the undercurrent of corporate special interest scheming that started in 1833, Story knew that somewhere down the road the American people would lose their Law. He knew this would eventually allow private law (private law merchant) to be moved into the public sector controlling public policy, resulting in the loss of general (commercial) common law for those involved. In other words, separation of powers would be lost in favor of the private commercial corporate business to the detriment of the average citizen.

Also in the 1842 *Swift v. Tyson* decision, Justice Story would assure a trial by jury in a civil cause between states even if there was no gold standard in the future.

What does a jury have to do with the fixed gold standard? Gold was the land because it not only came from the land, but it was also transportable real estate (portable Allodium). The ancient common law was based on the real property boundaries or soil that belonged to a person and anything that came from that ground or soil, such as gold or any other precious mineral or rock, was considered substance of the soil in the common law.^{/67} Gold in the hands of the common person meant the public municipal law (Public Law merchant) was "supreme" because the person controlled the gold or land where the goods were produced. In the true historic sense of the common law, the only person who counted was the land owner. That is, you could be equivalent to a slave if you did not own land. Also, at the beginning of our country, one could not vote unless they owned land. In a jury trial, the jury had to be made up of the peers of the person on trial. The only true peer of a non-commercial individual land owner under the common law was another land owner. Land ownership being based on absolute rights with allodial titles - no outside private equitable interest or overseer involved.

Historically, the commercial traders and merchants were nomads. They were not land owners nor were they producers. What they made money on was trading in the commodities the land owners produced. In other words, they were the original broker middle men. When the fixed gold standard was removed, it meant that everyone had been shifted from the civil commerce (Public Law merchant) side of the law to the political commence (private law merchant) side of the law. Where once you were considered to control the land and the Law absolutely, now you are considered to be a non-producing trader with only relative equitable rights

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

- land or no land. The result is that there is no more possibility of a trial to judge the public municipal law, rather the trial would be based on the facts of the private implied contract you were now assumed to be involved in. You are assumed to be guilty before proven innocent. It is the Roman civil law that makes you guilty by accusation requiring you to prove your innocence.

Swift v. Tyson has been in effect since 1842. However, the Erie Railroad v. Tompkins/68 decision of 1938 stated that there was no longer “general federal common law.” The Erie

Railroad case was based on the fact that it was assumed that all citizens in the United States have been included in contractual commerce of the private law merchant (through the 14th Amendment and HJR 192) outside the Constitution as allowed by Article I, Section 8, Clause 17. The Erie Railroad decision came five years after HJR 192 (the removal of the fixed gold standard). This allowed enough time to pass so the when people realized that they had no right to a real jury trial, they would not panic. Erie Railroad was based on HJR 192 because the fixed standard (the law or the gold) of money was removed.

It is now up to the individual which commerce he wants to be a part of, for it is a political choice. Do you want to be a part of the political commerce under the private law merchant of the 14th Amendment sustained by Erie Railroad v. Tompkins? Or do you want to have absolute liberty and all the absolute freedoms of civil commerce under the Public Law merchant as supported by Swift v. Tyson? Remember, the courts will not question your political choice but they must uphold it. However, unless you take the proper action, your choice will be assumed to be with the private law merchant.

With HJR 192, the substance of your law - gold - was turned into commodities. That is, the fixed standard, at \$35.00 per troy ounce of weight and fineness of your money was removed. Once the money no longer had a fixed standard, it could then fluctuate according to supply and demand just like a commodity i.e., a bushel of grain. This had the same effect on real property as well - this is called inflation. Money is the only Thing in the United States that has no fixed standard. (Unjust weights and measures. - David)

PRIVATE MONEY

You can still function and contract within the money system of the Republic using the private money because Congress suspended the “Payment” of debt in Law by suspending the fixed gold standard. Even though one is outside the 14th Amendment trust, and not a part or beneficiary of the public policy of the trust, you cannot “Pay” your debts in Law. All you can do is “discharge” your debt in equity./69 Because of this, you are the only one who can determine your worth and values in money and other wise when not under the 14th Amendment.

Please note: the explanation of the money system in this section is for

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

educational purposes only. It is never to be used in any legal arguments, because the choice of the money (public or private) is a political question which the courts do not have jurisdiction to decide.

When the fixed gold standard was suspended in 1933 by HJR 192, it was not an abolishment of the standard or the law associated with it, it was just suspended. That is, it was set aside in favor of another law. It was a political decision based on the fact that the people did not rise up and tell Congress that you cannot take away our law or gold (money). Therefore, the treasury agents came and confiscated the gold (being the Law) because the people did not choose to keep the Law. The individual could have stopped that from happening, but he would have had to have made his legal and political declaration to not be involved with private law for public purposes (democracy) under the 14th Amendment. Because the people were ignorant of what was taking place by operation of law under the 14th Amendment, no one knew how to expatriate back into the Republic Law that was still there.

The Erie Railroad decision saying there was no “general federal common law” was based on the fact that the man who sued the railroad was an outlaw to the Constitution. That is, he had no standing in absolute constitutional law because he was a 14th Amendment citizen and therefore he could not call on any general federal commercial common law that still existed in the Republic for protection./70 He had chosen, by the default of silence, the private law of the 14th Amendment trust for public purposes. He could not claim any rights based upon the Swift v. Tyson decision nor could he access Article III, Section 2 courts of “judicial Power.” Instead, he could only be compelled to resort to Article I legislative courts that operate outside the U.S. Constitution.

The Constitution of the (u)nited States of America uses the term: “the several states.” This means the territorial government and its Article I ecclesiastical or legislative courts. Under Article IV, Section 4, the Constitution uses the term “states in this union.” “States in this union” is different from “the several states” as used in Article I of the Constitution. Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution guarantees the republican form of government. “States in this union” is referring to public municipal law of the Republican states for private purposes while “the several states” refers to private law for making public policy, i.e., trust law including the Uniform Commercial Code./71 Before 1933, you did not have to call on the republican form of government and Article III, Section 2 courts of “judicial Power” because it was automatically there because the gold was there. After 1933, you have to call on the (public municipal law) for private purposes to have the republican form of government because the fixed gold standard is not there. Gold coin today is commodity gold (also called “fiat money”) and that is why it fluctuates in value on the commodity market daily. It is not guaranteed by the U.S. Treasury as to its weight, fineness and fixed standard.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

As to the 16th Amendment, it has not applied since 1933. Today, the 16th Amendment pertains only to the federated states as political subdivisions of the District of Columbia as well as American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, etc., and are construed as “(S)tates” of the United States; not to be confused with the 50 (s)tates of the (u)nion.

Remember that you are presumed to be a 14th Amendment citizen since 1933 unless you bring forth evidence to prove your political choice is otherwise. It is all a part of your express Will. Silence on your part means that you have conveyed your property to the public trust and want to be treated as a constructive trustee outside the Constitution. The IRS and the State Tax Boards are the trustees of your estate because of your silence. If you want to get back to the republican form of law, you have to use the state probate court to sever the trust relationship. Once the trust is broken by the courts noticing your Will in expatriation, you can take back your estate. The trustees received your trust by operation of law. You can only take it back by exercise of your private use of public municipal law. Also remember that the individual is presumed to know the law. Ignorance of the law is not an excuse.

Another very important reason for the courts having to sever the trust relationship is to protect the trust. If there was no judicial noticed action, there would be nothing to stop the individual from bringing suit against the trust to receive benefits from it even though they had never paid a dime in the form of taxes.

The founding fathers established a republican form of government right in the beginning. And what is unique about the (u)nited States being a Republic is that we had a Constitution to spell everything out about its operation in relationship to its Citizens. The Constitution of the (u)nited States of America was designed to protect the minority from the majority. All other republics fail mainly because they do not have an instrument that defines what the republic is and how it should operate.

JURISDICTION OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT

From the beginning, federal district courts had no jurisdiction to deal with the private individual. They only handled admiralty- maritime issues. There were only circuit courts and the (s)upreme (c)ourt of the united States operating in the United States government that could have jurisdiction over matters involving diversity of citizenship. That is, matters involving citizens from different states. The state courts handled federal questions because of them being courts of original jurisdiction in issues that involved contracts. When the 14th Amendment came along, the United States district courts could have jurisdiction in private matters of individuals involved in the trust because the trust and its members now came under admiralty-maritime law outside the Constitution as did all international trade.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

At that point, the federal courts were given “in rem” jurisdiction over the people. The “res”/72 was with the people, because there was no public debt. The “in personam” jurisdiction did not apply to the average citizen because the government had no direct contact with the people who lived in the states until after 1933. When the fixed gold standard was removed, the people lost their Law. Before 1933, the federal courts could not assume jurisdiction over a person. There had to be some bilateral arrangement (contact/conveyance establishing a res or “thing”) that would have given the court jurisdiction over the people in personam.

All the changes from civilian methods result from these changes - the perverted use of “person” and the new concept of “res.”/73

The “Law of persons and things” is the “law of Status.” “Law of Things” is “Law of Property” - or contract. Any changes in an individual’s standing in the law are a result of how he unknowingly allows a res to be formed and thereby becomes subject to another jurisdiction.

There is a difference between “subject matter jurisdiction” and “jurisdiction of the subject matter.” The courts have jurisdiction of the subject matter of the trust res under the 14th Amendment. But as a non-14th Amendment citizen, there is no res to which they - the court - can attach jurisdiction. However, there are areas in the law whereby you can re-convey subject matter jurisdiction to the court.

Before 1933, the federal courts did not have in rem jurisdiction to compel performance of the general public because the people had not given up the law (gold). Unless there was some bilateral contract involved in a dispute, the federal courts could not attach jurisdiction over a person. The federal courts only dealt primarily in contractual disputes between citizens of different states. After 1933, the people contracted for more debts than there was gold to back up those debts. Something like \$28 billion in debt with only \$4 billion in gold to back it. When Congress suspended the gold standard, the nation was thrown into a debtor/creditor relationship because the people are the posterity of the country, they are also the posterity of the debt through the social security system while remaining under the 14th Amendment because it made one primarily a United States (c)itizen and secondarily a citizen of the state. So under the 14th Amendment, you automatically became responsible for servicing the national debt in order to maintain the social security system./74 [Review footnote 24 on constructive trusts].

The public debt then establishes a res in the District of Columbia and since you are primarily a United States (c)itizen under the 14th Amendment, you automatically become a beneficiary of the debt. The res is the debt as well as the subject matter. The public debt operates outside Article III, Section 2 of the Constitution of the United States. This is why the whole judicial system operates outside the Constitution in that they operate only under Article I as judicial

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

functions. Every judge can then render decisions, based on his own prejudices, not on constitutional law of the Republic. Since the 1938 Erie Railroad decision, justices have been free to render Article I ecclesiastical or legislative court decisions based on their own desires or political pressures, not on the Constitution, and they are immune from suit because it is a judicial function, not a “judicial Power” as Article III, Section 2 courts.

Under the 14th Amendment trust relation, the federal government, in dealings with its citizens, automatically has “in rem” jurisdiction over all 14th Amendment citizens (also called U.S. (c)itizens). When the government has in rem jurisdiction, they automatically receive “in personam” jurisdiction at the same time.

“Jurisdiction in rem depends solely on the physical control of the res by the sovereign exercising jurisdiction [14th Amendment jurisdiction of the public charitable trust of D.C.] ... thus where property is carried into a foreign territory [District of Columbia] without the cooperation of the consent of the owner, jurisdiction cannot be exercised.”/75 [Bracket information added]

General jurisdiction is public municipal law for private purposes, while local jurisdiction, also called “local laws,” are private law for public purposes.

When a person expatriates using 15 Statutes at Large, his or her whole estate comes back out of the trust. So the state, under “local law” (that is, Washington D.C. and its political subdivisions) loses the in rem jurisdiction and therefore automatically loses in personam jurisdiction. The court can compel you to appear, but cannot attach subject matter jurisdiction because the subject matter, or the trust res, is no longer in Washington D.C. or its political subdivisions. It has been removed back under the Republic by your political Will in fact, and in law.

HJR 192 is mutable by will./76 The insolvency of the government, as declared by suspension of the gold standard, is not something that everyone has to participate in. Not everyone has to be an “insolvent.” The people put more demands on the payment of gold than there was gold in the treasury so the gold standard was suspended. But the individual does not have to go along with public policy, especially public policy that was a result of private law, viz., private law for public purposes.

Before June 5, 1933, there was public money for private debts. After June 5th, there was private money for public debts. Now all private credit money operating in the public sector as public policy is all that has been available to discharge (not pay) private debts since June 5, 1933. The individual who is a non-14th Amendment citizen can technically maintain the “gold standard,” because all the taxes of compelled performance do not apply to him. Inflation is due to taxes because the taxes support non - producers and thus a sounder dollar results when no taxes are paid. (Technically not correct. - David)

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Since June 5, 1933, everything is predicated on your personal Will. Through public policy and the silence of the individual, it has been assumed that the individual wants to continue the trust relationship and therefore the individual must perform. Performing to the insolvency means that you must contribute to the insolvency. However, the individual does not have to stay bound to the debt of the public policy because it is “mutable by will.” That is, the individual must state his or her will or choice and the law will uphold that individual choice to make public policy toward him of no effect. HJR 192 is an Act that is open ended. That is, you can participate in the public policy that HJR 192 established or you can decline to participate.

It must be understood that in order to make public policy mutable by the Will of the individual, very definite legal procedure must be exercised along with the proper statute law. The Statutes must be exercised with the proper legal procedure to accomplish “mutable by will” viz., state Probate Code, along with 15 Statute at Large published legal notice by Declaration. The Declaration is an express testamentary Will when it has been properly signed and witnessed and published.

Hanson v. Denckla/77 deals with the 14th Amendment jurisdiction. The trust in dispute was a private trust set up according to public municipal law for private purposes in the state of Delaware without any third party relationship.

Prior to the 14th Amendment, an exercise of jurisdiction over person or property outside the foreign state was thought to be absolute nullity, but the matter remained a question of state law over which the court exercised no authority. With the adoption of the 14th Amendment, any judgment purporting to bind the person of the defendant over whom the court had not acquired in personam jurisdiction was void within the state as well as without. Pennoyer v. Neff, 95 U.S. 714. Since the state is forbidden to enter a judgment attempting to bind a person, over whom it has no jurisdiction, it has even less right to enter a judgment purporting the interest of such person and property over which the court has no jurisdiction. From Pennoyer v. Neff we come to the more flexible standard of International Shoe Co. v. State of Wash., 326 U.S. 310, but it is a mistake to assume that this trend heralds the eventual demise of all restriction on personal jurisdiction of state courts. Those restrictions are more than a guarantee of immunity from inconvenient or distant litigation. They are a consequence of territorial limitations on the power of the respective states. However minimal the burden of defending in a foreign tribunal a defendant may not be called on to do so unless he had minimal contacts with that state that are a prerequisite to its exercise of power over him. This means that Florida had no relationship or contract that tied back to the corpus of the trust in Delaware. Therefore, the 14th Amendment did not apply, so as to give Florida any jurisdiction. Even before passage of the 14th Amendment, the court in International Shoe Co. sustained the state courts in refusing full faith and credit to judgments

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

entered by courts that were without jurisdiction over a non resident defendant. But it is essential in each case that there be some act by which the defendant purposely avails itself of the privilege of conducting activities within the forum state, thus invoking the benefits and protection of its laws.

The “forum state,” in the case of the non-14th Amendment citizen, is the corporate municipal city of Washington, D.C.. “Full faith and credit” means that we will recognize your laws if you will recognize our laws. So in this particular case, the U.S. (S)upreme (C)ourt was saying that Florida had no legal direct tie to the corpus or body of the trust and therefore they had no full faith and credit under the 14th Amendment to give jurisdiction to act on. The U.S. (S)upreme (C)ourt based their decision on the ruling of the Delaware Supreme Court who had ruled on the corpus of the trust and what the intent of the settler (the person who made the trust) was.

In other words, the 14th Amendment can work in the favor of non- 14th Amendment persons because it brings a dividing line down between the Public Laws and the private laws.

YOUR WILL WAS PROBATED

It may come as a surprise to realize that your Will was probated the day you were born. Yes, it is true. The very day you were born by accident into the United States is the day you died to the Law of the Republic./78 In other words, by operation of law, you were born into the corporate municipal legislative democracy of Washington, D.C..

It is presumed that everyone born into this country since 1933 has wanted to be a part of the public policy of the municipal corporation of the District of Columbia. This is because the public trust was established by public policy when the gold was removed as a standard in payment of debt. Up until the gold was removed, less than 51% of the population was involved as beneficiaries of the 14th Amendment trust. The moment the gold standard was removed, more than 51% of the population automatically became members of the trust. This meant the private municipal trust could be moved into the public sector to become public policy because the amount of the population volunteering for the benefits indicated a public desire. In addition, the trust was confirmed by the U.S. (S)upreme (C)ourt decision of Erie Railroad v. Tompkins in 1938 saying “there is no general federal common law.” In other words, it is now presumed that everyone is a 14th Amendment “person” as implied by law and so silence on the part of the citizen is his consent to be treated as a “constructive trustee” and as primarily being a United States citizen.

Despite the suspension of the fixed gold standard, the path to liberty for the individual lies in the state court of probate because the general common law of the soil still lies in the state courts.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

“In the absence of the gold standard, there is no way to protect savings from confiscation through inflation./79 There is no safe store of value. If there were, the government would have to make its holdings illegal, as was done in the case of gold. If everyone decided, for example, to convert all his bank deposits to silver or copper or any other goods, and thereafter declined to accept checks as payment for goods, bank deposits would lose their purchasing power and the government created bank credit would be worthless as a claim on goods. The financial policy of the welfare state [14th Amendment trust] requires that there be no way for the owners of wealth [property] to protect themselves.”/80 [Bracket information added]

Make no mistake, Congress is going to re-establish the gold standard in the near future, but it will be unfixed. (This has already been done. - David) The establishment of the unfixed gold standard will not change the law back to the way it was before 1933. Just because the Congress re-establishes the gold standard does not mean the masses of people will automatically be back under public municipal law. It will still mean that if the individual wants to be free of the oppressive government of private law, it will take the individual effort for each to expatriate from the democracy back to the Republic. In reality, returning to the non-fixed gold standard will only instill confidence in the people via a hard money system in the now crumbling credit system that has only the belief of the people as its real value. (Technically, this is incorrect. Credit money has value because of the need of people to obtain it to pay off the debts denominated in credit for which their real property is pledged. - David) In effect, those who expatriate now are under the non-fixed gold standard. When the unfixed gold standard is re-established by Congress, those who remain as 14th Amendment citizens will still be 14th Amendment citizens under the compelled performance of the democracy despite the return of the gold standard. It will continue to be your right of choice as to whether you want to be governed by a Republican form of government under public municipal law or a democracy under private law.

REAL PROPERTY

There is absolutely no reason why anyone should lose his real property to this communistic system - democracy. The reason people do lose their property is because they are 14th Amendment citizens. As 14th Amendment citizens, you have only an equitable interest in the property. Technically speaking, you have legal and equitable interest, but you cannot execute upon the legal interest. This is because, as 14th Amendment citizens, you have no access to the Law side of the court. With equitable interest, you cannot prove superior title to access the land as a citizen of the soil, which is the proper name for a non- 14th Amendment citizen. You must remember that it is your standing in the law that determines whether you have access to the Law to save your land. It is not determined by the title to the land as all land titles in the United States of America are allodial. Thus, land

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

titles deal with land. Jurisdiction of the 14th Amendment deals only with the person in relation to his interest in the land. A commercial system cannot create credit against the substance of the common law - land. They can only create it through the person under the 14th Amendment.

Within the Declaration of Independence, Thomas Jefferson wrote:

“... all Men are ... endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable/81 Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness...”

You will notice that real property is not listed as an “unalienable” Right. This is because real property was the absolute substance that made the individual sovereign (absolute king in his own right) in America - it was the common law. In the feudal systems of Europe, the kings and the church were considered as the absolute authority or sovereign, because they owned the land. Jefferson did not consider real property even remotely close to falling into an alienable or unalienable Right because the substance of the land was the basis of that liberty. Land could not be pledged in commerce because it is unmovable and is the substance of the common law. You cannot take sovereignty (land) from a sovereign. Sovereignty, after all, implies that nothing can be more supreme than supremacy, so supremacy cannot yield its essence (land) to another. However, the sovereign can give sovereignty up by his or her choice - as per the 14th Amendment. The people hold the land. If the land were considered to be a substance that could be alienated by the government, the government would be the sovereign or king and the people would be the serfs again as in Medieval Europe. Remember, the land is the law. He who controls the land controls the law.

“The power to alienate the unpeopled territories of any state, is not among the enumerated powers, given by the Constitution to the general government, and if we go out of that Instrument and accommodate to exigencies which may arise by alienating the unpeopled territory of a state, we may accommodate ourselves a little more by alienating that which is peopled, and still a little more by selling the people themselves.”/82

Within the 14th Amendment, the people have had their property reclassified into an alienable Right as in Roman civil law. The result is that the people have been sold into slavery (serfdom) of the trust. Thomas Jefferson said, “The land belongs to the living.” When a person is civilly dead to the law, he is as good as being physically dead - he or she cannot own property in the absolute sense.

IT'S PURE LAW

The question that often is raised by individuals who were aware of the hurdles of the court system is, “How are you assured that you will be dealt with fairly in the court system?”

First of all, we know the lower court judges are going to be ignorant of public municipal law for private purposes or the separation of powers principles. They

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

have been born and raised, so to speak, in the trust system and all its Codes. The only way we may get due process is to Appeal to the appellate courts. In other words, when you deal with issues of law, the lower courts want those issues dealt with by the more qualified higher courts.

The second question that follows is, "How do you know the [s]upreme [c]ourt/83 of the United States will hear your case?" Many may not know that there are two floors to the [s]upreme [c]ourt building itself. The second floor has not been used since 1933 when the people gave up their law - their gold. The second floor represents a higher law. It is that higher law that is being accessed with this approach. Anytime the higher law is at issue - U.S. constitutional issue - the [s]upreme [c]ourt has to hear the case. There is no option.

Fourteenth Amendment citizens do not have the prerogative of being heard at that level of law because they are operating at law outside the Constitution.

TAKE BACK YOUR ESTATE

It seems that if one seriously questions the government's tax and economic policy, or challenges the tax collecting agencies, that he will be labeled a "tax protester." Remember, a "tax protester" is a 14th Amendment person who is required to file a return and pay a tax. However, you must take aim at the agencies that are the trustees of your estate and when you do, you will be dealing directly with the Internal Revenue Service and the taxing agencies of your state. Taking back your estate means revoking the gift held in trust - "constructive trust" held by the taxing agencies. [Review footnote 24 on constructive trusts]

Starting the process of moving your political choice back under republican laws requires that you state your Will. That is, you must make a public declaration of what your political Will is under the Constitution. Do you want to be a part of the public policy - the trust - or do you want to be able to use public municipal law for your private benefit. Making your Will known requires that your declaration be specific as to your desire about severing the trust.

It is generally recognized that the acceptance of a beneficial testamentary gift, evidenced by signing a IRS W-4 form or similar tax form, will convey the same results as voting. The opinion has been frequently expressed that renunciation of such a gift, in order to be effective, must be express, clear and unequivocal, as by some positive act or statement of the beneficiary./84 The following could be your Will by declaration and thus your political decision to choose the Republican form of government. Pay attention to the content of the sample declaration. Content is important.

DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE

I, John [and/or Jane Doe] in the name of the Almighty Creator, By [my/our] Declaration of Independence solemnly Publish and Declare [my/our] Right to expatriate absolute, [my/our] res in trust to the foreign jurisdiction known as the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

municipal corporation of the District of Columbia, a democracy, and return to the Republic. Any and all past and present political ties implied by operation of law or otherwise in trust with the democracy is hereby dissolved. I, John [and/or Jane Doe] have full power to contract, establish commerce as guaranteed by the full 10 Amendments to the Bill of Rights to the Constitution of the [u]nited States of America, a Republic.

So Done this _____ day of _____, 19____.

Signed, _____

Address _____

Affirmed and subscribed before me this _____ day of _____, 19____

Name of Notary _____

Notary Public Seal

Publishing your Declaration of Independence according to your state's Legal Notice Statute fulfills this requirement. Some states require the Legal Notice to be published only once, other states require three times, some more, etc.. Check your Legal Notices in your state Statute books. Note: Some newspapers will want to put the declaration under Public Notice which is OK.

A word of caution. Some people have filed their "Notice" in the court without advertising in the newspaper. If your state Statute books require a "Notice" to be published in the newspaper and you do otherwise, the system does not have to recognize the "Notice," so beware.

You must start your process of severing the Trust by filing your Declaration of Independence. Once you have filed it and it has been advertised, the newspaper will send you back an Affidavit of Publication. This will be one of the "Exhibits" you will use as evidence to the probate court of your will. \par What Have You Lost Or Gained

In the 14th Amendment trust, you were offered benefits. When you move back to the Republic, you lose those benefits and you gain freedoms. Here are a few examples.

Again, you will have to go to the Internet for a true rendition of Table 2.

WHAT HAVE YOU LOST OR GAINED:

LOSES: Relative property rights; Compelled performance, guilty until proved innocent; Social Security; All government aid; Government supervision; Indirect Taxes; Licenses.

GAINS: Absolute property rights; True liberty to volunteer, innocent until proved guilty; Develop own security; Pursue interests without interference; Develop own standards; Only direct taxes. Truer value to every dollar one earns from financial pursuits; Full right to contract with anyone for anything without licenses.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

BE YOUR OWN LAWYER

Did you know that your state's Attorney General's office is not within the true government (non-commercial) complex? In fact, you may find it housed with the tax collecting and enforcing agencies. This is because they are there only to handle private law for public commercial purposes. This is why all attorneys have the title "attorney at law." They are only licensed to practice private law for public commercial purposes.

Only the individual, as a non-14th Amendment citizen, can be an attorney "in law." /85 This is because you, as the governed, control the absolute law when in the Republic. You can exercise control over the grant that authorizes those who have the privilege - franchise - to use private "at law" /86 and its equity for public commercial purposes. In other words, the individual has the power, as a citizen of the Republic, to torpedo and destroy private commercial law ventures that are being misused for public commercial purposes to his or her detriment.

We are each personally obligated by the Declaration of Independence to individually challenge unjust private law, making unjust commercial policy that violates our personal liberty. When we all personally and individually gain the inspiration of the Declaration of Independence as the early citizenry of this country did, we will each see "... a long train of abuses and usurpations ... to reduce them [us] under absolute despotism, it is their [our] right, it is their [our] duty, to throw off such government, and to provide new guards for their [our] future security. ... to alter their [our] former systems of government." Each of us functioning in this individual capacity can act as a majority to destroy the "despotism" of private law operating as public policy opposing our absolute freedoms.

In the Republic, the majority does not rule - the individual rules. The Constitution is designed to protect the minority from the majority because it provides for the private individual to use public laws to protect his personal belief system from the majority.

If you decide to pursue expatriation by using 15 Statute at Large and filing your declaration, you need to be aware that you cannot use as precedent law that others have gone this way before you. In other words, you cannot use the fact that someone else has expatriated and gone through the probate court to have their trust under the 14th Amendment severed as a reason why the court should act only on your behalf. Each case is individual and separate and is based on pure Statute and case law. What Joe Blow does has no bearing on your case in the court.

Licensed lawyers are not going to be of any help. Typically they are only familiar with pleading the Codes under the 14th Amendment. In fact, their title "Attorney at Law" says it all. It means they are licensed to practice in private commercial law. They can only function in Article I courts at Law. Few attorneys will even understand this subject because they are schooled that the state is

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

sovereign.

THE CONSTITUTION

As a political document, the U.S. Constitution is little read and poorly understood. Yet it outlines the incredible ways that a truly free people can obtain and retain liberty. Unless certain aspects of its structure and meaning are understood, it will be impossible to realize the true genius of the document as it reveals the pure principles of liberty.

The Constitution embraces two systems of law.

First, public municipal law for private purposes operating in personam (in and for the individual person).

Second, private law for public purposes operating in rem (in and for property or anything that has nothing to do with the individual).

What is hard to initially understand is that the men who wrote this document wrote it in such a way that it would allow for the very things that government is doing today that we detest so much. All of the despicable Regulations and interference of “big brother,” with his detested heavy-handed tactics are all properly allowed by our Constitution. They are perfectly legal. This is because the United States government is allowed to operate outside the Constitution because it is operating in private Roman civil law. It is not treasonous for it to carry on the way it does, but it is treasonous that the citizenry are ignorant of their republican rights that can keep the government in check by removing the Roman civil law.

Of the two systems of law that the Constitution embraces, the entire population have been herded, over the years, into operating only in the private unilateral contractual side. This is the side where we have volunteered unknowingly into giving up the part of the Constitution that was designed to keep the private law out of public policy if used, accessed and maintained by the people.

What is unfortunate is that the citizen continues to assume that voting is making their desires known and that the government basically has the interest of the individual in mind. All the time unaware that private corporate business interest is what the government is there for (at this point) because the house of the Republic of the [u]nited States of America (ignorantly vacated) remains empty.

Table 3 is an attempt to contrast the two sides to the Constitution and how you are affected by them when you are operating in that area. The statements are intended to be self- explanatory. This table may form the basis of seminar discussions on moving yourself back into the Republic.

Again, to get the true Table 3, please refer to the Internet.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

THE TWO SIDES TO THE CONSTITUTION:

Political Constitution: Statutes at Large (positive law); Bill of Rights; in Law (“in jure” = in law by right); Article III Courts of judicial Power in Law and Equity; Law of land; Negotiable Instrument Law - all debt must be paid; Statutes are public municipal law to be used for private purposes - acts on person (in personam); de jure government (inside Constitution); General Law, Sustained by “Swift v. Tyson”; Gold Standard; Public Law Merchant uses no inflation - true productivity is key. Prices at par value; Bilateral Contracts, Where there is a meeting of the minds. Two party transaction. No compelled performance; Common Civil Law, jus non scriptum; Absolute Rights and title to self and property. Substance of Public Law is the rights of man; Operates under Art. IV, Sec. 4, “No corruption of blood” (cannot interfere with estate); Non-14th Amendment individual; Private individual; Freedom of conscience of individual, beholding to no one; Democratic Republic, “states in this union”; “the” territory; Separation of Powers (separation of church and state); No communal relationship; Direct Taxes; 15 Statute at Large is designed to keep federal courts from taking jurisdiction. Courts cannot take judicial notice of 14th Amendment; Doctrine of compliments, Special individualism; Innocent until proved guilty, Burden of proof rests with the accuser; Plead to the Law or Statute for defense. Law awards damages and Equity on this side. Compels performance of award; Fixed in place and time as in permanent domicile or resident. Real-substance matter and content. Heart-Soul-Spirit; Individual incentive and true production.

Economic Constitution: Code Pleading (non positive law); Amendments 11 to 25; at law; Article I Courts also called Territorial Courts - referred to as Legislative or Ecclesiastical Courts; Law of sea; Limited liability in maritime venture for payment of debt; Revised Statutes are private national law for public purposes “in rem.” Rem acts on the “res” or “the thing;” de facto government (outside Constitution) Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 17; Local Law, Sustained by “Erie RR v. Tomkins”; Uniform Commercial Code; Private Merchant use inflation to fund growth - false production, No fixed standard; Unilateral (implied) Contracts, Where there is a silent third party involved in compelling performance. Trust Law; Roman Civil Law, Admiralty-Maritime Privilege jus pontificum fas (ecclesiastical- church law); Relative Rights to self and property. Substance of private law is the conscience of trust; Operates under Art. I, Sec. 8, Cl. 4 - (can interfere with estate under private “implied contracts); 14th Amendment “person”; Individual considered commercial person or “goods in commerce” for servicing public debt. Also referred to by state as “human resource.”; Freedom of conscience as long as it agrees with the majority or the masses; Administrative Democracy “several states of the union”; “a” territory; No separation of powers (no separation of church and state); Confederacy under Articles of Confederation and N.W. Ordinance; Indirect Taxes; All courts take jurisdiction through the 14th Amendment until one proves otherwise.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Codes are streamlined private interpretation of statutes at large for public purpose. Codes allow the courts to take judicial notice of 14th Amendment. Codes apply to anyone who has not made a public notice of his political choice (Will) by declaration; Unisex, No individualism; Guilty until proved innocent, Burden of proof rest with the accused; Res judicata - judgment bases on merits of case and legal precedence. Courts tell what is the intent of legislation. Issue already decided, have no legal recourse; Twilight Zone, Quasi Law. No time and place. Only exist in abstract space. Artificial-abstract false and theoretical, Conscience, Changeable; No initiative and no true production.

POLITICAL ACTION GROUPS

If you are trying to be involved in shaping public policy, you are trying to use private law for public purposes or private church law to manipulate public commercial policy. No one really wants to have a church or another individual, without the option of choice, dictate what he should think or do. Yet what is happening with special interest groups is just that. Political action groups, also called special interest groups, i.e, environmental, health, labor, industrial associations, state, county/borough/city coalitions, religious foundations, etc., are nothing more than individuals who have banded together because of a common belief of conscience. Their endeavor is to put pressure on the lawmakers of the 14th Amendment trust to pass laws that favor their beliefs. If they are successful, then the laws that result become the policy of the trust that bind the rest of the 14th Amendment trust beneficiaries whether they like it or not. If they don't, then another special interest group is formed to try and counter the previous one and so it goes, ad nauseam. The politicians become the pawns of the most powerful special interest groups.

The only way to change public policy is to prevent private law from having any part in making public policy. This can only be accomplished by each individual acting separately and independently using Public Laws for private purposes. The only way the individual can do this is to move out of the public charitable, religious trust that is making the public policy and take back his estate into his absolute control. Remember, Public Laws are laws that guarantee separation of powers so private conscience laws cannot dictate public policy. All political action groups have failed to make any difference, because of their inability to recognize that our nation was established first and foremost as an assembly of individuals acting independently in their own best interest without harm to another - basic general common law.

Even if political action groups went so far as to foster a constitutional convention, the basic Constitution could not be changed. What the citizen is unaware of is that the first ten Amendments to the Constitution, called the Bill of Rights, were passed as public in Law Amendments by the "states in this union"

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

known as the Republic of the United States of America. These do not apply to the “several states” that are political subdivisions of “a territory” of the 14th Amendment trust of the District of Columbia called the “democracy.” In the opposite vein, Amendments 11 through 25 were passed as private at law Amendments by the “several states” operating as political subdivisions of the trust and have no application to the Republic and its citizens. Amendments 11 through 25 function outside the Constitution. Any additional Amendments that would be added by a constitutional convention would be added as more private law only by the “several states” as a “democracy” outside the Republic and its Constitution. The more Amendments the democracy wants to add will not give more freedom and rights, on the contrary, only more oppression and control.

Any special interest group who says that the Constitution is going to be changed and/or repudiated in the future does not understand what they are talking about.

First, because the repudiation of the Constitution was started by the passing of the 14th Amendment in 1868 and completed by the people giving up their law (gold) in 1933 to move out from under the Republic and its absolute constitutional protected rights to parliamentary democracy, and

Second, because the basic Constitution of the Republic can only be changed by the people of the Republic and there is nobody living there. The only changes to the Constitution that the 14th Amendment trust democracy, and its political interest groups, can make as to the Amendments that it made for itself and its citizens - that only comes with more control and oppression.

As long as the people of the democracy continue to function under the group mentality (based on mob rule of opinion polls under the Roman civil law), more and more demands are put on the private commercial system. The more claims for benefits from the system, the greater the tyranny and oppression required to make the people perform to the debt and the interest on the debt that is created in order to supply the peoples’ demands. It is the debt, and its uncontrolled interest, that is causing the production of the American worker to come to a halt. He is being taxed in ever increasing amounts and ways to try and pay for the national debt he has unknowingly and voluntarily demanded by his silence, a silence that is financing his destruction.

Government produces nothing, it can only take away. Why can’t the people see that the same thing is happening in the government today that happened in those 147 communist social experiments in the early days of our country? The non-producers overwhelmed the producers to cause a total collapse of the commune.

It is bizarre how the people of our nation sense something is drastically wrong, both politically and economically, and yet keep making all manner of beneficial claims (now they are pushing for national health insurance), the very

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

cause of our national economical illness. It seems that no one can see the forest for the trees. No one can see that they must unequivocally stop all demands from the government and become self-sufficient at all cost. When individuals change their standing in the law from 14th Amendment citizens, dependent on the social insurance trust, to non -14th Amendment citizens who are self-sufficient operating under the Public Law merchant - our nation will change and not before.

POSTSCRIPT

Having been exposed to most of the information from various factions of the “patriot” sector on how to get back our rights under the Constitution, none have ever addressed the real issues of law. The groups that are claiming victories in their skirmishes with big brother are not winning on issues of law, rather the wins are nothing more than the result of technical knockouts. Their skill at discovering procedural fouls of either rules or Codes that govern the system they are an intimate part of, is the measure of their success or failure. Even with a legal win, under the 14th Amendment trust and its conscience, there is nothing to prevent the trust from instituting new proceedings at a later date. This is because the conscience of the trust is altered according to expediency. The real issues of law, that are the foundation of our political system, continue to evade the so-called “patriot.”

“If laws are to have a binding force, it follows that, in view of the right of self-consciousness, they must be universally known To hang the laws so high that no citizen could read them (as Dionysius the tyrant did) is injustice of one and the same kind as to bury them in row upon row of learned tomes, collections of dissenting judgments and opinions, records of customs, etc., and in a dead language too, so that knowledge of the law of the land is accessible only to those who have made it their professional study.”/87

Hegel’s comments are extremely appropriate for today even though they were written in the last century. What has been discovered is comparable to a revisiting of the chambers where our founding fathers met in secret. They purposely disguised some of the language in terms that would not allow tampering and loss of basic issues of law that are the foundation of the Republic. A foundation based on the common civil law without the private conscience of any church/charitable organization.

Yes, it is the peoples’ fault - our fault for allowing a complacency about our liberty to put us to sleep. In the beginning of our country, every household studied the law as much as they studied their Bibles. They came to appreciate knowing and using the Law more than any modern day attorney. However, gradually the professional attorney at law dominated the political picture and this led to our lawmakers being better informed in private law for commercial purposes, because it was their specialty. Thus, our government and its vast majority of private “at

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

law” law makers turned its citizens into people who only knew what it was like to operate under private church law controlling commercial public policy. This has given us a school system, both public and private, that is graduating students who have no idea what absolute freedoms of the Constitution mean. Students are born, bred and raised on the prejudice toward an old communal democracy being advertised as the New World Order where the state is sovereign, not the individual.

From the historical records, it is evident that our forefathers knew that at some point beyond their time, the majority of people of this nation would get enticed and prejudiced into an economic jurisdiction that would become repugnant. The Constitution allowed those repugnant jurisdictions, but it also made provision for one to walk away from them anytime they would individually choose. Knowing the law will allow one to do it and that is what this Treatise is all about.

FOOTNOTES

1. George Rapp’s commune in Harmony PA. was moved to Evansville, Indiana. After a time it was sold to Robert Owen, when George Rapp moved to Economy PA, just north of Pittsburgh. The physical remains of both communes have been converted to historical sites today.

2. Private property as meant by Fourier was in reality Quasi private (seemingly but not really) and not allodial as was established in (u)nited States of America.

3. “An Association is an assemblage of persons (from four to eighteen hundred) united voluntarily for the purpose of prosecuting, with order and unity, the various branches of Industry, Art and Science, in which they engage; and of directing their efforts, energies and talents, in the best way for the happiness and elevation of the whole.”

4. “... rule by the entire adult male citizen body, known to later detractors as ‘ochlocracy’ or mob rule.” Burns, J.H., *The Cambridge History of Medieval Political Thought*, Cambridge University Press, 1988.

5. *Smith v Allwright*, 321 U.S. 649, 88 L.Ed. 987, 64 S.Ct. 757, 151 ALR 1110, reh den 322 U.S. 769, 88 L.Ed. 1594, 64 S.Ct. 1052.

6. Weldon, T.D., “*The Vocabulary Of Politics*,” 1953. Weldon was a Fellow of the College and Tudor in Philosophy, Rhodes Scholar.

7. Karl Marx, “*Communist Manifesto*” of 1848.

8. *Sokoloff v National City Bank of N.Y.*, 239 N.Y. 158, 145 N.E. 917 [1924].

9. Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution of the (u)nited States of America.

10. *Hale v Henkel*, 201 US 43 (1905).

11. *Ruling Case Law*, Vol. 5, Section II, “Adoption of English Common Law in America.”

12. *Jefferson to Monroe*, May 20, 1782, *Jefferson Papers*, IX, p. 380, Boyd

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Edition. excerpt from the book "The Creation Of The American Republic," 1776-1787, (p. 610) by Gordon S. Wood, 1969.

13. Freytag v. C.I.R., 111 S.Ct. 2631 (1991).

14. The word (u)nited, as in (u)nited States of America shows that it is not a proper noun as in the original and actual use of the word, and it is not misspelled.

15. "A case in admiralty does not, in fact, arise under the Constitution or Laws of the United States." American Ins. Co. v Canter, 1 Pet. 511, 545 (1828).

16. Burns, J.H., The Cambridge History of Medieval Political Thought, Cambridge University Press, 1988, pages 65-68.

17. Rand, E.K., Founders Of The Middle Ages, (1928) Chapter 1.

18. Black Letter Law referred to the laws of servitude to the church or king. Black was representative of the unquestioned authority of the priest's dictates.

19. Luke v. Lyde, 2 Burr. R. 883-887.

20. Letter to Judge John Ryler, June 17, 1812 by Thomas Jefferson.

21. Letter to Dr. Thomas Cooper, February 10, 1814 titled "Christianity And The Common Law."

22. Ibid.

23. Letter - Lincoln to H.L. Pierce., 1859

24. A constructive trust because of inferred or presumed intent of a property owner, as distinguished from a trust based on intent, which is directly or clearly expressed. A constructive trust is a remedial device of the court of equity for taking property from one who has acquired or retained it wrongfully and vesting title in another in order to prevent unjust enrichment. It is not based on intent of the parties, but rather is created by the court in order to achieve an equitable result. This is precisely what the IRS or any other authority does. They construct a trust, based on your silence, under executive and legislative authority to prevent unjust enrichment upon its 14th Amendment beneficiaries.

25. "... the Goddess Minerva ... who sprung full-grown from the brain of Jupiter, typifies the political birth of California, which became a state without probation as a territory." From March Fong Eu, Secretary of State.

26. The common law is referred to as the "general (commercial) common law" to remind readers that, in early nineteenth century usage, "common law" was a general (commercial) common law shared by the American states rather than a common law of a particular state.

27. Fletcher, William A., "The General Common Law and Section 34 Of The Judiciary Act Of 1789: The Example of Marine Insurance," Harvard Law Review, Vol. 97, No. 7, May 1984, page 1515.

28. When the people lost their law by the removal of the gold standard, they automatically were assumed to be accepting the trust relationship and its

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

benefits. When a private charitable trust has at least 51% of population participating, it becomes a public trust.

29. Strayer, Joseph R., *On The Medieval Origins Of The Modern State* [1979].

30. 78th Congress, 1st Session, Jan. 1, 1943 to March 1, 1943. Words of Mr. Edwin Arthur Hall on January 27th. This was the year that personal income taxes started.

31. Wills, Gary, *Inventing America, Jefferson's Declaration of Independence*, quoted from *Jefferson's Commonplace Book*.

32. *Swift v. Tyson*, 16 Peters 1 (1842).

33. *Erie Railroad v. Thompkins*, 304 U.S. 64.

34. Referring to the individual person or "the person."

35. Referring to general things of possession called "the thing."

36. *Wong Kim Ark*, 169 US 649.

37. Collins, Charles Wallace, M.A., Fellow in University of Chicago, Member of the Alabama Bar, *The Fourteenth Amendment And The States: A Study Of The Operation Of The Restraint Clauses Of Section One Of The Fourteenth Amendment Of The Constitution Of The United States*.

38. Washington's "Farewell Address" to the American People, September 17, 1796.

39. 11th Congress, 3d Session, No. 294, President Madison's Objections to the Bill "Incorporating The Protestant Episcopal Church In The Town of Alexandria, In The District of Columbia," Communicated to the House of Representatives, February 21, 1811.

40. 40th Congress, 1st Session, Ex. Doc. No. 6, House of Representatives, *Protestant Church at Rome, Message from the President of the United States*, March 15, 1867.

41. A private court of the king to enforce his arbitrary proclamations and demands.

42. A document issued from the kings court (court of chancery) to aid in enforcing its decree to bring about a change of title to real and personal property.

43. *Frommer's Washington D.C.* by Rena Bulkin and Faye Hammel, page 157, [1989-1990]

44. SUBJECT TO. Liable, subordinate, subservient, inferior, obedient to; governed or affeted by; provided that; provided; answerable for. *Black's Law Dict.* 4th Ed.

45. *Coleman v. Miller*, 307 US 433, 83 L.Ed. 1385, 122 ALR 695.

46. *Jewett v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue*, (1982) 455 US 302, 311; 71 L.Ed. 170, 176; 102 S.Ct. 1082.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

47. *Beys Afroyin v Dean Rusk, Secretary of State*, (1967) 387 US 253, 18 L.Ed.2d 757, 762.

48. *Davis v Beason*, 133 US 333, 10 S.Ct. 299, 33 L.Ed. 637.

49. *Thomas v Collins*, (1945) 323 US 516, 89 L.Ed. 430, 65 S.Ct. 315.

50. *Webster's Dict.* 1947.

51. *Ibid.*

52. *Johnson's Universal Cyclopedia*, 1891.

53. *Latin Dict.*

54. *Ibid.*

55. 15 United States Statutes at Large, Ch. 249-250, pps 223- 224, Section 1, R.S. 1999, 8 USC 1481.

56. *Briehl v. Dulles*, 248 F2d 561, 583 at footnote 21, (1957).

57. "This is the greatest danger that today threatens civilization: State intervention. Society will have to live for the government machine. And as, after all, it is only a machine whose existence and maintenance depend upon the vital supports around it, the state, after sucking out the very marrow of society, will be left bloodless, a skeleton, dead with that rusty death of machinery, more gruesome than the death of a living organism. The whole of life is bureaucratic. What results? The bureaucratization of life begins about its absolute decaying all order. Wealth diminishes, bursts are few. Then the state, in order to attend to its own needs, forces on still more the bureaucratization of human existence [the militarisms of society]." Gasset, J. Ortega, *The Revolt Of The Masses*, [1932] page 132-133 (Excerpt from *Political Institutions*, A Preface page 56 [1938] by Edward McChesney Sait, Professor of Political Science, Pomona Collage)

58. *Ibid.*

59. "Democracy," from *Dictionary Of The History of Ideas*, Vol. 1, 1973

60. *Funk v U.S.*, 290 U.S. 371 (1933)

61. *Wheaton v. Peters*, 8 Pet. 591

62. Political Commerce is also referred to as the "Private Law Merchant."

63. *Swift v. Tyson*, 16 Peters 1 (1842).

64. Civil Commerce is also referred to as "Public Law Merchant."

65. *Clearfield Trust v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363, 63 S.Ct. 573.

66. There were many influential Americans who were interested in Owen's "New View of Society." Among those were Chancellor James Kent who wrote *Commentaries on American Law*. Jonathan Mayhew Wainwright, Bishop of Grace Church of New York, John McVickar of Columbia University, David Golden former Mayor of New York City, Supreme Court Justice Joseph Story. All had talks with Owen on his communitarian ideas. Later Owen was granted the Hall of

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Representatives in the Capitol for presenting his ideas. First time by Henry Clay the speaker, and second by President John Quincy Adams, Ex-President James Monroe, members of the cabinet, the Supreme Court and the Congress.

67. The common law, as referred to here, had to do with the body of those principles and rules of action, relating to the government and security of persons and property, which derive their authority solely from usages and customs of immemorial antiquity or from the judgments and decrees of the courts recognizing, affirming, and enforcing such usages and customs, and in this sense, particularly the ancient unwritten law of England. 15A C.J.S.

68. *Erie Railroad v. Tompkins*, 304 U.S. at 64 (1938).

69. *Stanek v. White*, 172 Minn. 390, 215 N.W. 784.

70. *Clearfield Trust v. United States*, 318 U.S. 363, 63 S.Ct. 573.

71. See Public Law 88-243-244, 77 Stat. 630-775, 88th Congress, 1st Session, December 30, 1963.

72. *Res Lat.* The subject matter of a trust or Will. In civil law, a thing; an object. As a term of the law, this word has a very wide and extensive signification, including not only things which are objects of property, but also such as are not capable of individual ownership. By *res*, according to the modern civilians, is meant everything that may form an object of rights, in opposition to *persona*, which is regarded as a subject of rights. It is everything that may form an object of rights and includes an object, subject-matter or status. In *re Riggle's Will*, 11 A.D.2d 51, 205 N.Y.S.2d 19-22.

73. *American Law And Procedure*, page 186.

74. This includes all the debt of bankruptcy that takes place in this country. As this treatise was receiving last minute changes, the national news broadcast the story of the largest corporate bankruptcy that has ever been filed. The company is Olympia and York. They have an estimated debt of 18 billion dollars. All the 14th Amendment citizens are going to have the privilege of helping cover the part of the 18 billion that affects the public social trust.

75. "The Exercise Of Jurisdiction In Rem To Compel Payment Of Debt.", *Harvard Law Review*, Vol. XXVII., No. 2., December, 1913.

76. "Public Policy" mutable by will as spoken of in *Funk v. United States*, 290 U.S. 371.

77. *Hanson v. Denckla*, 357 U.S. 235 (1958).

78. *Civilly dead*: dead in the view of the law; the condition of one who has lost his civil rights and capacities, and is accounted dead in law.

79. Not being subject to the 14th Amendment and its tax codes can reduce the loss of value of your money, because you are not losing it to the trust.

80. Alan Greenspan (1962), Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank. Source

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

Remnant Review, Newsletter, (June 16, 1989).

81. Rights that cannot be taken from you or transferred to another by government. You can, however, give these Rights up of your own free will without government interference.

82. Wills, Gary, *Inventing America, Jefferson's Declaration of Independence*, quoted from *Jefferson's Commonplace Book*, pages 142-47.

83. Supreme Court in its usage here is not capitalized, as in the original Constitution, to show that it is functioning as an Article III court.

84. *Peter v. Peter*, 343 Ill 493, 175 NE 846, 75 ALR 890; *People v. Flamagin*, 331 Ill 203, 162 NE 848, 60 ALR 305; *Mackey v. Bowen*, 332 Mass. 167, 124 NE2d 254; *Garfield v. White*, 326 Mass 20, 92 NE2d 575; *Perkins v. Isley*, 224 NC 793, 32 NE2d 588; *Bacon v. Barber*, 110 Vt 280, 6 A2d 9, 123 ALR 253.

85. To function "in law" means to function where the courts reveal your position in the Law which is not restrictive, because they are involved with promoting and expanding your unalienable rights by way of constitutional mandate.

86. To function at law and its equity means to function where the courts declare the law which is the will of the legislature in trust with the person. It is restrictive in nature, because there is no constitutional mandate due to the fact that it operates outside the Constitution.

87. Hegel's *Philosophy of Right*, page 215.

http://www.ptialaska.net/~swampy/amend_14/usa.html Again, this is the URL for the site where this treatise is to be found, along with a lot more information of great interest.

There are going to be those who ask why this was not the first part, or at least, in the first three or four parts. I can tell you frankly, that the vast majority of people are not ready to read this information because they do not have the basic knowledge necessary to make heads or tails of it. Most do not have the knowledge necessary to even understand the implications found herein. Now, this does not mean you; you may have been perfectly capable of reading this and completely grasping the relationships revealed. But these papers were not written for you alone; they were written for a wide array of my People, that all may, with study and effort, understand.

And, as good as this paper is, and it is as good as any description of the Constitution and the government founded thereunder that I have ever read, there still must be a number of warnings included herein. Warnings, because the man who wrote this treatise either did not have access to the information about Britain, or, more likely, he chooses to ignore it as irrelevant. And to some it is. It really does not matter who or what handles the international aspects of America, if America's people are properly attuned to The Word. Without unlimited access to

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

funding, the so-called government is not capable of growing unchecked, and without ignorant volunteers for the armed forces, they are not capable of inciting foreign wars and interfering in other people's business.

It is also important to point out that what is talked about above is not a real government of the people, by the people and for the people. We will get into this in Part XX. Too many people are blinded by the talk about the Constitution, and thus fail to look beyond it at the real facts. The Constitution is simply an instrument of commerce; nothing more. It sets in place an organization, the United States, to manage commerce for the gain of the King and his minions. As I said before, the United States is not a government; it is a corporation. Now for the warnings;

1) The connection to Britain through the United States is real. It is written into the tax codes, and when you analyze the treaties after the Revolutionary War with this knowledge, the intent behind them is plain. Plus, I have a good connection with the men who did this research, and I guarantee to you that the United States is a Crown Colony and always has been.

Does this mean that all of the men of that convention were bad men? No, it certainly does not mean that. It simply means that they wanted a King, and did not wish to be separated from Britain. If you read this paper closely, you will find that they were careful in how they arranged things. Be that as it may be, it is also plain, as you study that period of history, that more than a few of them were in the pay of outside forces, Hamilton for instance. Franklin, Jay and others were also Esquires, having gotten the Title directly from the King, so it is hard to say they were disinterested parties, particularly when it was Franklin and Jay who did most of the negotiating on the treaties. You have to wonder how much personal gain was involved in this, and I would have to assume quite a lot.

And they believe, as this man does, in the right of man to write law. That is apparent and shines through very well from this paper, in the man's admiration for the Constitution and its many facets. Again, let me say, when man writes law it is always written for his own gain. Look around America today, and deny what I have said is the Truth.

2) The man who wrote this did not understand the real nature and history of the Roman Church, or he chose to make light of it, although he does bring out some excellent points concerning church law.

In the study of economics, if you dig down deep enough, you begin to find little tid-bits of information here and there about the Roman Church, and their tie-in to banking. Of particular interest is finding out that the Jewish bankers of Europe were pawns of the church. As they were thrown out of one country, the church would shelter them and move them, under its protection, into another kingdom, where they could practice their trade of usury, from which the church, and the local king who held his office at the whim of the church, would benefit. Until the

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

people got tired of it and the bankers had to be moved again.

The other thing the author of this paper does not bring out is that all of the royalty of Europe owed their allegiance to the Roman Church, and paid tribute thereto. The Pope crowned most kings in Europe for many centuries. It was this that caused the establishment of the Anglican Church, as the King of England got tired of owing the Roman Church money. The King didn't change the system, he simply kept more of the spoils.

Also, the Vatican bank is tied in tightly with the central banks of Europe, and is a full fledged credit institution, and as crooked as any. There have been many stories about the shady deals which that bank has been caught in, over the years.

3) The author of this treatise probably does not know about the paper written by the Pope of that era condemning the Declaration of Independence.

4) The author of this treatise probably does not know about the Roman Church's claim of ownership of the entire earth through the supposed declaration of the last of the Holy Roman Emperors. The claim is made that he bequeathed the world to the church. There is plenty of evidence that the documents concerning this are fraudulent, but that does not make the claim go away. Nor does it end or mitigate the political connections behind every action of the Roman Church.

5) Actually, when you understand the Constitution, the men writing it were pretty clever, in their own, man writing laws, manner. Basically, they arranged to have their freedom, and let the King handle the international stuff and collect on his lawful debt. This is where the Bill of Rights came in, because without it, not enough people were willing to support the re-connection to England. They wanted more assurances that the King was not going to do exactly what he is doing today; reasserting his absolute control over America.

When you study that period, it is the unexplainable little things that give away what was happening, like the First Bank of the United States. Couldn't happen without people directly in the new United States government working directly for the King and his banker friends. And Washington and the others knew this, or it could never have happened. The apologist say that Hamilton convinced Washington to sign the bill, but how do you then explain the federal districts established by Washington to give legality to the bank's operation? A very interesting period of history, and one which is never taught within the full context of what was happening.

There is a series of books about the era that is very informative and very readable. It is called Narratives of America, and it is a factual account of the westward movement of the pioneers. A true account, taken from diaries and many other sources. When the author says that a man walked down a certain trail on a certain day, he has found an actual written account of that walk. This series will

CAPRICORN SUBROSA

change much of what you think about the Indians and America, and if you read carefully, watch the difference in the actions of the people involved, and of the United States. The author is Allan W. Eckert, and the first book is titled *The Frontiersmen*. Enjoy.

Believe it...

If you believe something about yourself, it may not be true when you start to believe it, yet in time you will surely make it true. You will become whatever you continue to believe. That's good news or bad news, depending on the image you have of yourself in your own mind. Who do you believe you are? You can be precisely the person you decide to be. It is entirely up to you. The person you truly believe yourself to be, will come to life through the thoughts and actions which spring from that belief.

The way you see yourself will color every moment of every day. Each of those moments, consistently applied toward your guiding beliefs, will mold and shape your life and your world.

Be mindful of what you believe about yourself, because you will spend your time, your effort and all that you have in striving to make it true.

Most people never catch on to how truly powerful the mind is. You do create your own life, and the consequences thereof, and you do it by what you believe. Read the above a couple of times, I urge you, for it is absolutely true.

Believe that you can be it, believe that you can do it, believe that you can have it. And you will.

CAPRICORN SUBROSA